

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

3000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20301-3000



0 2 AUG 1996

MEMORANDUM FOR DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY

(INSTALLATIONS)

(INSTALLATIONS AND HOUSING)

DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY (INSTALLATIONS AND FACILITIES)

DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE

DIRECTORS OF THE DEFENSE AGENCIES

DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL (ACQUISITION & LOGISTICS)

DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

(ACQUISITION REFORM)

DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

(CIVILIAN PERSONNEL POLICY)

DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

(MATERIEL & FACILITIES)

DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

(POLICY & PLANNING COORDINATION)

ASSISTANT DEPUTY COMPTROLLER (PROGRAM/BUDGET)

SUBJECT: Draft DOD Instruction 4100.33, Commercial Activities Program and Procedures

The attached draft DoD Instruction incorporates the recent changes to the Office and Management and Budget Circular A-76 Revised Supplement Handbook and other needed changes to the A-76 procedures. The OMB A-76 Supplement and the DoD Instruction are integral parts of the Department's aggressive outsourcing initiatives. Therefore, your prompt attention is requested.

Please review this draft, provide a point of contact and submit comments to Col John Horsfall, ODUSD(IA&I)/IM by COB August 30, 1996. If possible, please provide a Microsoft Word disc with your response. If you have any questions, Col Horsfall may be reached at (703) 604-6735.

I appreciate your assistance and expeditious handling of the draft DoD Instruction.

19960812 181

Robert E. Baver

A THEMETATE NOTTHEMENT A Approved for public releases Divisibution Unlimited

Principal Assistant Deputy Under Secretary (Industrial Affairs and Installations)

Attachment



DIEG QUALEIX INSPECTED &

Table of Contents

	Page
INTRODUCTION	iii
PART I—POLICY IMPLEMENTATION	1
PARȚ II—PREPARING THE COST COMPARISON ESTIMATES	15
APPENDICES:	
1. DEFINITION OF TERMS	35
2. OMB CIRCULAR NO. A-76 INVENTORY	38
3. USEFUL LIFE AND DISPOSAL VALUES	43
4. TAX TABLES	50
5. OFPP POLICY LETTER 92-1, "INHERENTLY GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTIONS"	53
6. AVIATION COMPETITIONS	60
7. MOTOR VEHICLE COMPETITIONS	67

SUBJECT: Commercial Activities Program Procedures

References:

(a) DoD Instruction 4100.33, "Commercial Activities Program and Procedures," September 9, 1985 (hereby canceled)

- (b) DoD Directive 4100.15, "Commercial Activities Program," March 10, 1989
- (c) OMB Circular A-76, "Performance of Commercial Activities," August 4, 1983
- (d) OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook, "Performance of Commercial Activities," March 1996 (Enclosure 1)
- (e) through (w), see enclosure 2

A. REISSUANCE AND PURPOSE

This Instruction:

- Reissues DoD Instruction 4100.33, "Commercial Activities Program and Procedures," reference a, to update policy, procedures, and responsibilities DoD Directive 4100.15, references b, OMB Circular A-76, reference c and OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook, reference d, for use by the Department of Defense (DoD) to determine whether needed commercial activities (CA) should be accomplished by DoD personnel or by contract with a commercial source.
- 2. Implements the requirements of OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook, "Performance of Commercial Activities," reference d.

B. APPLICABILITY AND SCOPE

This Instruction:

- 1. Applies to the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), the Military Departments, the Defense Agencies and DoD Field Activities (hereafter referred to collectively as the "DoD Components")
- 2. Contains DoD supplemental procedures to OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook for CA in the United States, it territories and possessions, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.
- 3. Is not mandatory for CA staffed solely with DoD employees paid by nonappropriated funds, such as military exchanges. However, this Instruction is mandatory for CA when they are staffed partially with DoD employees paid by or reimbursed from appropriated funds, such as libraries, open messes, child development centers, and other morale, welfare, and recreation (MWR) activities. When related installation support functions are being cost-compared under a single solicitation, a DoD Component may decide that it is practical to include

- activities staffed solely with DoD civilian personnel paid by nonappropriated funds.
- 4. Does not apply to DoD governmental functions as defined by Office of Federal Procurement Policy, Policy Letter 92-1, "Inherently Governmental Functions," appendix 5 of enclosure 1. Enclosure 3 further defines some of the DoD governmental functions.
- 5. Does not apply when contrary to law, Executive Orders, or any treaty or international agreement.
- 6. Does not apply in times of a declared war or military mobilization.
- 7. Does not provide authority to enter into contracts.
- 8. Does not apply to the conduct of research and development, except for severable in-house CA that support research and development, such as those listed in enclosure 4.
- 9. Does not justify conversion to contract solely to avoid personnel ceilings or salary limitations.
- 10. Does not authorize contracts that establish an employer-employee relationship between the Department of Defense and contractor employees as described in {FAR 37.104, reference ?}.
- 11. Does not establish and shall not be construed to create any substantive or procedural basis for anyone to challenge any DoD action or inaction on the basis that such action or inaction was not in accordance with this Instruction, except as specifically set forth in paragraph K, Chapter 3, Part I of OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook, reference d.

C. DEFINITIONS

Terms used in this Instruction are defined in enclosure 3.

D. <u>POLICY</u>

It is DoD policy to:

- 1. Ensure DoD Mission Accomplishment. The implementation of this Instruction is a tool for the commanders to efficiently and effectively accomplish the overall DoD mission and the defense objective of maintaining readiness and sustainability to ensure a capability for mobilizing the defense and support structure.
- 2. Rely on the Commercial Sector. DoD Components shall rely on commercially available sources to provide commercial products and services, except when a better value is available from existing DoD and Federal sources, DoDI 4000.19, reference ??, when required for national defense, when in the best interest of direct patient care, when no satisfactory commercial source is available, or when the in-house work force meets or exceeds industrial performance standards at lower costs, best value. Support may be obtained from other DoD and Federal sources without justification of a cost comparison, except when converting

- existing work to or from in-house or contract performance, and when obtaining support from a non-DoD Federal Agency after October 1, 1997. DoD Components shall first consider contractor accomplishing any new requirement or an expansion of an in-house requirement when a better value is not available from existing interservice support services..
- 3. Retain Governmental Functions In-House. Certain functions are inherently governmental in nature, and intimately related to the public interest which mandate performance by DoD personnel only.
- 4. Achieve Economy and Enhance Productivity. Encourage competition with the objective of enhancing quality, economy, and performance. When performance by a commercial source is permissible, a comparison of the cost of contracting and the cost of in-house performance should be performed to determine the best value for the Government, considering price and other factors included in the solicitation. If the installation commander has reason to believe that it may not be cost-effective to make an award under mandatory source programs or non-competitive preferential procurement programs then a cost comparison, or any other cost analysis, although not required by OMB Circular A-76, reference c, may be performed. Performance history will be considered in the source selection process, and high quality performance should be rewarded.
- 5. Delegate Decision Authority and Responsibility. The DoD Components shall delegate decision authority and responsibility to lower organization levels, giving more authority to the doers, and linking responsibility with that authority. This shall facilitate the work the installation commanders must perform without limiting their freedom to do their jobs. When possible, the installation commanders should have the freedom to make intelligent use of their resources, while preserving the essential warfighting capabilities of US support organizations in accordance with DoD Directive 4001.1, reference ?).
- 6. Share Resources Saved. When possible, make available to the installation commander a share of any resources saved as a result of an A-76 cost comparison.
- 7. Provide Placement Assistance. Provide all available placement assistance to employees whose Federal jobs are eliminated through CA competitions.
- 8. Permit Interim-In-House Operation. A DoD in-house CA may be established on a temporary basis if a contractor is unable to meet performance or cost standards or defaults for other reasons. Action shall be taken to resolicit bids or proposals in accordance with this Instruction.

E. RESPONSIBILITIES

1. The <u>Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Technology)</u> (USD(A&T)) is the DoD designee under paragraph 9.a. of OMB Circular A-76, reference c. The USD (A&T) shall:

- a. Formulate and develop policies consistent with OMB Circulars,
 DoDD 4100.15, reference b, other DoD Directives and Instructions, and the
 DoD CA program.
- b. Maintain an inventory of in-house DoD CA and the Commercial Activities Management Information System (CAMIS).
- c. Establish criteria for determining whether a CA is required to be retained inhouse for national defense.
- d. Approve or disapprove core logistics waiver requests.

2. The Comptroller of the Department of Defense (DoD(C)) shall:

- a. Provide inflation factors and/or price indices and policy guidance to the DoD Components on procedures and systems for obtaining cost data for use in preparing the in-house estimate.
- b. Provide civilian and military costs to include the fringe benefits, training, and other costs described in the cost comparison section of OMB Circular Revised Supplemental Handbook, reference d.

3. The <u>Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness</u> USD(P&R) shall:

- a. Provide guidance to the components for transition of displaced workers because of cost comparisons or the decision to directly convert functions to contract.
- b. Develop policy to allow increased FTEs for work to return in-house if a better value is determined.
- c. Identify the amount of training to be allowed for employee transition as a result of a cost comparison or direct conversion.

4. The <u>Heads of DoD Components</u> shall:

- a. Comply with DoDD 4100.15 and this Instruction.
- b. Designate an official under paragraph 9.a. of OMB Circular A-76, reference c, at the Military Service Assistant Secretary level or equivalent, to implement this instruction. Also, this official will act as the waiver appeal authority under paragraph K. Chapter 3, Part I, OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook, reference d.
- c. Establish a office as a central point of contact (POC) for implementing this Instruction.
- d. Encourage and facilitate CA competition and outsourcing.
- e. Delegate, as much as practicable, broad authority to commanders to decide how best to use the CA program to accomplish their mission. Commanders shall have the authority and responsibility to carry out the following:

- (1) Prepare an inventory each fiscal year of the commercial activities carried out by the government personnel under their command in accordance with this Instruction.
- (2) Decide which commercial activities shall be reviewed under the procedures and requirements of OMB A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook and this Instruction.
- (3) Conduct cost comparison of those commercial activities selected for possible conversion to contractor performance under reference OMB Circular A-76, reference c, and this Instruction
- (4) To the maximum extent practicable, assist in finding suitable employment for any DoD employee displaced because of a contracted CA. If unable to find suitable employment the commander should take all available steps under the transition assistance program to ensure the employee can become a productive member of the private sector work force.
- f. Develop specific national defense criteria consistent with Component's mission and this Instruction.
- g. Establish administrative appeal procedures consistent with Paragraph K, Chapter 3, Part I, OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook.
- h. Ensure the cost comparison and direct conversion contracts conducted under this Instruction are solicited and awarded in accordance with the FAR, reference ??, and the DFARS, reference ??. In most cases, the award should be made on best value.
- i. Ensure all notifications and reporting requirements established by law, OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook, and this Instruction are satisfied.
- j. Ensure information is available to the public through the Freedom of Information Act Program, reference ??. The information allowed to be available to the public is based on the information requirements for appeals, and notification of Congress, OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook, FAR, DFAR, and this Instruction.
- k. Ensure high standards of objectivity and consistency are maintained in compiling and maintaining the CA inventory, conducting reviews and cost comparisons, and post most efficient organization (MEO) audits.
- 1. When requested, provide to commanders technical competence in managing and implementing an effective CA program.
- m. Ensure maximum efforts are exerted to assist displaced DoD employees in finding suitable employment, to include, as appropriate:
 - (1) Providing priority placement assistance for other Federal jobs.
 - (2) Training and relocation when these shall contribute directly to placement.
 - (3) Providing out placement assistance for employment in other sectors of the economy with particular attention to assisting eligible employees to exercise their right of first refusal with the successful contractor.

- n. Maintain the technical competence necessary to ensure effective and efficient management of the CA program.
- o. Ensure, once the cost comparison is initiated, the milestones are met and completion of the cost comparison is without unreasonable delay.
- p. Develop post most efficient organization audit standards and conduct audits during the Management Effectiveness Inspections or utilize other existing audit methods within the component.

E. PROCEDURES

 The OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook, reference d, is the document to be utilized to complete cost comparisons, direct conversion, and waivers. This section provides supplemental information and guidance to identified sections of the OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook, reference d. The section being supplemented will be identified. If section is not identified, then the OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook guidance is intended to be used.

Chapter 1, Part I - "General Provisions"

2. Reviews

- a. Paragraph B. Inherently governmental positions. A function that is related so intimately to the public interest as to mandate performance by DoD personnel. These functions require either the exercise of discretion in applying Government authority or the use of value judgment in making decisions for the Department of Defense. Services or products in support of Governmental functions, such as those listed in enclosure 3, are CA and are normally subject to DoD Directive 4100.15, reference b, and its implementing instructions. Governmental functions normally fall into two categories:
 - (1) The act of governing; that is, the discretionary exercise of Government authority. Examples include criminal investigations, prosecutions, and other judicial functions; management of Government programs requiring value judgments, as in direction of the national defense; management and direction of the Armed Services; activities performed exclusively by military personnel who are subject to combat, combat support, or combat services support roles; conduct of foreign relations; selection or program priorities; direction of Federal employees; regulation of the use of space, oceans, navigable rivers, and other natural resources; direction of intelligence and counter-intelligence operations; and regulation of industry and commerce, including food and drugs.

- (2) Monetary transactions and entitlements, such as tax collection and revenue disbursements; control of the treasury accounts and money supply; and the administration of public trusts.
- b. Existing In-House CA. DoD Components shall conduct reviews of in-house CA in accordance with their established review schedules. Existing in-house CA, once reviewed shall be retained in-house without a cost comparison only when certain conditions are satisfied. (Documentation to support the decision to continue in-house performance with a next level reviewed shall be maintained in the organization). These conditions are as follows:
 - (1) Paragraph C.1. <u>National Defense</u>. In most cases, application of this criteria shall be made considering the wartime and peacetime duties of the specific positions involved rather than in terms of broad functions.
 - (a) A CA, staffed with military personnel who are assigned to the activity, may be retained in-house for national defense reasons when the following apply:
 - 1 The CA is essential for training or experience in required military skills:
 - 2 The CA is needed to provide appropriate work assignments for a rotation base for overseas or sea-to-shore assignments; or
 - 3 The CA is necessary to provide career progression to needed military skill levels.
 - (b) The DoD Components may propose to the USD(A&T) other criteria for exempting CA for national defense reasons.
 - (2) Paragraph C.1. National Intelligence.
 - (a) Before making a determination that an activity involving the collection/processing/production/dissemination of national security as prescribed in Executive Order 12333, "United States Intelligence Activities," reference?, should be subjected to a cost comparison, the DoD Component must specifically identify the risk to national security of using commercial sources. The DoD Component shall provide its assessment of the risk to national security of using commercial sources to the Director, CIA, or designee, who shall make the determination if the risk to national security is unacceptable. DIA shall consult with CIA and other organizations as deemed necessary and shall provide the decision to the DoD Component. (Detailed documentation shall be maintained to support the decision.)

- (b) Signals Intelligence Telecommunications (SIGINT) and Automated Information System (AIS) Security
 - Before making a determination that an activity involving SIGINT as prescribed in E.O. 12333, reference?, and AIS, security should be subjected to a cost comparison, the DoD Component shall specifically identify the risk to national security and complete a risk assessment to determine if the use of commercial resources poses a potential threat to national security. Information copies of the risk assessment and a decision memorandum containing data on the acceptable and/or unacceptable risk will be maintained within the requesting DoD Component's contracting office.
 - The National Security Agency (NSA) considers the polygraph program an effective means to enhance security protection for special access type information. The risk to national security is of an acceptable level if contractor personnel assigned to the maintenance and operation of SIGINT, Computer Security (COMSEC) and Communications Security (COMSEC) equipment agree to an a periodic counter-intelligence scope polygraph examination. The following clause should be included in every potential contract involving SIGINT, Telecommunications, and AIS systems: "Contract personnel engaged in operation or maintaining SIGINT, COMSEC or COMPUSEC equipment or having access to classified documents or key material must consent to an a periodic counter-intelligence scope polygraph examination administered by the Government. Contract personnel who refuse to take the polygraph examination shall not be considered for selection."
- (3) Paragraph C.3. <u>Patient Care</u>. Commercial activities at DoD hospitals may be performed by DoD personnel when it is determined by the head of the DoD Component or by his designee, in consultation with the DoD Component's chief medical director, that performance by DoD personnel would be in the best interest of direct patient care.
- (4) Paragraph C.4. <u>Core Logistics Activities</u>. The core logistics capability reported to Congress, March 29, 1984, under the provisions of 10 U.S.C., Section 2464, reference?, is comprised of the facilities, equipment, and management personnel at the activities listed in the report. The work at those activities may be performed by either government or contractor personnel, whichever is more cost effective. Core logistics activities reported to Congress under the provisions of reference (g) must be retained in-house unless the Secretary of Defense grants a waiver as provided for in Section 2464. Requests for waivers shall be submitted to the USD(A&T).

DoD Components may propose to the USD(A&T) additional core logistics capability for inclusion in the list of core logistics activities. Core logistics activities reported to Congress as additions to the original list shall be retained in-house unless subsequently waived by the Secretary of Defense.

- (5) Paragraph C.7 and 8. No Satisfactory Commercial Source Available. A DoD CA may be performed by DoD personnel when it can be demonstrated that:
 - (a) There is no satisfactory commercial source capable of providing the product or service that is needed. Before concluding that there is no satisfactory commercial source available, the DoD Component shall make all reasonable efforts to identify available sources.
 - 1 DoD Components efforts to find satisfactory commercial sources shall be carried out in accordance with the FAR, reference?, and Defense FAR Supplement (DFARS), reference?, including review of bidders lists and inventories of contractors, consideration of preferential procurement programs, and requests for help from government agencies such as the Small Business Administration.
 - Where the availability of commercial sources is uncertain, the DoD Component will place up to three notices of the requirement in the Commerce Business Daily (CBD) over a 90-day period. (Notices will be in the format specified in FAR, Chapter 1, Part 5 and Subpart 7.3, reference?. When a bona fide urgent requirement occurs, the publication period in the CBD may be reduced to two notices, 15 days apart. Specifications and requirements in the notice will not be unduly restrictive and will not exceed those required of Government personnel or operations.
 - (b) Use of a commercial source would cause an unacceptable delay or disruption of an essential program. In-house operation of a CA on the basis that use of a commercial source would cause an unacceptable delay or disrupt an essential DoD program requires a specific documented explanation.
 - 1 The delay or disruption must be specific as to cost, time, and performance measures.
 - 2 The disruption must be shown to be of lasting or unacceptable nature. Temporary disruption caused by conversion to contract is not sufficient support for the use of this criteria.
 - 3 The fact that a DoD CA involves a classified program, or is part of a DoD Component's basic mission, or that there is the possibility of a strike by contract employees is not adequate

- reason to continue that activity. Further, urgency alone is not an adequate reason to continue Government operation of a CA. It must be shown that commercial sources are not able, and the Government is able, to provide the product or service when needed.
- 4 Use of an exemption due to an unacceptable delay or disruption of an essential program shall be approved by the DoD Component's central point of contact office. This authority may be redelegated.
- (6) Paragraph C.6. Functions with Ten or Fewer Full Time Equivalents (FTE). Organizations or suborganizations considered to be cost compared which had ten or fewer FTEs prior to the passage of the Federal Workforce Restructuring Act of 1994 are able to be directly converted.
- (7) Paragraph C.7. <u>Performance Standards and Cost</u>. When contract costs become unreasonable or performance becomes unsatisfactory, the requirement must be resolicited. If the DoD Component competes in the resolicitation, then a cost comparison of a contracted CA shall be performed in accordance with enclosures 1, if in-house performance is feasible. When contracted CA are justified for conversion to in-house performance, the contract will be allowed to expire (options will not be exercised) once in-house capability is established.
- 3. Paragraph D.3. Expansions. In cases where expansion of an in-house CA is anticipated, a review of the entire CA, including the proposed expansion, shall be conducted to determine if performance by DoD personnel is authorized for national defense reasons, because no commercial source is available, or because it is in the best interest of direct patient care. If performance by DoD personnel is not justified under these criteria, a cost comparison of the entire activity shall be performed. Government facilities and equipment normally will not be expanded to accommodate expansions if adequate and cost effective contractor facilities and equipment are available.

4. Paragraph D.2. New Requirements

- a. In cases where a new requirement for a commercial product or service is anticipated, a review shall be conducted to determine if performance by DoD personnel is authorized for national defense reasons, because no commercial source is available, or because it is in the best interest of direct patient care. If performance by DoD personnel is not justified under these criteria, then the new requirement normally shall be performed by contract.
- b. If there is reason to believe that commercial prices may be unreasonable, a preliminary cost analysis shall be conducted to determine whether it is likely that the work can be performed in-house at a cost that is less than anticipated for contract performance. If in-house performance appears to be more economical, a cost comparison shall be scheduled. The appropriate conversion

- differential will be added to the preliminary in-house cost before it is determined that in-house performance is likely to be more economical.
- c. Government facilities and equipment normally will not be expanded to accommodate new requirements if adequate and cost-effective contract facilities are available. The requirement for Government ownership of facilities does not obviate the possibility of contract operation. If justification for in-house operation is dependent on relative cost, the cost comparison may be delayed to accommodate the lead time necessary for acquiring the facilities.
- d. Approval or disapproval of in-house performance of new requirements involving a capital investment of \$500,000 or more will not be redelegated below the level of DAS or equivalent
- e. Approval to budget for a major capital investment associated with a new requirement will not constitute OSD approval to perform the new requirement with DoD personnel. Government performance shall be determined in accordance with this Instruction.

5. Paragraph D.6. CAs Involving More than 11 DoD Civilian Employees

- a. When adequately justified under the criteria required in enclosure 1, CAs involving 11 to 65 DoD civilian employees may be competed based on simplified cost comparison procedures and 10 or fewer DoD civilian employees may be directly converted to contract without the use of a simplified cost comparison. Enclosures 1, Chapter 5, Part II, shall be utilized to define the simplified cost comparison.
- b. In no case shall any CA involving more than sixty-five employees be modified, reorganized, divided, or in any way changed for the purpose of circumventing the requirement to perform a full cost comparison.
- c. The decision to perform a simplified cost comparison on a CA involving military personnel and 11 to 65 DoD Civilian employees reflects a management decision that the work need not be performed in-house.
- d. A most efficient and cost-effective organization analysis certification is required for studies involving 11 to 65 DoD civilian employees.
- e. Activities with more than ten civilian employees may be directly converted to contract if, and only if, the employees can be placed in comparable positions within the Federal Government or voluntarily retire.

6. Paragraph F. Inventory, Notifications and Reports

- a. Inventory.
 - (1) The components shall submit their inventories to DUSD(IA&I) by the first work day in January each year. Enclosure 4
 - (2) Commercial Activities Management Information System (CAMIS) (Report Control Symbol DD-A&T(Q)1542).

- (a) The purpose of CAMIS is to maintain an accurate DoD data base of CAs that undergo a cost comparison and CAs that are converted directly to contract without a cost comparison. The CAMIS is used to provide information to the Congress, Office of Management Budget (OMB), General Accounting Office (GAO), OSD, and others. The CAMIS is divided into two parts. Part I contains data on CAs that undergo cost comparison. Part II contains data on CAs converted to contract without a full cost comparison.
- (b) The CAMIS report shall be submitted in accordance with the procedures in enclosure 6.

b Notification

- (1) <u>Congressional Notification</u>. DoD Components shall notify Congress of the intention to do a cost comparison involving 46 or more DoD civilian personnel. DoD Components shall annotate the notification when a cost comparison is planned at an activity listed in the report to Congress on core logistics. The DoD Component shall notify the DUSD(IA&I) of any such intent at least 5 working days before the Congressional notification. The cost comparison process begins on the date of Congressional notification.
- (2) <u>DoD Employee Notification</u>. DoD Components shall, in accordance with 10 U.S.C., Section 2467(b), reference?, at least monthly during the development and preparation of the performance work statement (PWS) and management study, consult with DoD civilian employees who will be affected by the cost comparison and consider the views of such employees on the development and preparation of the PWS and management study. DoD Components may consult with such employees more frequently and on other matters relating to the cost comparison. In the case of DoD employees represented by a labor organization accorded exclusive recognition under 5 U.S.C., Section 7111 (reference (k)), consultation with representatives of the labor organization satisfies the consultation requirement. Consultation with nonunion DoD civilian employees may be through such means as group meetings. Alternatively, DoD civilian employees may be invited to designate one or more representatives to speak for them. Other methods may be implemented if adequate notice is provided to the nonunion DoD civilian employees and the right to be represented during the consultations is ensured.
- (3) <u>Local Notification</u>. It is suggested that upon starting the cost comparison process, the installation make an announcement of the cost comparison, including a brief explanation of the cost-comparison process to the employees of the activity and the community. The installations labor relations specialist also should be apprised to ensure appropriate

notification to employees and their representatives in accordance with applicable collective bargaining agreements. Local Interservice Support Coordinators (ISCs) and the Chair of the appropriate Joint Interservice Regional Support Group (JIRSG) also should be notified of a pending cost comparison.

c. Reports

- (1) Congressional Data Reports on CA (Report Control Symbol DD-A&T(A&AR)1949) and Reports on Savings or Costs from Increased Use of DoD Civilian Personnel (Report Control Symbol DD-A&T(AR)1950). To ensure consistent application of the requirements stated in 10 U.S.C., Sections 2461 and 2463, reference ?, the following guidance is provided:
 - (a) The geographic scope applies to the United States, its territories and possessions, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.
 - (b) Section 2461 applies to proposed conversions of DoD CAs that on October 1, 1980, were being performed by more than forty-five DoD civilian employees. Section 2463 of reference? applies to conversions from contract to in-house involving 50 or more contractor employees.
 - (c) DoD Components must not proceed with a CA study until notification is made to Congress. DoD Components shall notify the USD(A&T) of any such intent at least 5 working days before congressional notification.
 - (d) DoD Components shall annotate announcements to Congress when a cost comparison is planned at an activity listed in the report to Congress on Core Logistics (see subparagraph E.2.b.(3) of this Instruction).
 - (e) The DoD Components shall notify Congress at least 5 working days before sending the detailed summary report required by reference?. The detailed summary of the cost shall include: the amount of the offer accepted for the performance of the activity by the private contractor; the costs and expenditures that the Government will incur because of the contract; the estimated cost of performance of the activity by the most efficient Government organization; a statement indicating the life of the contract; and certifications that the entire cost comparison is available, and that the Government calculation for the cost of performance of such function by DoD employees is based on an estimate of the most efficient and cost-effective organization of such performance of such function by DoD employees.
 - (f) The potential economic effect on the employees affected, the local community, and the Federal Government of contracting for performance of the function shall be included in the report to accompany the above certifications, if more that 75 total employees

(including military and civilian, both permanent and temporary) are potentially affected. It is suggested that the Army Corps of Engineers' model (or equivalent) be used to generate this information. The potential impact on affected employees shall be included in the report, regardless of the number of employees involved. Also include in the report a statement that the decision was made to convert to contractor performance, the projected date of contract award, the projected contract start date, and the effect of contracting the function on the military mission of that function.

(g) By December 15th of each year, each DoD Component shall submit to the USD(A&T) the data required by Section 2461(c). In describing the extent to which CA functions were performed by DoD contractors during the preceding fiscal year, include the estimated number of workyears for the in-house operation as well as for contract operation (including percentages) by major OSD functional areas in enclosure 4; such as, Social Services, Health Services, Installation Services, etc. For the estimate of the percentage of CA functions that will be performed in-house and those that will be performed by contract during the fiscal year during which the report is submitted, include the estimated workyears for in-house CAs as well as for contracted CAs and the rationale for significant changes when compared to the previous year's data. Also, include the number of studies you expect to complete in the next fiscal year showing total civilian and military FTEs

Chapter 3, Part I - "Cost Comparisons"

- 7. Paragraph A.4: In the 17th month for single function or 35th month for multifunction, the Component will identify those cost comparisons or direct conversions which will not be completed by the 18th month for single function or 36th month for multi-function from date announced to the public or unions. The Components will inform USD(A&T) with the following information:
 - a. Location
 - b. Function
 - c. Number of Full Time Equivalents impacted
 - d. The date study was to be completed and estimated date of completion.
 - e. Description of problem(s) encountered which prevented the timely completion of the comparison or conversion. Include actions taken to mitigate the problem, current status and estimated completion date. By law, the whole process has to be completed within 24 month of announcement for single functions and 48 month for multiple functions.

Part II - "Preparing the Cost Comparison Estimates"

- 8. The DoD Components should supplement this section to meet the requirements of their business practices.
- 9. USD(C) will provide inflation factors and other cost data.

Appendix 6 - "Aviation Competitions"

10. Paragraph b.2: When contraction with civil aircraft operators for commercial airline or other aviation services, U.S. contractors must have Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) certificates and must remain under FAA regulatory and safety oversight when performing the government mission. Even if the aircraft are used exclusively for Government missions, they must continuously maintain those aircraft listed on the company's operations specifications issued under the applicable provisions of Federal Aviation Regulation. The contractor must be a certified commercial operator or air carrier under the applicable provisions of Federal Aviation Regulations. The certification must be maintained throughout the term of the contract. In addition, all maintenance must be conducted and all aircrews managed, trained, and scheduled in accordance with the applicable Federal Aviation Regulations. The installation of any special equipment called for by a contract must be FAA approved. Foreign contractors must have a comparable air carrier's operating certificate issued by the appropriate foreign governmental body.

F. INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Inventory and Review Schedule (Report Control Symbol DD-A&T(A)1540) See enclosure 5 of this Instruction.
- 2. Commercial Activities Management Information System (CAMIS) (Report Control Symbol DD-A&T(Q)1542)
 - a. The purpose of CAMIS is to maintain an accurate DoD data base of CAs that undergo a cost comparison and CAs that are converted directly to contract without a cost comparison. The CAMIS is used to provide information to the Congress, Office of Management Budget (OMB), General Accounting Office (GAO), OSD, and others. The CAMIS is divided into two parts. Part I contains data on CAs that undergo cost comparison. Part II contains data on CAs converted to contract without a full cost comparison.
 - b. The CAMIS report shall be submitted in accordance with the procedures in enclosure 6.
- 3. Congressional Data Reports on CA (Report Control Symbol DD-A&T(A&AR)1949) and Reports on Savings or Costs from Increased Use of DoD Civilian Personnel (Report Control Symbol DD-A&T(AR)1950). To ensure

consistent application of the requirements stated in 10 U.S.C., Sections 2461 and 2463 the instructions are at Paragraph E.5.c. of this instruction.

H. EFFECTIVE DATA AND IMPLEMENTATION

This Instruction is effective immediately. Forward one copy of implementing documents to the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Industrial Affairs and Installations) within 120 days.

Enclosures - 6

- 1. OMB Circular A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook
- 2. References
- 3. Definitions
- 4. Codes and Definitions of Functional Areas
- 5. Commercial Activities Inventory Report and 5-Year Review Schedule
- 6. Commercial Activities Management Information System

Introduction

The August 1983 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-76, "Performance of Commercial Activities," establishes Federal policy for the performance of recurring commercial activities. This Supplement replaces the Handbook issued with the 1983 Circular and provides updated guidance and procedures for determining whether recurring commercial activities should be operated under contract with commercial sources, in-house using Government facilities and personnel, or through interservice support agreements (ISSAs). The Revised Supplemental Handbook is an integral part of the 1983 Circular.

As noted in the Vice President's Third Report of the National Performance Review, "Common Sense Government: Works Better and Costs Less," (September 1995), Americans want to "get their money's worth" and want a Government that is more businesslike and better managed. The reinvention of Government begins by focusing on core mission competencies and service requirements. Thus, the reinvention process must consider a wide range of options, including: the consolidation, restructuring or reengineering of activities, privatization options, make or buy decisions, the adoption of better business management practices, the development of joint ventures with the private sector, asset sales, the possible devolution of activities to State and local governments and the termination of obsolete services or programs. In the context of this larger reinvention effort, the scope of this Supplemental Handbook is limited to the conversion of recurring commercial activities to or from in-house, contract or ISSA performance. Circular A-76 is not designed to simply contract out. Rather, it is designed to: (1) balance the interests of the parties to a make or buy cost comparison, (2) provide a level playing field between public and private offerors to a competition, and (3) encourage competition and choice in the management and performance of commercial activities. It is designed to empower Federal managers to make sound and justifiable business decisions.

Reliable cost and performance information is crucial to the effective management of Government operations and to the conduct of competitions between

public or private sector offerors. Unfortunately, this information has not been generally available and has often been found to be unreliable. The Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 (CFO Act) includes among the functions of chief financial officers "the development and reporting of cost information" and "the systematic measurement of performance." This includes performance by in-house, contract or ISSA resources. In July 1993, Congress passed the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), which mandates performance measurement by Federal agencies. The Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts No. 1, "Objectives of Federal Financial Reporting (1993)," stated that one of the objectives of Federal financial reporting is to provide useful information to assist in assessing the budget integrity, operating performance, stewardship, and control of the Federal Government. In 1995, the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) recommended standards for managerial cost accounting. which were approved by the Director of OMB, the Secretary of the Treasury and the Comptroller General. These standards were issued as the Statement of Federal Accounting Standards No. 4, "Managerial Cost Accounting Standards for the Federal Government." This Supplement relies on the managerial cost accounting and performance standards established in support of the CFO Act, GPRA, and the Federal Accounting Standards, as they are developed and implemented. Cost and performance information developed for cost comparisons required by the Circular and this Supplement should be drawn from the data base established by these standards and adjusted as appropriate.

The Circular and this Supplement are not intended and should not be construed to create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law by a party against the United States, its agencies, its officers or any person. It should not be construed to create any substantive or procedural basis on which to challenge any agency action or inaction, except as set forth in Part I, Chapter 3, Paragraph K, of this Supplement.

This Supplement is divided into two parts (with a table of contents at the beginning of each Part) as follows:

Part I Policy Implementation

Sets forth the principles and procedures for implementing OMB Circular A-76.

Part II Preparing the Cost Comparison Estimates

Provides instructions for calculating the financial advantage to the Government of acquiring a product or service through in-house, contract or interservice support agreement resources.

Appendices

Definition of Terms Defines terms within the context of OMB Circular A-76.

Inventory Provides information and reporting guidance.

Useful Life and Disposal Values Provides useful expected life and disposal values for equipment.

Tax Tables Provides Federal tax rate tables for use in A-76 cost comparisons by industry

type.

OFPP Policy Letter 92-1 Provides guidance and criteria for determining whether activities may be con-

sidered inherently governmental and not subject to the requirements of the

Circular or this Supplement.

Aviation/Motor Vehicle Provides sector-specific alternatives to the cost comparison methodologies in

Part II.

PART I

POLICY IMPLEMENTATION

Table of Contents

	Page		Page
pter 1—General Provisions: General		E. Agency Cost Comparison Waivers F. Inventory	5 5 5
C. Government Performance of Commercial Activities	3 3 3	Access to Supporting Documents Appeals of Agency Decisions H. Personnel Considerations I. Relationship to the Budget Chapter 2—Interservice Support Agreements	5 5 5 6
 Research and Development No Satisfactory Commercial Source Functions with 10 or Fewer FTE Meet Performance Standard 	3 3 . 3 3	(ISSA): A. General B. Specific	8
Lower Cost Temporary Authorization for In-House Performance	4	Chapter 3—Cost Comparisons: A. General B. Cost Comparison Study Team C. Performance Work Statements	10 10 10
. Contract Performance of Commercial Activities	4 4 4	D. Quality Assurance Surveillance Plans E. Management Plans F. Safeguarding the MEO G. Solicitations	11 11 11 11
3. Severable Expansions	4 4 4	H. Methods of Procurement I. The Independent Review J. Evaluation of Bids and Tentative Deci-	12 12
6. Activities with 11 or More FTE7. Activities Performed by the Military8. Preferential Procurement Programs9. Lower Cost	4 4 5 5	sions K. Appeals of Tentative Waiver and Cost Comparison Decisions L. Post-MEO Performance Review	12 13 14

Chapter 1—General Provisions

A. General

This Part sets forth the principles and procedures for managing the Government's acquisition of recurring commercial support activities and implementing OMB Circular A-76. A summary of conditions permitting conversion to or from in-house, contract or interservice support agreement (ISSA) performance is provided in Exhibit 1.

B. Inherently Governmental Activities

- 1. Inherently governmental activities are not subject to Circular A-76 or this Supplemental Handbook. As a matter of policy, an inherently governmental activity is one that is so intimately related to the exercise of the public interest as to mandate performance by Federal employees. The Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) Policy Letter 92-1, dated September 23, 1992 (Federal Register, September 30, 1992, page 45096), provides guidance on the identification of inherently governmental activities (see Appendix 5).
- 2. The decision that a particular function is inherently governmental or commercial rests on a number of factors, including: the level of Federal control required, the ministerial nature of the function, certain statutory provisions, and distinguishing between recurring operations and oversight. Statutory authority to perform a function is not, itself, sufficient to warrant continued in-house performance as an inherently governmental function. The full range of issues addressed by the OFPP Policy Letter 92–1 must be considered. As provided by the Policy Letter, OMB remains available to resolve agency concerns in this determination.

C. Government Performance of Commercial Activities

Consistent with paragraph 8. of the Circular, cost comparisons are not required to convert the following activities to or from in-house, contract or ISSA (The application of these conditions should be reviewed by the official in paragraph 9.a. of the Circular, or designee, as a part of the annual inventory of commercial activities.):

1. National Defense or Intelligence Security.—Commercial activities may be performed by in-house, contract or ISSA, without cost comparison, when required to assure the national defense or national intelligence security. The Secretary of Defense, or designee, approves requests for conversions on the basis of the national defense. The Director of Central Intel-

ligence, or designee, approves conversions on the basis of national security.

- 2. Patient Care.—As provided by paragraph 8.c. of the Circular, commercial activities at Government-owned hospitals or other health facilities may be performed by in-house, contract or ISSA, without cost comparison, when needed to maintain the quality of direct patient care.
- 3. Core Capability.—A minimum core capability of specialized, scientific or technical in-house or contract employees and related commercial workload, may be maintained, without cost comparison, to ensure that the Government has the necessary capabilities to fulfill its mission responsibilities or meet emergency requirements.
- 4. Research and Development.—As provided by paragraph 7.c.(7) of the Circular, research and development activities may be performed by in-house, contract or ISSA without cost comparison. Recurring and severable activities that are performed in support of direct research and development are subject to the cost comparison requirements of this Supplement.
 - 5. No Satisfactory Commercial Source Available.—
- a. If a commercial activity could be contracted, but there is no commercial source, the activity is to be operated using the Government's Most Efficient Organization (MEO).
- b. Efforts to solicit commercial interest are to be documented, to include: (1) consideration of preferential and non-preferential procurement and (2) a determination that the solicitation did not limit commercial participation.
- 6. Functions With 10 or Fewer FTE.—Activities involving 10 or fewer FTE may be converted from contract to in-house or ISSA, without cost comparison, if the contracting officer determines that performance is unsatisfactory or that fair and reasonable prices cannot be otherwise obtained.
 - 7. Meet Performance Standard.—
- a. Performance by in-house, contract or ISSA may be authorized if an agency demonstrates that performance meets or exceeds generally recognized industry performance and cost standards.
- b. Competitions based upon output and cost performance measures must reflect the agency's fully allocated costs of performance and must be certified as being in full compliance with the Statement of Federal Accounting Standards No.4, "Managerial Cost Accounting Standards for the Federal Government." The cost comparability procedures described in this Sup-

plement, such as those related to fringe benefit factors, must also be considered in assessing the comparability of Government and private sector performance measures and costs. Adjustments to Government and private sector performance measures and costs may be required. Performance standards should be monitored in conjunction with the Chief Financial Officers Act (CFO Act) and the Government Performance Results Act of 1993 (GPRA).

- c. A full description of the standards, performance measures, costs and adjustments made will be developed by the agency and made available to the public upon request. The use of selected standards, performance measures and adjustments are subject to the administrative appeal procedures provided at Part I, Chapter 3, paragraph K, of this Supplement.
- 8. Lower Cost.—In-house, contract or ISSA performance of a commercial activity may be warranted by the results of a cost comparison conducted in accordance with the procedures described in this Supplement.
- 9. Temporary Authorizations for In-House Performance.—If a contractor defaults or is otherwise terminated, agencies should seek interim contract support. If interim contract performance is not feasible, inhouse or ISSA performance of a "contracted" activity may be authorized, on a temporary and emergency basis. As soon as possible, but not later than at the end of the next contract option period, a replacement contract should be awarded or a new requirements cost comparison completed to justify permanent conversion to in-house performance.

D. Contract Performance of Commercial Activities

As a matter of policy, the Government shall acquire non-recurring commercial activities through contracts with the private sector. The acquisition of a recurring commercial activity by contract may be warranted under the following conditions (The application of these conditions should be reviewed by the official in paragraph 9.a. of the Circular, or designee.):

- 1. Contracted Activities.—An activity obtained through a competitively awarded contract will continue to be obtained by contract as long as the quality of service is acceptable and competitive prices are fair and reasonable. If the Government believes that quality is unacceptable or prices appear unreasonable, a cost comparison is conducted to justify conversion to in-house or ISSA performance.
- 2. New Requirements.—A new requirement will be obtained by a competitively awarded contract. If there is reason to believe that contract service quality or prices may be unreasonable, a cost comparison is

conducted to justify conversion to in-house or ISSA performance.

- 3. Severable Expansions.—Severable expansions of existing in-house, contracted or ISSA performed activities are obtained by a competitively awarded contract. If the expansion is not severable, a review of the entire activity, including the proposed expansion, is conducted for potential contract performance. If there is reason to believe that contract service quality or prices may be unreasonable, a cost comparison is conducted to justify conversion to in-house or ISSA performance.
 - 4. Interservice Support Agreements (ISSA).—
- a. Commercial activities may be performed by inhouse or contract resources or through ISSAs as provided by law and Part I, Chapter 2 of this Supplement.
- b. In responding to interservice support requests, potential agency service providers will certify that their reimbursable cost estimates reflect the full competitive costs to the Government as defined in this Supplement.
- 5. Activities With 10 or Fewer FTE.—Commercial activities involving 10 or fewer FTE may be performed by in-house, contract or ISSA performance, without cost comparison, if the contracting officer determines that offerors will provide required levels of service quality at fair and reasonable prices.
- 6. Activities of 11 or More FTE.—Commercial activities may be converted to contract or ISSA, without cost comparison, if fair and reasonable prices can be obtained through competitive award and all directly affected Federal employees serving on permanent appointments are reassigned to other comparable Federal positions for which they are qualified. In no case, shall any commercial activity be modified, reorganized, divided or in any way changed for the purpose of circumventing the requirements of this paragraph or this Supplement.
 - 7. Activities Performed by the Military.—
- a. The official in paragraph 9.a. of the Circular, or designee, may authorize the direct conversion of activities performed by uniformed military service personnel to contract performance, without cost comparison, if the contracting officer determines that fair and reasonable prices can be obtained from qualified commercial sources.
- b. If a cost comparison is conducted or otherwise required to convert to ISSA performance, the uniformed military positions included in the Government's in-house cost estimate are cost at the standard composite rate for uniformed personnel published

by the DOD or other applicable agency Comptroller. The Comptroller will also establish the number of productive hours for uniformed personnel (see Part II, Chapter 2, paragraph B, "Personnel").

- c. Civilian personnel will be cost as provided by this Supplement. While the uniformed positions may or may not be converted to civilian positions as a part of this process, the conversion of in-house civilian positions to uniformed positions is not authorized.
- 8. Preferential Procurement Programs.—A commercial activity of any size that is performed by Federal employees may be converted to contract performance, without cost comparison—even if it results in adverse employee actions, if the contract is awarded to a preferential procurement source at a fair market price. At the agency's discretion, a cost comparison may be conducted.
- 9. Lower Cost.—Contract or ISSA performance of a recurring commercial activity may be authorized by the results of a cost comparison conducted in accordance with the procedures described in this Supplement.

E. Agency Cost Comparison Waivers

- 1. The official in paragraph 9.a. of the Circular may authorize cost comparison waivers and direct conversions to or from in-house, contract or ISSA performance. ISSA cost comparison waivers may be granted by the requesting agency only.
- 2. Within the Department of Defense, the authority to issue general cost comparison waivers may be delegated to the Service Assistant Secretary or Departmental Agency Head, without further delegation.
 - 3. Waivers shall be granted only as follows:
- a. A written cost comparison waiver will be prepared and signed by the authorized waiver official. The waiver will be accompanied by a detailed determination that the conversion meets the following requirements:
- (1) The conversion will result in a significant financial or service quality improvement and a finding that the conversion will not serve to reduce significantly the level or quality of competition in the future award or performance of work; or
- (2) The waiver will establish why in-house or contract offers have no reasonable expectation of winning a competition conducted under the cost comparison procedures of this Supplement.
- 4. These general-function A-76 cost comparison waivers are subject to the administrative appeal procedures provided at Part I, Chapter 3, paragraph K, of this Supplement. While the justification for a waiv-

er is subject to appeal, a decision not to issue a waiver is not subject to appeal.

- 5. Federal employees adversely affected by a decision to waive a cost comparison shall be afforded the same personnel considerations provided at Paragraph H of this Chapter.
- 6. Cost comparison waivers are granted to Department of Defense and other Federal installations scheduled for closure or in cases where functions are designated for termination on specified dates.

F. Inventory

Agencies will maintain a baseline inventory of all in-house commercial activities performed by the agency and will update the inventory annually. This inventory, which will identify information on all completed cost comparisons, will include the data specified at Appendix 2 of this Supplement and will be made available to the public upon request.

G. Review of Documents

- 1. Access to Supporting Documentation.—
- a. At the earliest possible stages of development, consistent with procurement and conflict of interest requirements, affected parties will have the opportunity to fully participate in the development of supporting documents and proposals, including the development of performance standards, performance work statements, management plans, and the development of in-house and contract cost estimates.
- b. Upon issuance, a solicitation used in the conduct of a cost comparison will be made available to directly affected Federal employees or their representatives for comment. The employees or their representatives will be given sufficient time to review the document and submit comments before final receipt of offers from the private sector. Private sector offerors shall comment as provided by the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR).
- 2. Appeals of Agency Decisions.—As provided by the Circular and this Supplement at Part I, Chapter 3, paragraph K, agencies shall make all relevant documents available for review as a part of the administrative appeal process. The detailed documentation shall include, at a minimum, the in-house cost estimate, with detailed supporting data, the completed cost comparison form itself, and the management plan.

H. Personnel Considerations

1. Adversely affected Federal employees are employees identified for release from their competitive level by an agency, in accordance with 5 CFR Part 351 and Chapter 35 of Title 5, United States Code, as a direct result of a decision to convert to contract,

ISSA performance or the agency's Most Efficient Organization (MEO).

- 2. Federal employees and existing Federal support contract employees adversely affected by a decision to convert to contract or ISSA performance have the Right-of-First-Refusal for jobs for which they are qualified that are created by the award of the conversion.
- a. A standard clause is included in direct conversion and A-76 cost comparison solicitations notifying potential contractors of this requirement (see FAR 52.207-3). The Right-of-First-Refusal is afforded to all Federal employees adversely affected by the decision to convert to contract performance.
- b. Executive Order 12933, "Non-Displacement of Qualified Workers Under Certain Contracts," dated October 20, 1994, also provides the Right-of-First-Refusal to contract employees (see FAR 7.305 (c)). As a matter of policy, the Right-of-First-Refusal offered at FAR 52.207–3 is superior.
- c. Personnel officers should work with the contracting officer and employees to implement these provisions.
- 3. Agencies should exert maximum efforts to find available positions for Federal employees adversely affected by conversion decisions, including:
- a. giving priority consideration for available positions within the agency;
- b. establishing a reemployment priority list and an effective placement program;

- c. paying reasonable costs for training and relocation that contribute directly to placement, and
- d. coordinating with the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) to ensure employees have access to placement programs, including the OPM-operated Displaced Employee Program (DEP) and the Interagency Placement Assistance Program (IPAP).
- 4. Agencies should notify employees affected as soon as possible of an impending cost comparison and keep them informed of its progress at every major milestone of the process.

I. Relationship to the Budget

- 1. Workload and resulting cost estimates will be consistent with the President's Budget covering the performance period. New or expanded work requirements, ISSAs and conversions of existing work to or from in-house or contract performance should be identified.
- 2. Agencies should include in each annual budget submission the savings from changes in the method of obtaining commercial activities. These savings will be submitted in accordance with the instructions of OMB Circular No. A-11, "Preparation and Submission of Budget Estimates." Changes in the method of performance should be timed to conform with the budget process.
- Agencies may request OMB approval to retain or redistribute budget savings to other critical missions.

EXHIBIT 1

CONDITIONS PERMITTING GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE OF COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES

- National Defense or Intelligence Security. The Secretary of Defense, or designee, approves national defense justifications. The Director of Central Intelligence, or designee, approves national security justifications.
- Patient Care. Commercial activities at Government-owned hospitals or other health facilities may be performed by in-house, ISSA or contract employees when needed to maintain the quality of direct patient care.
- Core Capability. A core capability of in-house and contract resources may be warranted for certain functional areas.
- Research and Development. Research and development activities may be converted to or from in-house, contract or ISSA without cost comparison. Severable support activities are subject to the cost comparison provisions of this Supplement.
- No Satisfactory Commercial Source Available. Agencies will solicit private sector interest and certify that the solicitation did not restrict or otherwise limit competition.
- Functions With 10 or Fewer FTE. May be converted to or from in-house, contract or ISSA, without a cost comparison, if the contracting officer determines that reasonable prices cannot otherwise be obtained.
- Meet Performance Standard. Agencies may demonstrate that the activity meets or exceeds generally recognized industry cost and performance standards, after all adjustments required by this Supplement.
- 8. Lower Cost. Results of a cost comparison demonstrate that in-house performance is less costly.
- Temporary Authorization. Temporary emergency performance may be warranted not to exceed the next full contract option year.

CONDITIONS PERMITTING CONTRACT PERFORMANCE OF COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES

- Contracted Activities. Should be obtained by contract, unless a cost comparison demonstrates that in-house or ISSA performance is more cost effective.
- 2. New Requirement. Should be obtained by contract, unless contract quality or price appear unreasonable. A cost comparison is performed to convert the activity to in-house or ISSA performance.
- 3. Severable Expansions. Same as above.
- 4. *ISSAs.* Commercial activities should not be performed through new or expanded ISSAs, except as provided by law or this Supplement.
- 5. Activities With 10 or Fewer FTE. May be converted to or from in-house, contract or ISSA, without a cost comparison.
- 6. Activities with 11 or More FTE. May be converted to contract or ISSA, without cost comparison, if fair and reasonable contract prices can be obtained by competitive award and all directly affected Federal employees on permanent appointments can be reassigned to other comparable Federal positions.
- Activities Performed by the Military. Activities performed by military (uniformed) personnel may be converted
 to contract without cost comparison. Military positions included in cost comparisons are cost at the composite rates provided by the DOD or other appropriate agency Comptroller.
- 8. *Preferential Procurement Programs.* Contract performance may be granted, without cost comparison, if the contract is awarded to a preferential procurement program.
- Lower Cost. Conversion to contract is required if a cost comparison indicates that contract performance is the lower cost alternative.

Chapter 2—Interservice Support Agreements (ISSA)

A. General

- 1. In accordance with the provisions of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, the Economy Act of 1932 (31 USC 1535), and the Government Management Reform Act of 1994 (103 USC 356), excess property and common administrative services available from other Federal departments or agencies may be used, *unless* the needed product or service can be obtained more economically through agency or private sector resources. The cost principles and competition procedures established by this Supplement are to be used to determine when services should be performed by in-house, contract or interservice support agreement (ISSA) resources.
- 2. Federal agencies shall not provide commercial activities to the private sector. OMB approval or specific statutory authority is required to deviate from this policy.
- 3. In accordance with OMB Circular A-97, "Rules and Regulations Permitting Federal Agencies to Provide Specialized or Technical Services to State and Local Units of Government," Federal agencies must conduct cost comparisons prior to offering to provide or receive commercial services to or from State or local government agencies. This requirement does not, however, apply to exceptional emergency circumstances such as disaster relief requirements.
- 4. In accordance with OMB Circular A-126, "Improving the Management and Use of Government Aircraft," dated May 22, 1992, agencies will conduct approved cost comparisons before retaining, purchasing or otherwise providing, directly or through ISSAs, Federal aircraft or aviation services (see Appendix 6).
- 5. In recognition of Government-wide downsizing and reinvention efforts, the cost comparison requirements of this Supplemental Handbook shall not apply to any ISSA consolidations, where the transfer of work is accomplished prior to October 1, 1997, unless that consolidation includes the conversion of work to or from contract performance and such conversion is not otherwise authorized by this Supplemental Handbook.
- a. Effective October 1, 1997, the cost comparison requirements of this Supplemental Handbook will not apply to existing or renewed ISSAs or to the consolidation of commercial or other services within a Department or agency, unless that consolidation includes the conversion of work to or from in-house or contract performance. New, expanded or transferred work requirements will be authorized for per-

formance by an ISSA only as provided by the cost comparison or other provisions of this Supplemental Handbook.

6. The cost comparison provisions of this Chapter do not apply to the performance of inherently governmental functions, such as reimbursable procurement or contract administration services.

B. Specific

- 1. The prospective providing agency will furnish the requesting agency a firm price or reimbursable rate for the requested new or expanded product or service. The prospective provider will also issue a certification that its price or reimbursable rate is calculated in accordance with Part II of this Supplement. This cost estimate will then be compared by the requesting agency to an in-house and/or a commercial offer, also calculated or adjusted in accordance with this Supplement. A contract shall be awarded by the requesting agency, if the commercial offer is more economical.
- a. If the prospective provider is responding to a formal solicitation issued by the requesting agency, the prospective provider shall submit to the requesting agency a synopsis, management plan and Government cost estimate developed in accordance with this Supplement. A complete response, as required by the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), is not required.
- (1) Under no condition, shall the requesting agency cancel or otherwise delay bid opening or contract award in order to permit an agency to submit an ISSA price or reimbursable rate.
- (2) The requesting agency may accept or reject the prospective provider's offer as technically qualified or unqualified as it deems appropriate and without appeal. Prospective providers who submit a technically acceptable offer shall compete with private sector and other in-house offers.
- b. Agencies that wish to provide a commercial activity to another Department or agency may petition the agency to conduct a cost comparison.
- c. At the sole discretion of the requesting agency, the prospective provider may submit performance standard data, as provided by Chapter 1, paragraph C.7. of this Part. The prospective provider shall certify that all necessary adjustments to its performance measures and cost standards have been made. The requesting agency shall review the documentation for these adjustments and make similar adjustments to

the private and other in-house offers based upon the submission of performance measures.

- 2. Competitions between a requesting agency, private sector offeror and a potential ISSA provider may require special performance and price adjustments to ensure that all competitors are treated equitably. These performance and price adjustments, include:
 - -Contract Price
 - -Contract Administration Costs
 - -Additional Costs
 - -One-time Conversion Costs
 - -Gain/Loss on Disposal/Transfer of Assets
 - —Federal Income Taxes
 - -Other Adjustment Costs
 - -Minimum Differential Costs
- 3. Proposals to obtain new or expanded products or services from another Government agency or private sector offeror, including ISSA proposals, will be published in the *Commerce Business Daily*.
- 4. An agency that is currently obtaining a commercial support service from another Department or agency may, with proper notification, terminate that relationship and convert directly to contract performance without cost comparison. If, however, the agency wishes to perform that work directly with in-house resources, it will need to justify that decision through a cost comparison for a "new requirement." Again, this provision does not apply to the performance of inherently governmental activities.
- 5. Agencies will not retain, create or expand capacity for the purpose of providing new or expanded

levels of interservice support services, unless justified by the cost comparison requirements of this Supplement.

- a. Once an interservice support provider has competed its entire interservice support workload with the private sector, that provider may provide new or expanded interservice support work—of the same type—to other agencies, without further review or cost comparison on its or the requesting agency's part. This ability to offer services, without cost comparison, will continue until the providing agency has increased its capability and total workload by the lesser of (1) the expansion requirements of this Supplement or (2) more than 65 FTE are added to the in-house capability, at which time another full review or individual cost comparisons are required.
- b. Paragraph 5.a. notwithstanding, if a new or expanded ISSA results in a general conversion of work to or from in-house or contract performance and a cost comparison has not previously justified the provider's method of performance, a cost comparison is required.
- 6. Cost comparisons conducted to justify ISSAs are subject to independent review and appeal. Prior to bid opening, the requesting agency's Independent Review Officer shall review all Government bids for compliance with the requirements of this Supplement. Appeals shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 3, paragraph K, of this Part.

Chapter 3—Cost Comparisons

A. General

- 1. Except as provided in Chapter 1 of this Part, agencies will conduct cost comparisons when activities do not meet established performance standards, when agencies believe fair and reasonable prices cannot be obtained from qualified commercial sources, or as otherwise provided to permit the conversion of work to or from in-house, contract or interservice support agreement (ISSA) performance. Detailed guidance on the conduct of cost comparisons is contained in Part II of this Supplement.
- 2. In consolidating activities for cost comparison, agencies should take existing industry structures, contract administration and other management considerations into account.
- 3. In general, the cost comparison process consists of six major components. They are: (1) the development of a Performance Work Statement (PWS) and Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP); (2) the performance of a management study to determine the Government's Most Efficient Organization (MEO); (3) the development of an in-house Government cost estimate; (4) issuance of the Request for Proposal (RFP) or Invitation for Bid (IFB); (5) the comparison of the in-house bid against a proposed contract or ISSA price, and (6) the Administrative Appeal Process, which is designed to assure that all costs entered on the Cost Comparison Form (CCF) are fair, accurate and calculated in accordance with Part II of this Supplement.
- 4. Cost comparisons should be completed within eighteen months for a single activity (or thirty-six months for multiple activities) from the cost comparison start date, i.e., public or union notification and designation of the study team. Agencies are to provide an annual report to OMB on all cost comparisons that exceed these time frames, including a description of the problems encountered, remedial actions, status and expected completion date.

B. The Cost Comparison Study Team

1. Generally, a central or field agency study team should be formed. Over time, the team may include individuals with expertise in management analysis, position classification, work measurement, value engineering (see OMB Circular A-131), industrial engineering, cost analysis, procurement and the technical aspects of the activity under study. The team should document mission requirements and seek new and

innovative ways to provide the required products or services.

- 2. Agencies are encouraged to seek training on the policies and procedures of Circular A-76 and this Supplement, and to ensure that the skills necessary to prepare the Performance Work Statement, in-house management plan and cost estimate are available. Joint training for employees and their representatives is encouraged.
- 3. Procurement restrictions prohibit Federal procurement officials from subsequently working for a contractor on a procurement in which the procurement official was involved. "Procurement official" in this sense includes personnel in the commercial activity who are directly and substantially involved in preparing or approving the PWS, management plan, the in-house cost estimate, or supporting the source selection evaluation process. (See FAR 3.104–4(h) (3) and 41 USC 423.)
- a. Employees who participate or provide data to support the development of the various study elements, but do not review, approve or have direct knowledge of the final performance work statement, performance standards, MEO, in-house or contract cost estimates are not considered "procurement officials" and are not affected by this restriction.
- b. The participation of functional experts is essential to the quality of the cost comparison. However, when participation on the study team could adversely affect their rights under the Right-of-First-Refusal or the opportunity for future employment with the contractor, employees should be given the option to decline participation.
- c. At a minimum, certifying officials for the PWS and Management Plan, the Independent Review Officer(s), those who sign the cost comparison form and the Administrative Appeal Authority are considered procurement officials.

C. Performance Work Statements

- 1. Performance Work Statements (PWS) should be developed for all activities being resolicited for contract or scheduled for direct conversion to or from in-house, contract or ISSA performance.
- 2. The PWS defines what is being requested, the performance standards and measures, and timeframes required. It provides the technical performance sections of the Request for Proposals (RFP) or Invitation for Bid (IFB) issued by the contracting officer.

- 3. In the development of the PWS, agencies should refer to the Office of Federal Procurement Policy's (OFPP) Policy Letter 91–2, "Service Contracting," dated April 9, 1991; OFPP Policy Letter 93–1, "Management Oversight of Service Contracting," dated May 18, 1994, and the OFPP Best Practices Guide to Performance-Based Service Contracting.
- 4. Special care should be taken when developing the PWS to ensure that it does not limit service options, arbitrarily increase risk, reduce competition, unnecessarily violate industry service or service grouping norms or omit statutory or regulatory requirements without full justification. The PWS should be performance-oriented, specifying what outputs or measures are desired and limiting directions as to how the results are achieved. Agencies should not consider a PWS that limits the options available for providing the required product or service, or otherwise unnecessarily restricts private sector participation as being in compliance with Circular A-76 or this Supplement.

D. Quality Assurance Surveillance Plans

1. The Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) describes the methods of inspection to be used, the reports required and the resources to be employed with estimated work-hours. Although the QASP accompanies the PWS to the Independent Review Officer (IRO) for a cost comparison, it need not be included as a part of the solicitation or provided to private sector offerors. In-house, contract and ISSA offerors should develop their offers based upon the requirements of the PWS alone. The QASP process is supplemented with periodic Post-MEO Performance Reviews.

E. Management Plans

- 1. The Management Plan describes the Government's Most Efficient Organization (MEO) and is the basis of the Government's in-house cost estimates. The Management Plan, which must reflect the scope of the Performance Work Statement, should identify the organizational structures, staffing and operating procedures, equipment, transition and inspection plans necessary to ensure that the in-house activity is performed in an efficient and cost effective manner.
- 2. Agencies may consider existing management reinvention, consolidation, re-engineering, personnel classification, market and other analyses in the identification and development of the MEO.
- 3. The Management Plan is certified as reflecting the Government's Most Efficient Organization

- (MEO). The certifying official may be any technically competent individual: (a) organizationally independent of the function under study or (b) at least two levels above the most senior official included in the in-house cost estimate. The certifying official must also be able to commit to the provision of necessary resources to perform the activity. Such certification is made before the review of bids or proposals.
- 4. The Management Plan will document the assumptions used in the development of the MEO and in-house cost estimate, including:
- a. Summary. An overall comparison of the current organization with the MEO and a review of any special initiatives or assumptions, including equipment or productivity changes.
- b. The Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP). A description of the Government's in-house Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan and how it will differ, including resources, if services are provided by ISSA or contract, and why.
- c. Assets. When existing assets used by the Government's MEO are not provided to the ISSA or contractor for use, an analysis of the benefits to the Government may be warranted.
- d. Transition Plan. A plan for the transition to or from current organizational structure to MEO, contract or ISSA performance—designed to minimize disruption, adverse impacts, capitalization and start-up requirements.
- e. In-house Cost Estimate. A description of all costs associated with the performance of the MEO, calculated in accordance with Part II of this Supplement.

F. Safeguarding the MEO

- 1. The Management Plan and the MEO are considered procurement sensitive documents until a tentative decision is reached, e.g., at bid opening and completion of the cost comparison form.
- 2. The Management Plan, MEO and in-house cost estimate are delivered as sealed documents to the contracting officer prior to the due date for the receipt of bids or technical proposals. The period available to deliver contract offers will be extended until the MEO and the in-house cost estimates are sealed. No private sector offer is opened or otherwise reviewed prior to the sealing of the Government's in-house cost estimate.

G. Solicitations

1. The contracting officer reviews the PWS to ensure that it is adequate and appropriate to serve as

- a basis for award. The Contracting Officer issues a solicitation based on the PWS.
- 2. The contracting officer, when contracting by sealed bid, inserts in cost comparison solicitations the provision at FAR 52.207-1, Notice of Cost Comparison (Sealed Bid).
- 3. The contracting officer, when contracting by competitive negotiation or source selection, inserts in cost comparison solicitations the provision at FAR 52.207-2, Notice of Cost Comparison (Negotiated).
- 4. The contracting officer inserts the clauses at FAR 52.207-3 and 7.305, the Right-of-First-Refusal of Employment, in all direct conversion and cost comparison solicitations.

H. Methods of Procurement

- 1. All competitive methods of Federal procurement provided by the FAR are appropriate for cost comparison under the Circular and this Supplement. This includes: sealed bid, two-step, source selection and other competitive qualifications-based or negotiated procurement techniques.
- 2. In selecting the method of procurement and contract type, the contracting officer analyzes the PWS and applies the guidance contained in OFPP Policy Letter 91-2 and FAR Part 16.
- 3. Source Selection or negotiated procurement techniques may be used for some A-76 Cost Comparisons. To ensure equity in the cost comparison process, the following guidelines are provided:
- a. In addition to the PWS, Management Plan and in-house cost estimate, the Government, like the private sector offerors, shall submit the Technical Performance Plan required by the solicitation to the A-76 Independent Review Officer (IRO). The Technical Performance Plan reflects the MEO and is sealed prior to the consideration of any part of any contract offer.
- b. As required by the FAR, the Government should establish a Source Selection Authority, including assurances that there are no potential conflicts of interest in the membership of the Authority.
- c. The Authority reviews contract and ISSA offers and identifies that offer which represents the "best overall value to the Government." This contract offer competes with the Government's in-house cost estimate.
- d. With the selection of the competitive offer, the contracting officer submits to the Authority the Government's in-house Management Plan, which must comply with the technical proposal requirements of the solicitation. The Authority evaluates the in-house offer and assesses whether or not the same level of

performance and performance quality will be achieved. The Authority should not review or have access to the in-house cost estimate.

e. The Government makes all changes necessary to meet the performance standards accepted by the Authority. Revised cost estimates are resubmitted to the IRO for acceptance. This will assure that the Government's in-house cost estimate is based upon the same scope of work and performance levels as the best value contract offer.

I. The Independent Review

- 1. The Government's cost estimates are certified in writing by the agency's A-76 Independent Review Officer (IRO), or designee, as being in full compliance with the procedures and requirements described in this Supplement. The IRO should be a qualified person from an impartial activity that is organizationally independent of the commercial activity being studied and the activity preparing the cost comparison.
- 2. The PWS, Management Plan, QASP and all Government developed cost estimates, with supporting documentation, are forwarded to the agency IRO, or designee, for review. This is done prior to submission of the Cost Comparison Form (CCF) and supporting data to the contracting officer.
 - 3. The IRO acts as an independent authority to:
- a. ensure that the data contained in the Management Plan reasonably establish the Government's ability to perform the PWS within the resources provided by the MEO, and
- b. ensure that all costs entered on the CCF are fully justified and calculated in accordance with the procedures described in Part II of this Supplement.

J. Evaluation of Bids and Tentative Decisions

- 1. For sealed bid procurements, the contracting officer opens the bids, including the Government's in-house cost estimate, and enters the price of the apparent low offeror on the Cost Comparison Form (CCF). After all necessary adjustments are made and the CCF is completed, the contracting officer announces the tentative decision, subject to evaluation of bids for responsiveness, responsibility and resolution of possible administrative appeals. The appeal period begins when access to the completed CCF, and all supporting documentation, is provided to affected parties for review, usually the day of bid opening.
- 2. If, as a result of an appeal or other problem, the selected competitive offeror is other than the previously announced apparent low bidder, the CCF

is revised. All affected parties should be notified of any such revision.

- 3. For a negotiated or best value procurement, after selection of the private sector's most advantageous proposal, and all necessary adjustments have been made to ensure that the Government's in-house cost estimate and the other offers are based upon the same scope of work and performance standards, the contracting officer opens the Government's in-house cost estimate, and completes the CCF.
- 4. If, after contract start, the cost comparison "winner" is found to be unresponsive or otherwise unable to perform, the Government should seek a reaffirmation of bids received from the in-house, private sector and ISSA, as appropriate, to the cost comparison solicitation. Adjustments, limited to time delays or inflation, should be accommodated for all offerors. The CCF is then recalculated and award made to the next lowest bidder.

K. Appeals of Tentative Waiver and Cost Comparison Decisions

- 1. Following a tentative waiver or A-76 cost comparison decision, the A-76 Administrative Appeals process is invoked. To be eligible for review under the A-76 Administrative Appeals process, appeals must:
 - a. Be submitted by an eligible appellant.
- b. In the case of a waiver, be received by the official in paragraph 9.a. of the Circular, or designee. In the case of a tentative cost comparison decision, be received by the contracting officer. In either case, the appeal must be received in writing and within 20 calendar days after the date that all supporting documentation is made publicly available. The agency may extend the appeal period to a maximum of 30 days if the cost comparison is particularly complex.
- c. Address specific questions regarding an agency's compliance with the requirements and procedures of this Circular, factual questions regarding agency justifications to waive a cost comparison, or address specific questions regarding the costs entered by the Government on the applicable Cost Comparison Form and set forth the rationale for questioning those items.
- d. Identify specific instances of agency denials of information not otherwise protected by law or regulation.
- e. Demonstrate that the items appealed, individually or in aggregate, would reverse the tentative decision
 - 2. An eligible appellant is defined as:

- a. Federal employees (or their representatives) and existing Federal contractors affected by a tentative decision to waive a cost comparison;
- b. Federal employees (or their representatives) and contractors that have submitted formal bids or offers who would be affected by a tentative decision to convert to or from in-house, contract or ISSA performance as a result of a cost comparison; or
- c. agencies that have submitted formal offers to compete for the right to provide services through ISSAs.
- 3. With receipt of an eligible appeal, the official designated in paragraph 9.a. of the Circular, or designee, assigns an official(s) to serve as the A-76 Administrative Appeal Authority for that appeal. The individual(s) selected must be: (a) two levels above the official who signed the waiver, in the case of a cost comparison waiver authorized under Chapter 1, paragraph E, of this Part; or (b) independent of the activity under review or at least two organizational levels above the official who certified the Government's Management Plan and MEO, in the case of a tentative cost comparison appeal.
- 4. The Appeal Authority ensures that the cost items challenged in the appeal are properly accounted for in accordance with the procedures of Part II of this Supplement. The Authority also ensures that all participants to the cost comparison process have appropriate access to the decision process.
- 5. If significant problems with the waiver justification or cost comparison estimates are found, such that the tentative decision may be unsupported or is in error, the Appeal Authority corrects the error and cost comparison, if applicable, and the agency proceeds according to the amended decision. The Authority will not review any item not formally challenged by an eligible appellant.
- 6. Agency A-76 Administrative Appeal procedures do not apply to questions concerning:
- a. the selection of one contract offeror or another for competition with the in-house cost estimate;
- b. award to one contractor in preference to another;
- c. Government management decisions involving the Government's certified in-house MEO, and
- d. the policies or procedures contained in the Circular and this Supplement.
- 7. The procedure does not authorize an appeal outside the agency or judicial review, nor does it authorize sequential appeals. The appeal process provides reasonable assurances that decisions to waive the cost comparison requirements of this Supplement

are properly reviewed and that the cost comparison requirements of this Supplement are properly adhered to, when applicable. Therefore, all directly affected parties are expected to submit their appeals within the initial appeal period.

8. The appeals procedure should provide for a final decision within 30 days of receipt of the appeal by the Appeal Authority.

L. Post-MEO Performance Review

- 1. When services are performed in-house as a result of a cost comparison, including those involving an ISSA, a formal review and inspection of the Most Efficient Organization (MEO) should be conducted. Typically, this review should be conducted following the end of the first full year of performance.
- 2. The Post-MEO Performance Review confirms that the MEO has been implemented in accordance with the Transition Plan, establishes the MEO's ability to perform the services of the PWS and confirms that actual costs are within the estimates contained in the in-house estimate. Adjustments may be made for formal mission or scope of work changes.
- 3. Post-MEO Reviews will be conducted at the direction of the official in paragraph 9.a. of the Circular, or designee, but must be independent of the most senior official included in the Government's in-house or ISSA cost estimate. Post-MEO Performance Reviews will be conducted on not less than 20 percent of the functions performed by the Government as a result of a cost comparison.
- 4. MEO implementation may be measured in terms of the FTE, grade structure and the contract support included in the Transition and Management Plan.
- 5. MEO performance may be measured in terms of workload, responsiveness and quality of work. Spe-

- cial inspections and a review of the activity's implementation of the Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan may be necessary.
- 6. Cost conformance may be determined by an analysis of actual labor and material costs against the Personnel, Material, and Other Specifically Attributable costs on the final CCF. Care should be taken to assure that adjustments are made for retained or saved pay and for fringe benefit factors when using actual cost records.
- 7. Minor cost or performance deficiencies may be corrected to maintain the integrity of the cost comparison process. A period of time consistent with that given to a contractor may be given to the in-house or ISSA activity to correct any deficiencies found. Failure to correct deficiencies that would individually or in aggregate invalidate the original cost comparison, or any finding of a significant deviation from the requirements of the PWS, shall result in the following:

As with a contract default, if an in-house or ISSA failure to perform is identified, including failure to implement the MEO as provided by the Transition Plan, the contracting officer will award the work to next lowest offerer who participated in the cost comparison, if feasible. If award to the next lowest offeror is not feasible the contracting officer will immediately resolicit to conduct a revised and updated cost comparison.

8. An annual list of Post-MEO Performance Review certifications will be made available to the public upon request. This list will identify the total number of cost comparisons completed since the issuance of this Revised Supplemental Handbook and the number of Post-MEO Performance Reviews completed.

PART II

PREPARING THE COST COMPARISON ESTIMATES

Table of Contents

		Page			Page
Chapter 1—Implementation Instructions				oter 3—Developing the Cost of Contract Per-	
A.	General	17	for	rmance	
В.	Organization	17	A.	General	25
]har	oter 2—Developing the Cost of Government Per-		B.	Contract Price (Line 7)	25
formance			1	1. Overview	25
	General	18		2. Contract Types	25
	1. Overview	18		3. Tax Exempt Organizations	25
	2. Standard Cost Factors	18		4. Procurement Preference Eligible Organi-	
	3. Common Costs	18		zations	25
	4. Retained and Save Pay	18	c.	Contract Administration (Line 8)	25
	5. Cost of Conducting a Cost Comparison	18	D.	Additional (Line 9)	26
	6. Proration of Performance Periods	18	E.	One-Time Conversion (Line 10)	26
	7. In-House Costs	18	E.		26
	8. Minimum Cost Differentials	19		1. Overview	26
	9. Rounding Rule	19		2. Material Related Costs	
	10. Inflation	19		3. Labor Related Costs	26
	11. Other ISSA Adjustments	19		4. Other Costs.	26
B.	Personnel (Line 1)	19		5. One-Time Cost Computation	26
C.	Material and Supply (Line 2)	21	F.	Gain from the Disposal/Transfer of Assets	
D.	Other Specifically Attributable (Line 3)	21		(Line 11)	26
~.	1. Overview	21	G.	Federal Income Tax (Line 12)	27
	2. Depreciation	22	H.	Total Cost—Contract Performance (Line 13)	27
	3. Cost of Capital	22	Char	oter 4—Calculating the Cost Comparison Deci-	
	4. Rent	22	sio	-	
	5. Maintenance and Repair	22	A.	Conversion Differential (Line 14)	28
	6. Utilities	22	В.	Adjusted Total In-house Cost (Line 15)	28
	7. Insurance	23	C.	· ·	28
	8. Travel	23	I	Adjusted Total Contract Cost (Line 16)	28
	9. MEO Subcontract Costs	23	D.	The Cost Comparison Decision (Lines 17/18)	40
	10. Other Costs.	23	Chap	oter 5—Streamlined Cost Comparisons for Ac-	
E.	Overhead (Line 4)	23	tiv	ities with 65 FTE or Less	
F.	Additional (Line 5)	23	A.	General	31
Ğ.	Total Cost—In-House Performance (Line 6)	24	В.	Procedure	31
	` '		•		

Chapter 1—Implementation Instructions

A. General

- 1. Part II provides generic and streamlined cost comparison guidance to comply with the provisions of Circular A-76 and this Supplement. This includes guidance for developing in-house costs based upon the Government's Most Efficient Organization (MEO) and other adjustments to the contract and interservice support agreement (ISSA) price. It also provides the principles for the development of cost-based performance standards or other measures that are comparable to those used by commercial sources. Appendices 6 and 7 provide sector-specific cost comparison guidance.
- 2. The guidance provided by this Part relies on the managerial cost accounting and performance standards established in support of the CFO Act, GPRA and Federal Accounting Standards. Cost and performance information developed for competitions subject to the Circular and this Supplement should be drawn from the data base established by these standards and adjusted as appropriate. This guidance is to be used by Federal agencies to ensure that cost comparisons are fair and reasonable.
- 3. A cost comparison between in-house, contract or ISSA performance seems straight-forward, but, in fact, is complicated by the very different ways Government agencies and commercial sources account for cost. For example, the Government buys capital equipment and may recognize the entire expense when payment is made. The commercial sector may borrow funds and recognize the expense of capital equipment as it is used. All costs incurred by commercial sources are ultimately charged to a "customer," whereas agency costs may be met by several different appropriations accounts, revolving funds or mixes thereof. Insurance is a real cost of doing business in the commercial sector, while the Federal Government is a "self-insured entity." Taxes are paid by most commercial sources and received and used by the public sector. Assets are purchased from owners equity in the commercial sector, yet they are purchased by the taxpayer in the public sector. The

- Government may incur employee retained pay or save pay as a way of mitigating the adverse impacts of a management decision, without assessing these costs to the activity. The commercial sector passes these types of costs on to the customer. These and other differences necessitate cost comparison requirements that equalize the systems to reflect the total alternative costs to the Government and the taxpayer. Such costs may or may not be fully reflected by agency accounts.
- 4. The procedures set forth in this Part recognize the absence of a uniform accounting system throughout the Federal Government and are intended to establish a practical level of consistency to assure that all substantive factors are considered.

B. Organization

- 1. Part II is divided into five chapters.
- 2. Chapter 2 provides the generic principles and procedures for developing the cost of in-house performance to the Government. The principles and procedures of Chapter 2 represent a competitive cost comparison.
- 3. Chapter 3 provides the generic principles and procedures for developing the cost of contract or ISSA performance to the Government. The principles and procedures of Chapter 3 represent a competitive cost comparison.
- 4. Chapter 4 provides procedures for computing the minimum conversion differential, calculating the financial advantages to the Government associated with Government or contract performance and the cost comparison decision.
- 5. Chapter 5 provides an alternative cost comparison methodology for activities involving 65 in-house FTE or less at the time of study announcement. While the principles and procedures of Chapter 5 represent a competitive cost comparison, this non-mandatory alternative approach is provided to minimize the administrative costs associated with cost comparisons, ensure timely completion and preserve the equity and cost comparability requirements of this Supplement.

Chapter 2—Developing the Cost of Government Performance

A. General

- 1. Overview.—
- a. This Chapter provides the policies and procedures that will be used when the Government determines that a cost comparison between in-house (agency), contract or interservice support agreement (ISSA) performance is warranted.
- b. The procedures of Part I of this Supplement regarding cost comparison waivers, the certification of the Government's MEO, review by an Independent Review Officer and the Administrative Appeals process apply. Cost comparisons will be based upon the same scope of work and performance requirements contained in the Performance Work Statement (PWS).
- c. Cost comparisons are conducted in accordance with this guidance, modified to the extent applicable by Chapter 5 of this Part. The procedures differ for the conversion of work from contract or ISSA to in-house performance, however, in four basic areas: (1) the identification of *new* or *increased* in-house costs, (2) one-time conversion costs and (3) the calculation of the minimum cost differential, and (4) certain other adjustments that may be necessary if an ISSA is being considered.
- 2. Standard Cost Factors.—Standard cost factors are to be used as prescribed in this Part. Agencies are encouraged to collect agency or sector-specific data to update and improve upon the standard cost factors provided herein. The official in paragraph 9.a. of the Circular, or designee, may develop alternative agency-wide or sector-specific standard cost factors, including overhead, for approval by OMB.
- 3. Common Costs.—Costs that would be the same for in-house, contract or ISSA performance, without organizational, workload, or responsibility changes need not be computed or entered into the cost comparison. Common costs or "wash" items will be identified in the Management Plan for review.
- 4. Retained and Save Pay.—Retained and save pay are not included in the in-house cost estimates. Agencies are encouraged to seek their Most Efficient Organization (MEO), without penalty of historical inefficiencies. Agencies cost only the "positions" in the MEO.
- 5. Cost of Conducting a Cost Comparison.—The cost of conducting a cost comparison is not added to the in-house cost estimate or contract price. This is an administrative expense associated with good

management practices and is irrelevant to the cost of performance.

- 6. Proration of Performance Periods.—Cost comparisons are conducted using not less than three years of proposal/cost data, submitted by the Government and commercial sources. In-house cost estimates and contract prices will reflect the same multi-year basis. If permitted by statute and the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), performance periods for cost comparisons in excess of five years may be approved by the official in paragraph 9.a. of the Circular, or designee. Multi-year procurement or pre-priced renewal options provide advantages such as continuity of operations, the possibility of lower prices, and reduced turbulence and disruption. However, in extending the performance period, the official in paragraph 9.a. of the Circular, or designee, must certify that no known cost comparison advantage be conveyed to the in-house, contract or ISSA bid by the extension.
 - 7. In-House Costs.—
- a. The competitive cost of in-house performance includes all significant performance costs associated with the activity that are not common to the in-house, contract or ISSA options. The in-house cost estimate is based upon the following:
 - —Personnel Costs
 - -Materials and Supply Costs
 - —Other Specifically Attributable Costs
 - —Depreciation
 - —Cost of Capital
 - -Rent
 - -Maintenance and Repair
 - —Utilities
 - —Insurance
 - —Travel
 - -MEO Subcontracts
 - -Other Costs
 - —Overhead Costs
 - —Additional Costs
- b. In addition to costs generally associated with the in-house performance of an activity, including personnel, material and overhead costs, a conversion from contract or ISSA performance to in-house performance may require increased costs for facilities and equipment. The cost of all capital assets not currently provided to the contractor will be computed using the depreciation and cost of capital methods provided in this Chapter. Increases for the rent, maintenance and repair, utilities, travel and

their associated overhead is also calculated. Government costs that would be the same for in-house, contract or ISSA operation, should be identified, but need not be computed.

- 8. Minimum Cost Differentials.—
- a. This Supplement establishes a minimum threshold of undefined costs that must be exceeded prior to a conversion to or from in-house, contract or ISSA performance. The minimum differential is also established to ensure that the Government will not undertake a conversion for marginal estimated savings.
- b. An activity will not be converted to or from in-house, contract or ISSA performance, on the basis of a cost comparison, unless the minimum cost differential is met. The minimum cost differential is the lesser of 10 percent of in-house personnel-related costs (Line 1) or, \$10 million over the performance period. Factors such as decreased productivity, and other costs of disruption that cannot be easily quantified at the time of the cost comparison are included in this differential.
- c. Whenever a cost comparison involves a mix of existing in-house, contract, new or expanded requirements, or assumes full or partial conversions to inhouse performance, each portion is addressed individually and the total minimum differential is calculated accordingly.
- 9. Rounding Rule.—Round all line entries on the Cost Comparison Form (CCF) to the nearest dollar.
 - 10. Inflation.-
- a. Agencies will use the annual inflation guidance developed annually for the President's Budget and provided by OMB for use in cost comparisons conducted in accordance with this Supplement.
- b. In preparing cost estimates, all known or anticipated increases incurred before the end of the first performance period; e.g., salary increases for Government employees, are included in each cost element—prorated as appropriate. For subsequent periods, the cost of anticipated changes in the scope of work, as described in the PWS, is determined. Inflation factors for pay and non-pay categories will then be applied to the estimated year-end costs for the first year of performance. There are some exceptions to the inflation adjustments as discussed later, such as personnel costs subject to economic price adjustment clauses of the Service Contract Act, Davis-Bacon Act, depreciation costs for facilities and equipment, and the cost of minor items.
- c. To calculate out-year costs: (1) determine the cost elements affected by inflation during each performance period. For each period, ensure that the

number of months in the period and the changes in the PWS for each period have been considered; (2) multiply each cost element for each performance period by the respective salary/wage or material cost inflation factors to the applicable performance period, and (3) once adjusted for inflation, calculate the total cost of that CCF Line item.

11. Other ISSA Adjustments.—

- a. It is not the intent of this Supplement to require an ISSA offeror to significantly alter its methods of operation to provide unique or site specific services. While such services may meet agency missions and may legitimately be included in the solicitation, additional adjustments to the ISSA cost estimate may be necessary to reflect differences in in-house and contractor bids.
- b. Agencies should identify the minor differences between the requirements of the solicitation (contractor bid) and the ISSA cost estimate. The agency determines if any item or combination of items will impact the agency's ability to perform. If the agency's ability to perform would be adversely impacted, the ISSA cost estimates may be rejected as non-responsive. If the differences will have minimal agency performance implications, and/or can continue to be performed by agency personnel, the ISSA cost estimates will be adjusted for purposes of comparison with the contractor and MEO offers, based upon the comparable costs contained in the agency's MEO.
- c. A complete record of all adjustments to the contractor and ISSA cost estimates should be maintained and made available to the public upon request.

B. Personnel—Line 1

- 1. This Line includes the cost of all direct in-house labor and supervision necessary to accomplish the requirements specified in the PWS. Included are salaries, wages, fringe benefits, and other entitlements, such as uniform allowances and overtime. To determine Line 1 Personnel costs, identify the in-house staffing estimate and proper wage/grade classifications as described in the Management Plan.
- 2. In-house cost estimates that assume a mix of in-house labor and existing contract support should include the cost of labor for the Government's administration and in-house inspection of those support contracts on Line 1. Table 3–1, of this Part, may be used to estimate contract administration costs, based upon the estimated number of contract employees involved. The cost of the support contracts themselves, including the cost of related Government furnished equipment and facilities not provided to

the contractor under this cost comparison, should be entered on Line 3 Other Specifically Attributable costs.

- 3. Line 1 includes all competitive costs that could change if performance is converted to or from inhouse, contract or ISSA. Thus, Line 1 may also include certain management and oversight activities, such as personnel support, environmental or OSHA compliance management, legal or other direct administrative support costs.
- 4. The conclusion that an activity may be performed by contract or ISSA also reflects a decision that the work need not be accomplished by military or other uniformed Government personnel. The cost of military labor in a cost comparison, even if the work will remain military if retained in-house, will be determined by the composite rate for uniformed personnel established by the DOD or other applicable Comptroller.
- 5. Generally, in-house staffing should be expressed in terms of productive work hours. With the establishment of the number of productive work hours reguired, a conversion to the number of full-time equivalents (FTE) is needed. For full-time and parttime positions, estimate the total hours required by skill and divide by 1,776 annual available hours to determine the number of FTE positions required. For intermittent positions to be expressed in FTE, estimate total hours required by skill and divide by 2.007 annual available hours to determine the number of FTE positions required. The military agency comptroller will establish comparable productive hours for military personnel included in an MEO as military positions. The productive hours exclude annual leave, sick leave, administrative leave, training and other nonproductive hours. The factors result from differences in nonproductive time between types of positions.
- 6. The following considerations are used to compute personnel costs:
- a. *Position Title or Skill*—Identify the job. Example: carpenter, driver, janitor, supervisor, foreman, administrative clerk or department head.
- b. *Grade*—Identify the appropriate GS/FWS grade for each position title or skill.
- c. Number of FTE Required—Identify the FTE required for each grade. Identify the temporary and intermittent employee work years. This is important for later fringe benefit calculations, since intermittent and temporary employees get fewer benefits than full-time or part-time employees.

- d. Annual Salary/Wages—Pay information can be obtained from the personnel or finance office. Use current pay rates based on the Government-wide representative rate of step 5 for GS and step 4 for FWS employees. Multiply that pay rate by the number of FTE, except for intermittent positions where actual hours are used. As a rule, GS salary is expressed as an annual rate of pay and the FWS salary is expressed as an hourly rate. For positions to be used on a prearranged regularly scheduled tour of duty, this hourly rate is multiplied by 2,087 (the number of hours employees are paid annually).
- e. Other Entitlements—Include entitlements that will also earn fringe benefits. Work closely with the personnel office to make sure all entitlements are considered and to obtain current factors. Examples include: night differential pay for FWS employees, environmental differential pay and premium pay for Federal civilian fire fighters and law enforcement officers.
- f. Fringe Benefits or FICA—The following fringe benefit factors are estimated according to the Federal Accounting Standards for Liabilities-Exposure. Multiply the following Governmentwide standard factors by the appropriate basic pay:
- (1) Full or part-time permanent Federal civilian employees:
- (a) The standard retirement cost factor represents the Federal Government's complete share of the weighted CSRS/FERS retirement cost to the Government, based upon the full dynamic normal cost of the retirement systems; the normal cost of accruing retiree health benefits based on average participation rates; Social Security, and Thrift Savings Plan (TSP) contributions. The current (1996) rate is 23.7 percent of base payroll for all agencies. The comparable retirement cost factors for special class employees are 32.3 percent for air traffic controllers and 37.7 percent for law enforcement and fire protection employees.
- (b) The cost factor to be used for Federal employee insurance and health benefits, based on actual cost, is 5.6 percent, plus an additional 1.45 percent for Medicare.
- (c) The cost factor to be used for Federal employee miscellaneous fringe benefits (workmen's compensation, bonuses and awards, and unemployment programs) is 1.7 percent.
- (2) Intermittent or temporary Federal civilian employees.—The Federal Insurance Contribution Act (FICA) employer cost factor of 7.65 (or the current rate established by law) will be applied to civilian employees not covered by either of the two civilian

civil service retirement systems (normally intermittent and temporary employees). Apply the FICA rate only to wages and salaries subject to the tax; there is an annual salary limitation for FICA tax.

- g. Other Pay—Include entitlements that do not earn fringe benefits. Some examples are night differential pay for GS employees, overtime, holiday, awards, bonuses, and uniform allowances.
- h. *Personnel Cost*—Add Basic Pay, Fringe Benefits or FICA and other pay for all positions and total for both Federal Wage System (FWS) and General Schedule (GS) categories. This figure can now be used as a basis to compute the annual personnel costs for each performance period.
- 7. Adjustments to annual personnel costs for each performance period are made to reflect anticipated pay increases.
- 8. All in-house wages, salaries and other costs are adjusted for inflation consistent with the economic assumptions used in the President's most recent Budget, through the end of the first year of performance. Federal wages and salaries for contracts that contain an economic adjustment clause or are subject to the Service Contract Act (SCA) (41 USC 351–357) or the Davis-Bacon Act (DBA) (40 USC 276a—276a–7) are inflated to the end of the first performance period. However, when using the Department of Labor criteria, certain potential contract positions may not be covered under the SCA/DBA provisions; accordingly, the in-house related costs for such positions are escalated through the end of the cost comparison period.

C. Material and supply—Line 2

- 1. Material and supply costs are incurred in each performance period for goods such as raw materials, parts, subassemblies, components and office supplies. Material costs are calculated only if the materials are used by the activity and will not be provided to the contractor or ISSA provider by the Government.
- 2. Review the PWS to determine the materials required for in-house performance that will not be furnished to the contractor or ISSA provider. Normally, the contractor or ISSA provider will be expected to provide the supplies and materials necessary to perform the work described in the PWS. The policy regarding contractor or ISSA use of Government provided supplies and materials is set forth in FAR 51.101. Adjust historical material use and cost data to reflect the requirements of the PWS.
- 3. Determine if materials can be obtained on the open market at less cost than from other Government agencies. Material cost includes material, transport,

- handling and availability/delay costs. If so, obtain any necessary waivers from the other Government agency(s) to purchase materials on the open market. Include established allowances for normal scrap, spoilage, overruns and defective work. List required material by quantity needed, unit price, escalation for out-years and total cost. A single entry may be made for miscellaneous items such as office supplies.
- 4. If the furnishing agency establishes and certifies that all costs of acquiring, managing, storing and transporting its material are included in its pricing structure, including overhead, no material mark-up is required. If not, escalation factors based upon the principles and procedures of this Supplement should be developed.
- 5. Material and supply costs are projected for all performance periods, including adjustments for inflation, consistent with the economic assumption contained in the President's most recent Budget and the rate of transition to the contractor or ISSA provider, as provided in the PWS. Ensure that unit prices are calculated to the end of the first performance period. Future performance period material costs may not be inflated, if the PWS includes an escalation or economic adjustment clause. Such a clause enables a contractor or ISSA provider to be reimbursed for future price increases. The Management Plan shows the computations used to derive the entries for all performance periods.

D. Other specifically attributable—Line 3

1. Overview.—Personnel and material costs are normally the primary sources of Government costs. The remaining elements of competitive cost are also attributable to the activity. When requirements differ by period due to changes in the PWS or the Transition Plan, additional adjustments will be necessary. Ensure that such adjustments are made before applying inflation factors, if appropriate. Costs that would be the same regardless of the eventual decision, should be identified for each cost element.

Elements of Cost	Paragraph
Depreciation	2D2
Cost of Capital	2D3
Rent	2D4
Maintenance and Repair	2D5
Utilities	2D6
Insurance	2D7
Travel	2D8
MEO Subcontracts	2D9
Other Costs	2D10

- Depreciation.—
- a. Depreciation represents the cost of ownership and the consumption of an asset's useful life.
- b. Unless an asset is fully depreciated, the Federal Accounting Standards for Property, Plant and Equipment will be used. If an applicable asset is fully depreciated, is to be used by the MEO during the performance period and is not to be provided to the contractor or ISSA provider, extend the life of the asset through the end of the performance period. The cost of depreciation is then recalculated using the extended life and original acquisition cost.
- c. Individual assets costing less than \$5,000 are considered minor items and will not be depreciated, but will be added to *other costs* (see paragraph D.10). The joint use of minor items need not be prorated to the function under study. Assets costing more than \$5,000 are major items for depreciation.
- d. If an in-house activity shares an asset with another activity not under review or cost comparison and that asset will not be provided for use by the contractor or ISSA, allocate depreciation to the inhouse estimate on the basis of use or other appropriate methodology. If the activity is converted to contract or ISSA performance, the asset's life and utilization rate may change.
- e. To find the cost of depreciation added to each option year, subtract the residual value from the total of the acquisition cost plus any capital improvements and, then, divide by the estimated useful life of the asset. Include the resultant annual depreciation for each year of the cost comparison. If the asset was acquired through transfer, seizure or forfeiture, an industry specific standard or engineering appraisal may be used to establish the market or "acquisition" value of the asset at transfer.
- f. Facilities are generally categorized as permanent, semi-permanent or temporary and the useful life will be standardized for the entire grouping. The useful life expectancies listed below may be used by type of facility. If useful life has been exceeded, obtain an engineering projection of anticipated remaining useful life. These costs will be prorated to the activity under study by a unit of measure that varies directly with consumption (e.g., floor space, type of facility, number of telephones). Estimates of expenses to be incurred for the first year of performance should be based on current experience, appropriately adjusted for anticipated requirements. Engineering estimates should be used when historical data are not available. All estimates should be appropriately documented with supporting detail.

Facility Category	Useful Life
Permanent (P)	

- 3. Cost of Capital.—
- a. The annual cost of capital is added to the depreciation cost of any asset costing more than \$5,000 acquired by the Government if: (1) not provided for the contractor's or ISSA provider's use, (2) is purchased less than two years prior to the cost comparison date or (3) is scheduled for purchase within the performance period.
- b. The cost of capital is defined as an imputed charge on the Government's investment in capital assets necessary for the activity to provide the product or service.
- c. To estimate the annual cost of capital, it is necessary to identify the total depreciable acquisition cost of new assets or, if acquired by transfer, forfeiture or seizure, the market value of the assets. The total cost results from the value of the asset, transportation costs (if not already included in the purchase price) and any installation costs to place the asset in operation. The cost of capital will be computed by applying the nominal rate provided by OMB Circular A-94 to the determined total cost of the asset.
- 4. Rent.—Rent is incurred for the use, operation and maintenance of land, building space, plant and machinery, etc., by the activity under study. Compute only those costs that are associated with the MEO, on an allocated basis, not provided to the contractor or ISSA provider.
- 5. Maintenance and Repair.—This cost is incurred to keep buildings and equipment in normal operating condition. It does not include capital improvements that add value to an asset and are accounted for under depreciation. Allocate maintenance and repair costs for those assets that will not be furnished to the contractor or ISSA provider but are: (1) needed for MEO performance and (2) are not covered by rental fees.
- 6. *Utilities.*—This category includes charges for fuel, electricity, telephone, water and sewage services, etc., that will not be furnished to the contractor or ISSA provider by the Government but are needed for inhouse performance of the activity. The amount of these costs applicable to the activity under study will be determined either on a metered or allocated basis of consumption.

7. Insurance.—

- a. Operation of any Government activity involves risks and potential costs from property losses (fire, flood, accident, etc.) and liability claims. These risks are normally covered by insurance included in any commercial cost estimate.
- b. To the extent assets are not provided to the contractor or to the extent that property losses may be assessed against a contractor who uses Government space, facilities or equipment, in-house casualty premiums must be computed. Generally, the Government's casualty premium equivalent cost will be computed by multiplying .005 times the net book value of Government's equipment and/or facilities, plus the average value of material and supplies.
- c. Insurance to be computed on assets will depend on the requirements of the Performance Work Statement (PWS). If the contractor or ISSA provides special casualty insurance on all Government furnished assets, compute insurance for *all* assets used by the activity under study. If the contract does not require the contractor to furnish special casualty insurance, e.g., the Government will self indemnify, compute casualty insurance on *only* those assets to be used by the activity under study that would not be provided to the contractor or ISSA provider, as appropriate.
- d. Personnel liability losses will be computed by multiplying .007 times the Government's total personnel-related costs on Line 1. Additional liabilities assigned to the contractor or ISSA provider by the PWS that are not associated with personnel will also be computed by applying the standard .007 factor to the estimated liability ceiling identified in the PWS and included in the in-house cost estimate.
- 8. Travel.—This category covers the expected cost of in-house travel that would not continue in the event of contract or ISSA performance. These costs should be readily available from budgeted amounts of per diem and transportation cost for the activity under study.
- 9. MEO Subcontract Costs.—Solicitations that include work currently performed by contract and by Federal employees, should include the MEO cost of labor for the Government's administration and inspection of the continued support contracts on Line 1. The cost of the support contract itself, including the cost of related Government furnished equipment and facilities not provided to the contractor or ISSA, should be entered on Line 3. Escalate to each performance period as appropriate. Support contract costs should also be adjusted (downward) to offset for potential

Federal income tax revenue to the Government. This is done by applying the appropriate tax rates in Appendix 4 of this supplement.

10. Other Costs.—

- a. Other Costs is a general category for specifically attributable costs that do not properly fit into one of the other cost elements, but would change in the event of contract or ISSA performance. Some examples are purchased services packaging and crating (if not already a part of material and supplies); transportation costs; and royalties. Ensure these costs are not also covered in Line 4 overhead costs.
- b. Include the cost of *minor items* that are not immediately consumed by the activity and not provided to the contractor or ISSA provider. This includes items such as overhead projectors, office equipment, tools, chairs, desks, cabinets, etc. Estimate the cost of minor items for each performance period by allocating 10 percent of the total estimated replacement cost of all such items. Should the supply source markup increase the item's cost to more than \$5,000, it will still be considered a minor item.

E. Overhead—Line 4

- 1. While direct labor, supervision and material costs are prorated, as appropriate, to Lines 1 and 2, overhead expenses, which include general management and administrative expenses, are entered on Line 4.
- 2. Line 4 includes two major categories of cost. The first is *operations overhead* and is defined as those costs that are not 100 percent attributable to the activity under study, but are generally associated with the recurring management or support of the activity. The second is *general and administrative overhead* and includes salaries, equipment, space and other activities related to headquarters management, accounting, personnel, legal support, data processing management and similar common services performed outside the activity, but in support of the activity. These costs are affected by the conversion of work to or from in-house, contract or ISSA.
- 3. For each year of the cost comparison, Line 4 is calculated by multiplying Line 1, including fringe, by 12 percent (.12) and entering the total on Line 4. If military personnel are included in Line 1, apply the 12 percent factor to civilian MEO Line 1 costs only. The composite military rate should include all military related overhead.

F. Additional—Line 5

1. This cost element includes costs not otherwise properly classified on Lines 1 through 4. This cost category should reflect those additional costs result-

ing from unusual or special circumstances that may be encountered in particular comparisons. Examples include office and plant rearrangements, transport, employee recruitment, training, relocation, and other expenses.

- 2. Amounts entered on Line 5 should be supported by a definition of the type of cost reported, a justification for its inclusion in the cost comparison, an explanation of the underlying assumptions, and methods of computation.
- 3. The additional costs of an expansion, new requirement or conversion from contract or ISSA to in-house performance, which are added to the in-house costs, should be made on Line 5 in consultation with engineering, production, management and contracting personnel.
- a. New investment by the Government in facilities and equipment should not be included as one-time

costs. The costs incurred in acquiring facilities or equipment and installing the equipment should be included in the capitalized cost of in-house performance.

- b. Government facilities and equipment will not normally be expanded to accommodate new or expanded work if cost-effective contract or ISSA facilities and equipment are available. Likewise, agency ownership shall not preclude a contractor or an ISSA provider from competing for the service. If in-house operation is dependent upon the Government's purchase or construction of new facilities or other major capital asset purchases, the cost comparison and conversion to in-house performance will be delayed until the approval to purchase or construct such items is obtained, subject to the cost comparison.
- G. Total cost—in-house performance—Line 6
 Enter the sum of Lines 1 through 5 on Line 6.

Chapter 3—Developing the Cost of Contract Performance

A. General

This Chapter provides guidance for the determination of the cost to the Government of obtaining a commercial product or service by contract or interservice support agreement (ISSA). It includes a determination of not only the amount to be paid to the contractor/provider (price) but also a determination of the additional costs to the taxpayer that would be incurred in the event of a conversion.

B. Contract price—Line 7

- 1. Overview.—The contract or ISSA price reflects the cost to perform the requirements of the PWS as presented by the offeror selected to compete with the in-house work force. The solicitation for bids or proposals will notify the offerors that a comparison will be made between the cost of contracting, the cost of the in-house performance and, if appropriate, the cost of performance through an ISSA. A contract may or may not be awarded as a result.
 - 2. Contract Types.—
- a. In determining the amount to be recorded as the contract price, consider the contract type. The following guidance is provided in this regard.
- b. In the case of a sealed bid, firm fixed price contract, the price of the low responsible, responsive offeror will be entered. If a firm fixed price contract is to be negotiated, the negotiated price will be entered.
- c. If a cost-reimbursement or cost-sharing type contract is proposed, enter the low negotiated estimate.
- d. If a contract with an incentive or award fee is proposed, enter 65 percent of the potential maximum incentive or award fee plus the contract costs of the most advantageous offer to the Government.
- e. If a time and material or labor-hour contract is proposed, enter the estimated total cost of performance. Alternatively, comparable rates can be developed for the Government cost estimate, developed in accordance with this Supplement, and the comparison can be made on the basis of rates, rather than costs.
 - 3. Tax Exempt Organizations.—
- a. If the apparent low contract offeror is a taxexempt organization, the tax-exempt's contract price is adjusted by an amount equal to the estimated Federal income taxes that the lowest non tax-exempt offeror would pay. This adjustment is necessary to determine which offeror has the lowest overall cost to the Government.

- b. Calculate the Federal tax adjustment by using the procedures in paragraph G of this Chapter. Add the Federal taxes calculated to the tax-exempt's offer for comparison with other non tax-exempt offerors.
- c. Compare the tax-exempt's adjusted offer to the low non tax-exempt offer. The lowest cost offeror, after this comparison, will then compete against the Government's in-house cost estimate and any ISSA proposals. If the tax-exempt's adjusted offer is lower than the low non tax-exempt offer, enter the unadjusted tax-exempt's offer on Line 7.
 - 4. Procurement Preference Eligible Organizations.—
- a. If a preference eligible contractor meets the requirements of an unrestricted solicitation, and is an otherwise fully responsive offeror, the preference eligible may compete with non-preference eligible offerors. This is accomplished by adding 10 percent of each non-preference eligible's offer to their offer for initial comparison purposes only. The lowest offer, after adjustment, will be chosen to compete with the Government's in-house cost estimate and ISSA offers.
- b. If the preference eligible's offer is lower than all other commercial sources—after adjustments—enter the preference eligible's price on Line 7. If the non-preference eligible's adjusted price is lower, enter the *unadjusted* non-preference eligible's price on Line 7.

C. Contract administration—Line 8

- 1. Contract administration costs are incurred in administering a contract or ISSA. It includes the cost of reviewing compliance with the terms of the contract, processing payments, negotiating change orders, and monitoring the closeout of contract operations. It does not include inspection and other administrative requirements that would be common to contract and Government performance to assure acceptable performance.
- 2. The contract administration costs entered on Line 8 are limited to the personnel shown at Table 3-1.
- 3. Table 3-1 represents the estimated additional cost to administer a contract or ISSA over and above the cost to administer the same work performed by in-house employees.
- 4. Contract administration organization and grade structure should be certified as being in compliance with all applicable personnel regulations.

Table 3-1. Contract Administration Factors

MEO Staffing	Contract Administration FTE
10 or less 11-20 21-50 51-75 76-100 101-120 121-150 151-200 201-250 251-300 301-350 351-450 451 and above	.5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2.5 percent of in- house MEO staff- ing

D. Additional—Line 9

- 1. This cost element includes any additional costs to the Government such as transportation or purchased services resulting from unusual or special circumstances that may be encountered in particular cost comparisons.
- 2. The supporting documentation for additional costs should describe the nature of the cost item and indicate the reason the additional cost will not be incurred if the activity is performed with the agency's in-house resources.
- 3. The costs entered on Line 9 should be supported by a definition of the type of cost reported, justification for inclusion, methods of computation, and, if applicable, a detailed listing of the cost components.
- 4. When an in-house activity is terminated in favor of contract or ISSA performance and the agency elects to hold MEO equipment and facilities on standby, solely to maintain performance capability, the standby costs are not to be charged to the cost of the contract.

E. One-time Conversion-Line 10

- 1. Overview—When the Government converts to or from in-house, contract or ISSA performance, there are usually one-time costs incurred as a result of the conversion.
 - 2. Material Related Cost-
- a. A conversion may result in certain items of Government material or equipment, that would otherwise have been used by the in-house MEO, becoming excess and available for transfer to another in-house activity or to the contractor.
- b. It should be possible to transfer the material to the contract or ISSA offeror. In this case, it may be appropriate to conduct a special joint physical

inventory and include the Government's cost of conducting the joint inventory (costs may be shared with the winning bidder) on Line 10.

- c. If the transfer of existing materials to the contract or ISSA offeror is feasible, and the agency elects not to provide the material, no charge for conducting the inventory is permitted.
 - 3. Labor-Related Costs-
- a. A conversion will also normally result in certain one-time labor-related expenses. These may include health benefit costs, severance pay, homeowner assistance, relocation and retraining expenses and initial contractor security clearance requirements.
- b. Estimated severance pay is calculated at four percent of the annual basic pay (performance period 1 only) entered on Line 1, without fringe benefits.
- c. If there is a requirement for the commercial source to have access to classified information or other security clearances under existing agency directives, only those costs that would be necessitated by the conversion may be calculated. Recurring requirements necessitated by in-house attrition or by employees that may be hired under the Right-of-First-Refusal will not be included.
- 4. Other Costs.—A conversion to contract or ISSA performance may require an agency to take certain actions that would not be necessary if the activity were continued in-house. Agencies have an obligation to mitigate these costs and justify why such costs are necessary. For example, it may not be possible to terminate a rent or lease agreement without a penalty fee, or it may be necessary to move materials that are not associated with the activity under study to another location in order to facilitate conversion or the contractor's or ISSA's use of a facility. Such termination, penalty or facilitation costs are also costs caused by the conversion.
- 5. One-Time Cost Computation.—Supporting documentation should clearly state the type of cost anticipated, justification for inclusion or exclusion and methods of computation.

F. Gain from disposal/transfer of assets-Line 11

1. As the Government develops its MEO, certain assets may be found to be no longer needed. These assets may be disposed of or transferred without consideration in a cost comparison. The cost comparison is concerned with comparing the Government's MEO with that of the best commercial or ISSA provider. Therefore, only those assets that are to be used by the Government's MEO and not made available to the contractor or ISSA are considered on Line 11.

- 2. The Government should not dispose of or transfer MEO assets unless there is an economic advantage to the Government to do so. If the cost of transfer exceeds the net book value of the asset, such that there is a net loss, no such losses are assessed against the contractor or ISSA. Management has made a decision not to make such assets available to the contractor or ISSA irrespective of the economic costs related to such a decision.
- 3. The net gain generated to the Government as a result of a conversion to a contract or ISSA and a decision not to provide certain MEO assets to the contractor or ISSA should equate to the net book value of the asset less any costs incurred to remove the asset.

G. Federal income tax-Line 12

1. When developing the Government's cost of contract performance, the potential Federal income tax revenue should be considered. Since contract performance would provide the contractor with income subject to tax, an estimated amount of such taxes is an appropriate deduction from the net cost to

the Government, unless the prospective contractor is a tax-exempt organization.

2. To simplify the tax computation, Appendix 4, prepared by the Internal Revenue Service, provides, by types of industry, appropriate tax rates in relation to business receipts. The industry groupings conform to the Enterprise Standard Industrial Classification issued by the Department of Commerce. To determine the amount of estimated Federal income tax, the contract price (Line 7 of the GCCF) for each performance period will be multiplied by the applicable tax rate. The estimated amount of Federal income tax will be entered on Line 12 as a deduction, i.e. negative, reducing the cost of contracting.

H. Total cost—contract or ISSA performance—Line 13

Add Lines 7, 8, 9 and 10. If there is a number in parenthesis, i.e., a deduction, in Line 11, add to Line 12 and subtract this total from the total of Lines 7 through 10 and enter the difference on Line 13.

Chapter 4—Calculating the Cost Comparison Decision

A. Conversion differential—Line 14

- 1. A minimum cost differential of the lesser of; (1) 10 percent of personnel costs (line 1) or (2) \$10 million over the performance period, has been established that must be met before converting to or from in-house, contract or interservice support agreement (ISSA) performance. The minimum differential is established to ensure that the Government will not convert for marginal estimated savings.
- 2. Whenever a cost comparison involves a mix of existing in-house, contract, new or expanded requirements, or assumes full or partial conversions to inhouse performance, each portion is addressed individually and the total minimum differential is calculated accordingly.

B. Adjusted total in-house cost—Line 15

If the cost comparison is being conducted to determine if an activity should be converted from contract or ISSA performance to in-house operation, the conversion differential as calculated above (Line 14) is added to the In-house performance cost estimate (Line 6, Total Column only) and the sum is entered

under Adjusted Total Cost of In-House Performance (Line 15). The amount in the Total Column for Line 13 is replicated on Line 16.

C. Adjusted total contract or ISSA cost—Line 16

If the cost comparison is being conducted to determine if an activity should be converted from in-house operation to contract or ISSA performance, the conversion differential as calculated above (Line 14) is added to the Contract performance cost estimate (Line 13, Total Column only) and the sum is entered under Adjusted Total Cost of Contract or ISSA Performance (Line 16). The amount in the Total Column for Line 6 is replicated on Line 15.

D. The cost comparison decision—Lines 17 and 18
Subtract Line 15 from Line 16 and enter the result on Line 17. A positive amount on Line 17 supports a decision to perform the activity with in-house resources. A negative amount on Line 17 supports a decision to accomplish the work with contract resources. Indicate in the appropriate block on Line 18 the decision supported by Line 17.

ILLUSTRATION II-1

THE GENERIC A-76 COST COMPARISON FORM (GCCF)

IN-HOUSE VS. CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE

				Per	forman	ce Perio	ods ———			
	1st		2nd	31	d	Add	1'1	Tot	al	Reference
IN-HOUSE PERFORMANCE										
1. Personnel										
2. Material and Supply										
3. Other Specifically Attributable										
4. Overhead										
5. Additional										
6. Total In-house										
CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE										
7. Contract/ISSA Price										
8. Contract Administration										
9. Additional										
10. One-time Conversion							•			
11. Gain on Assets	() ()	()	()	()	
12. Federal Income Taxes	(()	()			()	
13. Total Contract or ISSA										
DECISION										
14. Minimum Conversion Differential										
15. Adjusted Total Cost of In-house Performance										
16. Adjusted Total Cost of Contract or ISSA Performance										
17. Decision—Line 16 minum Line 15										
18. Cost Comparison Decision: Accomplish Work										
In-House (+)										•
Contract or ISSA (-)										•

THE GENERIC A-76 COST COMPARISON FORM (GCCF)

19.	In-House MEO Certified By:	D	ate:
	· · ·	Office and Title	
	is the most efficient and c required by the Perform authority concurrence tha	ost effective organization th ance Work Statement. I f	f, the in-house organization reflected in this cost comparison at is fully capable of performing the scope of work and tasks further certify that I have obtained from the appropriate re, as proposed, can and will be fully implemented — subject able Federal regulations.
20.	In-House Cost Estimate Prepared B	y:	Date:
21.	Independent Reviewer:		Date:
		Office and Title	····
	supporting documentation determined that: (1) the Statement at the estimated	n available prior to bid op ability of the in-house MEC I costs included in this cost	Statement, Management Plan, In-house cost estimates and being and, to the best of my knowledge and ability, have to perform the work contained in the Performance Work comparison is reasonably established and, (2) that all costs in accordance with the requirements of Circular A-76 and its
2.	Cost Comparison Completed By:		Date:
3.	Contracting Officer:		Date:
!4.	Tentative Cost Comparison Decision Announced By:		Date:
5.	Appeal Authority (if applicable):		Date:

Chapter 5—Streamlined Cost Comparisons for Activities with 65 FTE or Less.

A. General

- 1. This chapter provides procedures that may be used when the Government determines that a simplified cost comparison will serve the equity and fairness purposes of Circular A-76 for conversion to or from in-house, contract or interagency support agreement (ISSA). The methodology is limited to activities that meet the following criteria:
- a. possible conversion to or from in-house, contract or ISSA performance involving 65 FTE or less;
- b. activities that will compete largely on a labor and material cost basis such as, but not limited to, custodial, grounds, guard, refuse, pest control, warehousing and maintenance services;
- c. activities for which significant capital asset purchases are not required or for which all equipment requirements will be Government Furnished/Contractor Operated (GOCO), and
- d. activities that are commonly contracted by the Government and/or private sector, e.g., there are not less than four comparable agency contracts of the same general type and scope and the range of the existing service contract costs are reasonably grouped.
- 2. In no case, shall any commercial activity involving 66 or more FTE be modified, reorganized, divided or in any way changed for the purpose of circumventing the requirements of this section or other procedures of this Supplement.
- 3. A Streamlined Cost Comparison Form (SCCF) is provided at Illustration II–2.

B. Procedure

- 1. The streamlined A-76 cost comparison process assumes that the activity being considered is regularly performed by contract. Thus, it assumes that existing fixed price contracts can be used, with only minor modification, to define the scope of the competition and to avoid the need for the development of a new or original Performance Work Statement (PWS) or a formal solicitation.
- 2. The employee participation and notification provisions of Part I apply.
- 3. The Government will base its in-house costs on the current organization.
- 4. The Government's in-house Labor and Material costs (Lines 1 and 2 of the Generic A-76 Cost Comparison Form) will be calculated in accordance with Chapter 2 of this Part. Overhead costs will be calculated as provided by Chapter 2 of this Part for

- Line 4. Any contract support costs normally included in Line 5 of the GCCF will be calculated. No other in-house costs will be calculated. The provisions for an Independent Review apply. Upon acceptance by the agency's A–76 IRO, the in-house cost estimate will be sealed and submitted to the contracting officer.
- 5. Upon receipt of the in-house cost estimate, the contracting officer will develop a range of contract cost estimates, based upon not less than four comparable service contracts or ISSA offers. Adjustments for differences in scope may be necessary. The contracting officer is not required to issue a solicitation for bids from the private sector. If, however, the contracting officer finds that four comparable contracts or ISSA offers are not available, the contracting officer may issue a solicitation for bids and the agency may conduct a cost comparison as otherwise provided by this Supplement.
- 6. At cost comparison, the in-house cost estimate will be compared with ISSA offers and the range of estimated contract costs developed by the contracting officer. The range of estimated contract costs will then be adjusted for the cost of contract administration (limited to Table 3-1) and Federal tax impacts. In calculating the Adjusted Total Costs, the minimum conversion differential shall be added to the total cost of contract or ISSA performance if the cost comparison is being conducted to determine if an activity should be converted from in-house operation to contract or ISSA performance. If the comparison is being conducted to determine if an activity should be converted from contract or ISSA performance to in-house operation, the differential is added to the total cost of in-house performance.
- 7. If the Government's Adjusted Total In-house Cost estimate is greater than the range of Adjusted Total Contract or ISSA Cost estimates, the contracting officer will announce a tentative decision to contract or enter into an ISSA. Upon notification of adversely affected Federal employees and publication of this tentative decision in the *Commerce Business Daily*, the A-76 Administrative Appeal process outlined in this Supplement will be initiated. With the A-76 Administrative Appeal Authority's confirmation of all costs entered on the SCCF and certification of the reasonableness of the contract and ISSA pricing adjustments made by the contracting officer, the contracting officer will solicit for award to contract or ISSA performance. The Right-of-First-Refusal will

be offered to employees adversely affected by the award.

8. If the Government's Adjusted Total In-house Cost estimate is below or within the range of Adjusted Total Contract or ISSA Cost estimates, the contracting officer will announce a tentative decision that the activity will be performed in-house. Again, upon notification of Federal employees and publication of the tentative decision in the *Commerce Business Daily*,

the A-76 Administrative Appeal process will be initiated.

9. Activities to be performed or retained in-house as a result of a streamlined cost comparison should be submitted to Post-MEO Performance Review, in compliance with this Supplement. This recognizes that, for retained activities, the existing organization is assumed to be the MEO and no management plan is required.

ILLUSTRATION II-2

THE STREAMLINED A-76 COST COMPARISON FORM (SCCF) (LIMITED TO 65 FTE OR LESS)

IN-HOUSE VS. CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE

			Performa	nce Periods		
	1st	2nd	3rd	Add'l	Total	Reference
IN-HOUSE PERFORMANCE						
1. Personnel						
2. Material						
3. Overhead						
4. Other				 		_
5. Total In-house						
CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE						
6. Contract and ISSA Price Range						
7. Contract Administration						
8. Federal Taxes (-)	-			-		-
9. Total Contract and ISSA Price Range						
DECISION						
10. Minimum Conversion Differential						
11. Adjusted Total Cost of In-house Performance						
12. Adjusted Total Cost of Contract or ISSA Performance						_
13. Cost Comparison(Line 12 minus Line 11)						
14. Cost Comparison Decision:						
Perform In-House						
Convert to Contract or ISSA					•	

THE STREAMLINED A-76 COST COMPARISON FORM (SCCF) (LIMITED TO 65 FTE OR LESS)

15.	In-House Cost Estimate Prepared By:	Date:	
16.	Independent Reviewer:	Date:	
	Office and	d Title	
		ontract, in-house and ISSA cost estimates and contract prices an cordance with the principles and procedures of Circular A-76 a	
17.	Cost Comparison Completed By:	Date:	
18.	Contracting Officer:	Date:	
19.	Tentative Cost Comparison Decision Announced By:	Date:	
20.	Appeal Authority (if applicable):	Date:	

APPENDIX 1

Definition of Terms

Administrative appeal authority.—With receipt of an appeal, the official designated in paragraph 9.a. of the Circular A-76, or designee, assigns an official(s) to serve on an A-76 Administrative Appeal Authority for that appeal. The individual(s) selected must be: (a) independent of the activity under review or, (b) at least two organizational levels above the official who certified the Government's Management Plan and MEO. The Appeal Authority reviews appeals to ensure that all costs are properly accounted for in accordance with the principles and procedures of this Supplemental Handbook. The Authority shall also ensure that all participants have full and equal access to the decision process.

Affected parties.—Federal employees and existing Federal contractors that will or could be impacted by a decision to waive a cost comparison or have submitted bids to convert to or from in-house, contract or ISSA performance, as a result of a cost comparison, and their representatives are affected parties. Agencies or parts of agencies that have submitted formal bids or offers, in order to compete for the right to provide services through ISSAs, are also considered affected parties.

Commercial activity.—A commercial activity is the process resulting in a product or service that is or could be obtained from a private sector source. Agency missions may be accomplished through commercial facilities and resources, Government facilities and resources or mixes thereof, depending upon the product, service, type of mission and the equipment required.

Commercial source.—A commercial source is any business or other concern that is eligible for contract award in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulations.

Contract administration.—Contract administration includes those inherently governmental activities performed by warranted contracting officers (CO), the contracting officer's technical representatives (COTR), and related payment evaluation staff. Contract administration is not to be confused with contract quality control, performance evaluation or inspection, which are defined as commercial activities by this Supplement and OFPP Policy Letter 92–1.

Conversion to contract.—A conversion to contract is the change of performance of a commercial activity from in-house performance by Federal employees to performance by a commercial source.

Conversion from contract.—Conversion from contract to in-house performance means the change of a commercial activity from performance by contract with a commercial source to performance by Federal employees with Government resources. It also includes the conversion of expansions and/or new requirements (work) from contract performance to in-house performance.

Core capability.—A core capability is a commercial activity operated by a cadre of highly skilled employees, in a specialized technical or scientific development area, to ensure that a minimum capability is maintained. The core capability does not include the skills, functions or FTE that may be retained in-house for reasons of National Defense, including military mobilization, security or rotational necessity, or to the patient care or research and development activities, as provided in Part I, Chapter 1 of this Supplement.

Cost comparison.—A cost comparison is the process whereby the estimated cost of Government performance of a commercial activity is formally compared, in accordance with the principles and procedures of this Circular and Supplement, to the cost of performance by commercial or ISSA sources.

Expansion.—An expansion is the modernization, replacement, upgrading or the enlargement of an inhouse commercial activity or capability. If the expansion involves a 30-percent increase in the operating cost of the activity, a 30-percent increase in the total capital investment to perform the activity or an increase of 65 FTE or more, a cost comparison is required prior to authorizing in-house performance. A consolidation of two or more existing commercial activities is not an expansion, unless the total operating cost is 30 percent greater than the total of the individual components or it requires an increase of 65 FTE or more.

The above definition notwithstanding, pursuant to OMB Circular A-126 all aircraft purchase decisions should be justified through formal cost comparison, as provided by this Supplement.

Exemption.—An exemption is a determination, made in accordance with Circular A-76 and this Supplement, that a commercial activity may be converted to or from in-house, contract or ISSA performance,

without cost comparison and may be justified by reasons other than cost.

Inherently governmental activity.—An inherently governmental activity is one that is so intimately related to the public interest as to mandate performance by Federal employees. Activities that meet these criteria are not in competition with commercial sources, are not generally available from commercial sources and are, therefore, not subject to Circular A-76 or this Supplement. Guidance to avoid an unacceptable transfer of official responsibility to contract performance may be found in the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) Policy Letter 92–1. See Appendix 5

Independent Review Officer (IRO).—The agency official who certifies—prior to bid opening—that the Government's performance and cost comparison estimates have been prepared in accordance with Circular A-76 and this Supplement.

ISSA.—The provision of a commercial activity, in accordance with an interservice support agreement, on a reimbursable basis. This includes franchise funds, revolving funds and working capital funds.

Management Plan.—The Management Plan is the document that outlines the changes that will result in the Government's Most Efficient Organization (MEO) to perform a commercial activity in-house. It provides the staffing patterns and operating procedures that serve as a baseline for in-house cost estimates.

Most Efficient Organization (MEO).—The MEO refers to the Government's in-house organization to perform a commercial activity. It may include a mix of Federal employees and contract support. It is the basis for all Government costs entered on the Cost Comparison Form. The Most Efficient Organization (MEO) is the product of the Management Plan and is based upon the Performance Work Statement (PWS).

National defense activity.—A national defense activity is a commercial activity that is approved by the Secretary of Defense, or designee, as being subject to deployment in a direct military combat support role.

National security.—A national security activity is a commercial activity that is approved by the Director of Central Intelligence, or designee, as being necessary to meet the national security.

New requirements.—A new requirement is a newly established need for a commercial product or service.

Overhead.—Overhead is included in the in-house estimate and is defined as those costs that are not directly attributable to the activity under study.

Performance measures.—Performance measures provide a series of indicators, expressed in qualitative, quantitative or other tangible terms, that indicate whether current performance is reasonable and cost effective. Performance measures can include workload and output-to-cost ratios, transaction ratios, error rates, consumption rates, inventory fill rates, timeliness measures, completion and back order rates, etc. Quality service measures may include responsiveness rates, user satisfaction rates, etc.

Performance standard.—A performance standard reflects the minimum, sector-specific, Federal requirement for the performance of a commercial activity. It incorporates both quality measures and cost measures. Cost measures reflect the cost comparability procedures of Part II of this Supplement to assure equity in the comparison of performance standards with private industry standards.

Performance Work Statement (PWS).—A Performance Work Statement is a statement of the technical, functional and performance characteristics of the work to be performed, identifies essential functions to be performed, determines performance factors, including the location of the work, the units of work, the quantity of work units, and the quality and timeliness of the work units. It serves as the scope of work and is the basis for all costs entered on the Cost Comparison Form.

Post-MEO performance review.—When services are performed in-house, as a result of a cost comparison, including those involving an interservice support agreement, a formal review and inspection of the Most Efficient Organization (MEO) should be conducted. Typically, this review should be conducted following the end of the first full year of performance. Post-MEO Performance Reviews confirm that the MEO has been implemented in accordance with the Transition Plan, establish the MEO's ability to perform the services of the PWS and confirm that actual costs are within the estimates contained in the in-house cost estimate. Adjustments may be made for formal mission or scope of work changes.

Preferential procurement programs.—These are special "commercial" source programs, such as Federal Prison Industries and the workshops administered by the Committee for the Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped under the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act.

Performance requirements summary (PRS).—A PRS is a synopsis of the scope of work and output performance measurements that may be used in conjunction

with aviation cost comparisons that rely on the GSA FAMIS data system for identifying contract costs.

Privatization.—Privatization is the process of changing a public entity or enterprise to private control and ownership. It does not include determinations as to whether a support service should be obtained through public or private resources, when the Government retains full responsibility and control over the delivery of those services.

Quality assurance surveillance.—Quality Assurance Surveillance is the method by which Federal employees will supervise in-house or contract performance to ensure that the standards of the PWS are met within the costs bid.

Reasonable or competitive prices.—The expected range of prices resulting from experience obtained through the competitive free enterprise system for like or similar activities. Determinations are to be made by the contracting officer.

Recurring commercial activity.—A recurring commercial activity is one that is required by the Government on a consistent and long term basis. This definition does not imply an hourly, daily, monthly or annual requirement, but must, in a general sense, be repet-

itive in nature, wherein the expected workload can be reasonably estimated.

Sector.—Certain commercial activities are common to more than one agency. Many of these commercial activities can be aggregated. For example, an agency may inventory transportation acquisition, operations, maintenance and disposal as independent commercial activities.

Severable expansion.—A severable expansion is an expansion of currently contracted, in-house or interservice support agreement provided work that could be provided using the current approach or could, without severe additional administrative burden, be provided by another competitive offeror. Economies of scale are not justification for dismissing new or expanded work as severable, these economies will be tested through competitive offer.

Start date.—This term is used in two ways. First, it is the date when a cost comparison begins, generally defined as the date that a local Study Team is formed and actual work on the Performance Work Statement, Management Plan and in-house cost estimate begins. Second, it may refer to the actual date work is scheduled to begin under a contract, as provided in the solicitation.

APPENDIX 2

OMB Circular No. A-76 Inventory

A. Preface

Agencies are responsible for implementing and managing cost comparisons in accordance with the principles and procedures of Circular A-76 and this Supplement. On an annual basis, agencies may be asked by OMB to report on their inventories of commercial activities, those commercial activities that are exempt from cost comparison requirements in accordance with this Supplement, and the status of activities that are subject to cost comparison. Therefore, agencies should maintain an annual inventory of all commercial activities performed by in-house FTE, including, at a minimum, the following:

- a. State
- b. Location/organization unit
- c. FTE
- d. Commercial activity function code
- e. Reason code
- f. Year of cost comparison or conversion
- g. CIV/FTE Savings
- h. Annual dollar savings
- I. Date of completed Post-MEO Performance Review

B. Reporting

The above is public information. The data may be summarized into reports for the Congress, the General Accounting Office (GAO), agency officials, OMB or the public.

C. FTE

Enter the number of authorized commercial activity FTE in the function or functions as of the date of the inventory. Inherently governmental FTEs are not reported.

D. A-76 Commercial activity functional codes

The Department of Defense has developed a comprehensive list of function codes for use in their A-76 inventory system. In applying these function codes Government-wide, the codes standardize the functional descriptions of activities and facilitate the

aggregation of activities Government-wide and by agency.

E. A-76 reason codes

The following reason codes will be used in agency A-76 inventories. Agencies may add additional subgroupings (A1, A2 for example) within any reason code, as deemed necessary.

Code	Explanation
A	Indicates that the function is performed by Federal employees and is specifically exempt by the agency from the cost comparison requirements of the Circular and this Supplement.
В	Indicates that the activity is performed by Federal employees and is subject to the cost comparison or direct conversion requirements of the Circular and this Supplement.
С	Indicates that the activity is performed by Federal employees, but is has been specifically made exempt from the provisions of the Circular and this Supplement by Congress, Executive Order or OMB.
D	Indicates that the function is currently performed by in-house Federal employees and is in the process of being cost compared or converted directly to contract or interservice support agreement per- formance.
E	Indicates that the function is performed in-house as a result of a cost comparison.
F	Indicates the function is currently being performed by Federal employees, but a review is pending force restructuring decisions (i.e., base closure, realign- ment, consolidation, etc.).
G	Indicates that the function is prohibited from con-

F. Maintenance of aggregate data

Waiver issued.

Η

Agencies should maintain aggregate program implementation data by fiscal year, to include: total number of studies, FTE and dollar savings by conversion to contract, conversion to in-house or otherwise retained in-house. Agencies should also track total FTE studied and MEO savings generated.

version to contract because of legislation.

A-76 Commercial Activition Functional Codes

	G—Social Services
G001	Care of Remains of Deceased Personnel & Funeral Services
G008	Commissary Store Operation
G009	Clothing Sales Store Operations
G010	Recreational Library Services
G011	Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Services
G012	Community Services
G900	Chaplain Activities and Support Services
G901	Housing Administrative Services
G904	Family Services
G999	Other Social Services
	H—Health Services
H101	Hospital Care
H102	Surgical Care
H105	Nutritional Care
H106	Pathology Services
H107	Radiology Services
H108	Pharmacy Services
H109	Physical Therapy
H110	Materiel Services
H111	Orthopedic Services
H112	Ambulance Services
H113	Dental Care
H114	Dental Laboratories
H115	Clinics and Dispensaries
H116	Veterinary Services
H117	Medical Records
H118	Nursing Services
H119	Preventive Medicine
H120	Occupational Health
H121	Drug Rehabilitation
H999	Other Health Services
	J—Intermediate, Direct or General Repair and Maintenance of Equipment
J501	Aircraft Maintenance
J502	Aircraft Engine Maintenance
J503	Missiles
J504	Vessels
J505	Combat Vehicles
J506	Noncombat Vehicles
J507	Electronic and Communication Equipment Maintenance
J510	Railway Equipment
J511	Special Equipment
J512	Armament
	Dining Facility Equipment
	Medical and Dental Equipment
J515	Containers, Textile, Tents, and Tarpaulins
	Metal Containers
J517	Training Devices and Audiovisual Equipment
	Industrial Plant Equipment
J520	Test, Measurement and Diagnostic Equipment
J521	Other Test, Measurement and Diagnostic Equipment
	Aeronautical Support Equipment
J999	Maintenance of Other Equipment

A-76 Commercial Activition Functional Codes—Continued

	K—Depot Repair, Maintenance, Modification, Conversion or Overhaul of Equipment
K531	Aircraft
K532	Aircraft Engines
K533	Missiles
K534	Vessels
K535	Combat Vehicles
K536	Noncombat Vehicles
K537	Electronic and Communication Equipment
K538	Railway Equipment
K539	Special Equipment
K540	Armament
K541	Industrial Plant Equipment
K542	Dinning and Facility Equipment
K543	Medical and Dental Equipment
K544	Containers, Textile, Tents, and Tarpaulins
K545	Metal Containers
K546	Test, Measurement and Diagnostic Equipment
K547	Other Test, Measurement and Diagnostic Equipment
K548	Aeronautical Support Equipment
K999	Other Depot Repair, Maintenance, Modification, Conversion or Overhaul of Equipment
	P—Base Maintenance/Multifunction Contracts
P100	Installation Operation Contracts (Multi-function)
	R—Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) Support
R660	RDT&E Support
	S—Installation Services
S700	Natural Resource Services
S701	Advertising and Public Relations
S702	Financial and Payroll Services
S703	Debt Collection
S706	Bus Services
S708	Laundry and Dry Cleaning
S709	Custodial Services
S710	Pest Management
S712	Refuse Collection and Disposal Services
S713	Food Services
S714	Furniture Repair
S715	Office Equipment Maintenance and Repair
S716	Motor Vehicle Operation
S717	Motor Vehicle Maintenance
S718	Fire Prevention and Protection
S719	Military Clothing
S724	Guard Service
S725	Electrical Plants and Systems Operation and Maintenance
S726	Heating Plants and Systems Operation and Maintenance
S727	Water Plants and Systems Operation and Maintenance
S728	Sewage and Waste Plants Operation and Maintenance
S729	Air Conditioning and Refrigeration Plants
S730	Other Utilities Operation and Maintenance
S731	Supply Operations
S732	warenousing and Distribution of Fublications
S732 S740	Warehousing and Distribution of Publications Transportation Management Services
S732 S740 S750	Transportation Management Services Museum Operations

A-76 Commercial Activition Functional Codes—Continued

S760 S999	Contractor-Operated Parts Stores & Civil Engineering Supply Stores Other Installation Services
	T—Other NonManufacturing Operations
T800	Ocean Terminal Operations
T801	Storage and Warehousing
T802	Cataloging
T803	Acceptance Testing
T804	Architect-Engineering
T805	Operation of Bulk Liquid Storage
T806	Printing and Reproduction
T807	Visual Information
T808	Mapping and Charting
T809	Administrative Telephone Services
T810	Air Transportation Services
T811	Water Transportation Services
T812	Rail Transportation Services
T813	Engineering and Technical Services
T814	Aircraft Fueling Services
T815	Scrap Metal Operation
T816	Telecommunication Centers
T817	Other Communications and Electronics Systems
T818	Systems Engineering and Installation of Communications Systems
T819	Preparation and Disposal of Excess and Surplus Property
T820	Administrative Support Services
T821	Special Studies and Analysis
T900	Training Aids, Devices, and Simulator Support
T999	Other NonManufacturing Operations
· , +	U—Education and Training
U100	Recruit Training
U200	Officer Acquisition Training
U300	Specialized Skill Training
U400	Flight Training
U500	Professional Development Training
U510	Professional Military Education
U520	Graduate Education, Fully Funded, Full-time
U530	Other Full-time Education Programs
U540	Off-Duty (Voluntary) and On-Duty Education Programs
U600	Civilian Education and Training
U700	Dependent Education
U800 U999	Training Development and Support Other Training Functions
	W—Automatic Data Processing
W824	Data Processing Services
W825	Maintenance of ADP Equipment
W826	Systems Design, Development and Programming Services
W827	Software Services
W999	Other ADP Functions
	X—Products Manufactured and Fabricated In-House
X931	Ordnance Equipment
X931 X932	Ordnance Equipment Products Made From Fabric or Similar Materials

A-76 Commercial Activition Functional Codes—Continued

X935	Liquid, Gaseous and Chemical Products
X936	Rope, Cordage, and Twine Products; Chains and Metal Cable Products
X937	Logging and Lumber Products
X938	Communications and Electronic Products
X939	Construction Products
X940	Rubber and Plastic Products
X941	Optical and Related Products
X942	Sheet Metal Products
X943	Foundry Products
X944	Machined Parts
X999	Other Products Manufactured and Fabricated In-House
	Z-Maintenance, Repair, Alteration, and Minor Construction of Real Property
Z991	Maintenance and Repair of Family Housing Buildings and Structures
Z992	Maintenance and Repair of Buildings and Structures Other Than Family Housing
Z993	Maintenance and Repair of Grounds and Surfaced Areas
Z997	Maintenance and Repair of Railroad Facilities
Z998	Maintenance and Repair of Waterways
Z999	Other Maintenance, Repair, Alteration, and Minor Construction of Real Property

APPENDIX 3

Useful Life and Disposal Value

estimated by the Defense Logistics Agency. The dis- is based upon the rate of return.

The attached useful life and disposal values are posal value factor, as a percent of acquisition cost,

Useful Life * and Disposal Value Table

FSC No.	Nomenclature	Expected Useful Life (Years)	Disposal Value Factor as a Percent of Acquisition Cost
	Guns, through 30mm	15	3.15
	Guns, over 30mm up to 75mm	-0	1.18
	Guns, 75mm through 125mm	25	0.63
	Guns, over 125mm through 200mm	20	2.14
	Guns, over 200mm through 300mm		2.61
1040	Chemical Weapons and Equipment	16	2.01
1040	Launchers, Rocket and Pyrotechnic	15	2.00
1080	Camouflage and Deception Equipment	10	1.75
1000 1090	Assemblies Interchangeable between Weapons in Two or More Classes	25	2.25
1095		23	1.06
	Misc. Weapons	16	
1135	Fusing and Firing Devices Nuclear Ordnance	16	0.08
1190	Specialized Test and Handling Equipment, Nuclear Ordnance	8	0.60
1220	Fire Control Computing Sights and Devices	8	0.29
1230	Fire Control System, Complete	11	1
1240	Optical Sighting and Ranging Equipment	11	1.80
1250	Fire Control Stabilizing Mechanisms	11	1.76
1260	Fire Control Designating and Indicating Equipment	12	0.58
1265	Fire Control Transmitting and Receiving Equipment, except Airborne	11	0.39
1270	Aircraft Gunnery Fire Control Components		0.22
1285	Fire Control Radar Equipment, except Airborne		1.19
1290	Misc. Fire Control Equipment	19	0.79
1340	Rockets, Rocket Ammo and Rocket Components	18	3.62
1375	Demolition Materials		1
1377	Cartridge and Propellant Activated Devices and Components		1.28
1398	Specialized Ammo Handling and Servicing Equipment	12	0.52
1410	Guided Missiles	19	1.55
1420	Guided Missile Components	15	0.13
1430	Guided Missile Remote Control Systems	19	0.45
1440	Launchers, Guided Missile	17	0.66
2450	Guided Missile Handling and Servicing Equipment	27	0.65
1560	Airframe Structural Components	20	2.48
1610	Aircraft Propellers	10	4.58
1615	Helicopter Rotor Blades, Drive Mechanisms and Components	10	3.52
1620	Aircraft Landing Gear Components	10	2.71
1630		10	4.92
	Aircraft Wheel and Brake Systems	10	2.19
1650	Aircraft Hydraulic, Vacuum and De-icing System Components		
1660	Aircraft Air Conditioning, Heating and Pressurizing Equipment	10	2.23
1670	Parachutes; Aerial Pick Up, Delivery, Recovery Systems and Cargo Tie Down Equipment	7	5.52
1680	Misc. Aircraft Accessories and Components	7	1.92
1720	Aircraft Launching Equipment	25	1.91
1730	Aircraft Ground Servicing Equipment	20	3.12
1740	Airfield Specialized Trucks and Trailers	6.37	
1905	Combat Ships and Landing Vessels	20	2.53

FSC No.	Nomenclature	Expected Useful Life (Years)	Disposal Value Factor as a Percent of Acquisition Cost
1915	Cargo and Tanker Vessels	30	8.54
1925	Special Service Vessels	25	8.54
1930	Barges and Lighters, Cargo	27	11.05
1935	Barges and Lighters, Special Purpose	30	19.83
1940	Small Craft	23	6.35
1945	Pontoons and Floating Docks	30	14.42
1990	Misc. Vessels		8.74
2010	Ship and Boat Propulsion Components	20	10.26
2030	Deck Machinery		3.31
2040	Marine Hardware and Hull Items	20	16.57
2050	Buoys		11.05
2090	Misc. Ship and Marine Equipment		4.81
2210	Locomotives	29	16.51
2220	Rail Cars	40	10.27
2230	Right-of-Way Construction and Maintenance Equipment, Railroad	20	18.69
2240	Locomotive and Rail Car Accessories and Components		9.98
2250	Track Materials, Railroad		41.00
2305	Ground Effect Vehicles	15	
2310	Passenger Motor Vehicles	*	17.00
	Passenger Cars and Station Wagons	6	ļ
	Buses (11 or more passengers)	8 .	
	Ambulances	7	
2320	Trucks and Truck Tractors, Wheeled	*	17.96
	Less than 12,500 (payload I ton and	6	
	less) 12,500 through 16,999 (payload,		
	1½ through 2½ tons)	7	
	17,000 and over (payload, tons and (over)	9	
	Multiple Drive Vehicles	6	
2330	Trailers	23	10.09
2340	Motorcycles, Motor Scooters and Bicycles	12	27.31
2350	Combat, Assault and Tactical Vehicles, Tracked	14	32.82
2410	Tractors, Full Track, Low Speed	14	27.62
2420	Tractors, Wheeled	13	22.70
2430	Tractors, Track Laying High Speed		7.42
2510	Vehicular Cab, Body and Frame Structural Components	10	14.18
2520	Vehicular Power Transmission Components	12	16.22 12.17
2530	Vehicular Brake, Steering, Axle, Wheel and Track Components	12	
2540	Vehicular Furniture and Accessories	18	6.95 7.04
2590	Misc. Vehicular Components	10	5.68
2805	Gasoline Reciprocating Engines except Aircraft and Components	1	3.43
2810	Gasoline Reciprocating Engines, Aircraft and Components		13.33
2815	Diesel Engines and Components	15	3.59
2835	Gas Turbines and Jet Engines, except Aircraft and Components	13	1.77
2840	Gas Turbines and Jet Engines, Aircraft, and Components		0.11
2845	Rocket Engines and Components		8.01
2910	Engine Fuel System Components, Nonaircraft		3.01
2915	Engine Flectrical System Components, Aircraft		10.32
2920 2925	Engine Electrical System Components, Nonaircraft Engine Electrical System Components, Aircraft		7.94
2925 2930	Engine Electrical System Components, Aircraft Engine Cooling System Components, Nonaircraft	j	21.96
	Engine Cooling System Components, Nonancial Engine Cooling System Components, Aircraft		7.41
2935 2945	Engine Cooling System Components, Aircraft Engine Air and Oil Filters, Strainers and Cleaners, Aircraft		1.71
			8.26
2950	Turbosuperchargers Misc. Engine Accessories, Nonaircraft		7.77
2990			1 1 1 1

Useful Life * and Disposal Value Table—Continued

FSC No.	Nomenclature	Expected Useful Life (Years)	Disposal Value Factor as a Percent of Acquisition Cost
3010	Torque Converters and Speed Changers		5.93
3020	Gears, Pulleys, Sprockets and Transmission Chain		4.64
3040	Misc. Power Transmission Equipment		3.22
3110	Bearings, Antifriction, Unmounted		22.14
3120	Bearings, Plain, Unmounted		4.78
3130	Bearings, Mounted		7.80
3210	Sawmill and Planing Mill Machinery	15	28.41
3220	Woodworking Machines	15	27.37
3405	Saws and Filing Machines	20	30.87
3408	Machining Centers and Way-Type Machines	7.49	
3410	Electrical and Ultrasonic Erosion Machines	10	9.75
3411	Boring Machines	20	49.61
3413	Drilling and Tapping Machines	15	40.16
3414	Gear Cutting and Finishing Machines	10	29.58
3415	Grinding Machines	15	35.06
3416	Lathes	20	39.84
3417	Milling Machines	20	28.22
3418	Planners and Shakers	20	27.66
3419	Misc. Machine Tools	15	17.92
3422	Rolling Mills and Drawing Machines	10	68.35
3424	Metal Heat Treating and Nonthermal Treating Equipment	25	11.72
3426	Metal Finishing Equipment	20	6.63
3655	Gas Generating and Dispersing Systems	12	7.35
	Industrial Size Reduction Machinery	9	27.30
3680	Foundry Machinery, Related Equipment and Supplies	10	12.61
3690	Specialized Ammo and Ordnance Machinery and Related Equipment		3.41
3693	Industrial Assembly Machine	0.45	2.42
3694	Clean Work Stations, Controlled Environment and Related Equipment	1.	6.43
3695	Misc. Special Industry Equipment	4	7.58
3910	Conveyors	12	6.85
3920	Materials Handling Equipment Nonself Propelled	22	9.07
3930	Warehouse Trucks and Tractors, Self Propelled Gasoline		18.60
	Fork Truck (2,000 pounds to 16,000 Pounds)	8	1
	Fork Truck (over 6,000 pounds)		10
	Tractor	8	
	Crane	12	
	Platform Truck	8	
	Straddle Truck	15	
	Electric	15	
2040	All types	15	0.01
3940	Blocks, Tackle, Rigging and Slings	12	9.61
3950	Winches, Hoists, Cranes and Derricks	13	10.23
3990	Misc. Materials Handling Equipment	30	8.71
4010	Chain and Wire Rope		5.11
4020	Fiber Rope, Cordage and Twine		6.81 13.16
4030 4110	Fittings for Rope, Cable and Chain	11	7.07
4120	Refrigeration Equipment Air Conditioning Equipment	10	3.82
4130	Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Components	16	4.26
4140	Fans, Air Circulators and Blow Equipment	4.79	3.20
4210	Fire Fighting Equipment	14	6.55
4210 4220	Marine Lifesaving and Diving Equipment	10	5.65
4230	Decontaminating and Impregnating Equip.	17	5.87
4240	Safety and Rescue Equipment	19	2.53
1610	outery and rescue Equipment	1 10	. 2.00

FSC No.	Nomenclature	Expected Useful Life (Years)	Disposal Value Factor as a Percent of Acquisition Cost
4310	Compressors and Vacuum Pumps	10	7.59
4320	Power and Hand Pumps	15	4.27
4330	Centrifuges, Separators and Pressure and Vacuum Filters	20	4.90
4410	Industrial Boilers	9	3.78
4420	Heat Exchanges and Steam Condensers	9.73	
4430	Industrial Furnaces, Kilns, Lehrs and Ovens	10	6.59
4440	Driers, Dehydrators and Anhydrators	10	4.55
4460	Air Purification Equipment	11	3.71
4510	Plumbing Fixtures and Accessories	15	5.91
4520	Space Heating Equipment and Domestic Water Heaters	8	8.36
4540	Misc. Plumbing, Heating and Sanitation Equipment	8	3.01
4610	Water Purification Equipment	14	4.55
4620	Water Distillation Equipment, Marine and Industrial	15	15.61
4710	Pipe and Tube		7.79 6.13
4720	Hose and Tubing, Flexible		4.83
4730 4810	Fittings and Specialties, Hose, Pipe and Tube Valves, Powered		2.20
4820	Valves, Powered		4.91
4910	Motor Vehicle, Maintenance and Repair Shop Specialized Equipment	11	6.63
4920	Aircraft Maintenance and Repair Shop Specialized Equipment	20	1.58
4925	Ammo, Maintenance, Repair and Checkout Specialized Equipment	21	1.67
4927	Rocket Maintenance, Repair and Checkout Specialized Equipment		
4930	Lubrication and Fuel Dispensing Equipment	15	5.00
4931	Fire Control Maintenance and Repair Shop Specialized Equipment	9	1.18
4933	Weapons Maintenance and Repair Shop Specialized Equipment	15	1.91
4935	Guided Missile Maintenance, Repair and Checkout Specialized Equipment	19	0.40
4940	Misc. Maintenance and Repair Shop Specialized Equipment	20	4.48
5110	Hand tools, Edged-Nonpowered	10	9.26
5120	Hand tools, Nonedged, Nonpowered	21	5.53
5130	Hand tools, Power Driven	10	10.31
5133	Drill Bits, Counterbores and Countersinks, Hand and Machine	10	24.07
5136	Taps, Dies and Collets, Hand and Machine	10	8.08
5140	Tool and Hardware Boxes	20	26.42
5180	Sets, Kits and Outfits of Hand Tools	23	3.83
5210	Measuring Tools, Craftsmen	10	4.87
5220	Inspection Gages and Precision Layout Tools	12	3.17
5280	Sets, Kits and Outfits of Measuring Tools	25	1.01
5410	Prefabricated and Portable Building	8	2.48
5411	Rigid Wall Shelters	20	2.44
5420	Bridges, Fixed and Floating	17	7.25
5430	Storage Tanks	7	6.83
5440	Scaffolding Equipment and Concrete Forms	5 23	6.83
5445	Prefabricated Tower Structures	25	5.23 1.30
5450	Misc. Prefabricated Structures Architectural and Related Metal Products	10	59.16
5670		69	9.59
5680	Misc. Construction Materials	23	2.37
5805 5810	Telephone and Telegraph Equipment Communications Security Equipment and Components	16	0.40
5811	Other Cryptologic Equipment and Components	11	1.25
5815	Teletype and Facsimile Equipment	22	0.99
5820	Radio and Television Communications Equipment, except Airborne	8	2.44
5821	Radio and Television Communications Equipment, Airborne	24	1.01
5825	Radio Navigation Equipment, except Airborne	24	1.37
5826	Radio Navigation Equipment, Airborne	24	1.44
5830	Intercommunication and Public Address Systems, except Airborne	24	1.74

Useful Life * and Disposal Value Table—Continued

FSC No.	Nomenclature	Expected Useful Life (Years)	Disposal Value Factor as a Percent of Acquisition Cost
5831	Intercommunication and Public Address Systems, Airborne	25	0.61
5835	Sound Recording and Reproducing Equipment	22	1.43
5840	Radar Equipment, except Airborne	23	0.92
5841	Radar Equipment, Airborne	24	0.53
5845	Underwater Sound Equipment	13	1.14
5850	Visible and Invisible Light Communication Equipment	24	0.32
5855	Night Vision Equipment, Emitted and Reflected Radiation	25	1.18
5860	Stimulated Coherent Radiation Devices, Components and Accessories	25	1
5865	Electronic Countermeasures, Counter Countermeasures and Quick Reaction Capability Equipment	20	0.27
5895	Misc. Communications Equipment	23	0.67
5905	Resistors	8	1.02
5910	Capacitors	8	2.32
5915	Filters and Networks	25	0.93
5920	Fuses and Lightning Arrestors	25	3.12
5925	Circuit Breakers	10	7.49
5930	Switches	10	1.55
5935	Connectors, Electrical	22	20.61
5940	Lugs, Terminals and Terminal Strips	8	1.66
5945	Relays and Solenoids	25 8	1.36 1.35
5950	Coils and Transformers	8	0.65
5955 5960	Piezoelectric Crystals Electron Tubes and Associated Hardware	8	1.00
5961	Semiconductor Devices and Associated Hardware	8	1.04
5962	Microcircuits, Electronic	8	0.54
5963	Electronic Modules	8	0.54
5965	Headsets, Handsets, Microphones and Speakers	24	4.28
5970	Electrical Insulators and Insulating Materials	8	34.93
5975	Electrical Hardware and Supplies	23	3.73
5977	Electrical Contact Brushes and Electrodes	8	2.08
5985	Antennas, Waveguide and Related Equipment	8	2.02
5990	Synchros and Resolvers	14	1.65
5995	Cable, Cord and Wire Assemblies, Communications Equipment	24	4.16
5999	Misc. Electrical and Electronic Components	20	1.01
6105	Motors, Electrical	10	5.31
6110	Electrical Control Equipment	8	2.45
6115	Generators and Generator Sets, Electrical	19	6.50
6116	Fuel Cell Power Units, Components and Accessories	15	22.88
6120	Transformers: Distribution and Power	05	0.00
6125	Converters, Electrical, Rotating	25	2.88
6130	Converters, Electrical, Nonrotating	22	1.75 2.51
6135 6140	Batteries, Primary	15 25	6.91
6145	Batteries, Secondary Wire and Cable, Electrical	25	16.29
6150	Misc. Electric Power and Distribution Equipment	15	2.55
6210	Indoor and Outdoor Electric Lighting Fixtures	16	3.95
6220	Electric Vehicular Light and Fixtures	10	4.58
6230	Electric Portable and Hand Lighting Equipment	17	3.44
6240	Electric Lamps	10	6.92
6250	Ballasts, Lampholders and Starters	10	3.91
6310	Traffic and Transit Signal Systems	4	3.52
6320	Shipboard Alarm and Signal Systems	4	2.68
6350	Misc. Alarm, Signal and Security Detection Systems	6	1.38
6515	Medical and Surgical Instruments Equipment and Supplies	9	2.54
6520	Dental Instruments, Equipment and Supplies	8	7.66

FSC No.	Nomenclature	Expected Useful Life (Years)	Disposal Value Factor as a Percent of Acquisition Cost
6525	X-Ray Equipment and Supplies: Medical Dental, Veterinary	9	3.57
6530	Hospital Furniture, Equipment, Utensils and Supplies	10	4.18
6540	Opticians' Instruments, Equipment and Supplies	10	6.23
6545	Medical Sets, Kits and Outfits	10	5.60
6605	Navigational Instruments	15	0.87
6610	Flight Instruments	17	2.30
6615	Automatic Pilot Mechanisms and Airborne Gyro Components	25	1.17
6620	Engine Instruments	15	3.04
6625	Electrical and Electronic Properties Measuring and Testing Instruments	15	1.55
6630	Chemical Analysis Instruments	5	1.70
6635	Physical Properties Testing Equipment	13	6.62
6636	Environmental Chambers and Related Equipment	10	2.20
6640	Laboratory Equipment and Supplies	20	2.12
6645	Time Measuring Instruments	25	5.54
6650	Optical Instruments	8	2.31
6655	Geophysical and Astronomical Instruments	25	2.02
6660	Meteorological Instruments and Apparatus	20	1.05
6665	Hazard-Detecting Instruments and Apparatus	16	1.44
6670	Scales and Balances	18	4.77
6675	Drafting, Surveying and Mapping Instruments	19	2.44
6680	Liquid and Gas Flow, Liquid Level and Mechanical Motion Measuring Instruments	10	2.87
6685	Pressure, Temperature and Humidity Measuring Controlling Instruments	10	2.53
6695	Combination and Misc. Instruments	8	2.06
6710	Cameras, Motion Pictures	25	5.29
6720	Cameras, Still Picture	24	1.82
6730	· ·	25	3.52
	Photographic Projection Equipment	24	3.32
6740 6750	Photographic Developing and Finishing Equipment	25	8.64
	Photographic Supplies	24	1.36
6760	Photographic Equipment and Accessories	22	3.24
6780	Photographic Sets, Kits and Outfits	20	0.96
6910	Training Aids	20	3.22
6920	Armament Training Devices	20	
6930	Operation Training Devices		0.62
6940	Communication Training Devices	21	0.79
7010	ADPE Configuration	8	0.73
7021	ADP Central Processing Unit, Digital	15	0.73
7022	ADP Central Processing Unit, Hybrid	15	1.01
7025	ADP Input/Output and Storage Devices	13	1.01
7030	ADP Software	15	0.97
7035	ADP Accessorial Equipment	13	0.72
7040	Punched Card Equipment	15	0.87
7045	ADP Supplies and Support Equipment	11	1.50
7050	ADP Components	15	0.95
7105	Household Furniture	10	9.94
7110	Office Furniture	10	16.20
7125	Cabinets, Lockers, Bins and Shelving	20	9.47
7195	Misc. Furniture and Fixtures	10	6.17
7310	Food Cooking, Baking and Serving Equipment	12	5.40
7320	Kitchen Equipment and Appliances	18	5.60
7420	Accounting and Calculating Machine	12 Electric	1.46
		15 Manual	
7439	Typewriters and Office Type Composing Machines	12 Electric	6.10
		15 Manuaal	
7450	Office Type Sound Recording and Reproducing Machines	12	1.17

FSC No.	Nomenclature	Expected Useful Life (Years)	Disposal Value Factor as a Percent of Acquisition Cost
7460	Visible Record Equipment		2.26
7490	Misc. Office Machines	12	3.30
7710	Musical Instruments	12	14.67
7910	Floor Polishers and Vacuum Cleaning Equipment		5.72
8140	Ammo and Nuclear Ordnance Boxes, Packages and Special Containers	15	12.33
8145	Specialized Shipping and Storage Containers	22	6.55
8340	Tents and Tarpaulins	5	4.86
8345	Flags and Pennants	5	8.30
8415	Clothing, Special Purpose	5	10.81
8820	Live Animals, Not Raised for Food	3	55.05
9320	Rubber Fabricated Materials	5	19.40
9340	Glass Fabricated Materials	5	4.14
9515	Armor Plate	10	19.00
9530	Metal Bar	10	47.51
9535	Metal Plate	10	52.44

^{*}There is no expected useful life for those items left blank.

APPENDIX 4

Tax Tables

The estimated Federal income tax rates, presented for use in comparisons of commercial and in-house cost estimates, are derived from the Department of the Treasury's Internal Revenue Service 1988 Corporation Source Book of Statistics of Income, Publica-

tion 1053. The formula for estimating the tax rates is based upon total income before credits divided by the business receipts for each unique industry. These tax rates will be updated periodically.

Tax Rate Table *

Code No.	Industry	Tax Rate (Percent)
	Extractive Industries	
10-01-0400	Agriculture Production	0.8
10-01-0600	Agricultural Services	0.5
20-02-1010	Mining Iron Ores	3.8
20-02-1070	Mining Copper, Lead, Zinc, Gold and Silver Ores	8.3
20-02-1098	Mining other Metals	0.6
20-03-1150	Coal Mining	1.1
20-05-1430	Sand, Gravel, Dimension, Crushed and Broken Stone	2.2
	Construction	
30-06-1510	General building (construction)	0.4
30-06-1531	Operative builders (construction)	0.8
30-07-1600	Heavy construction	0.8
30-08-1711	Plumbing, heating, air conditioning	0.4
30-08-1731	Electrical work	0.5
30-08-1798	Other special trades	0.5
	Manufacturing	
40-09-2010	Meat products	0.5
40-09-2020	Dairy products	2.1
40-09-2030	Preserved fruits and vegetables	2.0
40-09-2040	Grain mill products	2.1
40-09-2050	Bakery products	1.1
40-09-2060	Sugar and confectionery products	1.9
40-09-2089	Bottled soft drinks and flavorings	2.1
40-09-2096	Other food and kindred products	0.9
40-12-2315	Men's and boy's clothing	1.5
40-12-2345	Women's and children's clothing	1.1
40-12-2388	Other apparel and accessories	0.5
40-12-2390	Other fabricated textile products	0.5
40-13-2415	Logging, sawmills and planing mills	2.1
40-13-2430	Millwork, plywood, related products	1.3
40-13-2498	Other wood products	0.5
40-14-2500	Furniture and fixtures	1.1
40-15-2625	Pulp, paper and board mills	2.8
40-15-2699	Other paper products	2.4
40-16-2710	Newspapers (printing and publishing)	3.7
40-16-2720	Periodicals (printing and publishing)	1.6
40-16-2735	Books, greeting cards and miscellaneous publishing	3.9
40-16-2799	Commercial and other printing and printing trade services	1.1
40-17-2815	Industrial chemicals, plastics materials and synthetics	3.3
40-17-2830	Drugs	5.9
40-17-2840	Soap, cleaners and toilet goods	2.1
40-17-2850	Paints and allied products	1.5
40-17-2898	Agricultural and other chemical products	1.6

Tax Rate Table *—Continued

Code No.	Industry	Tax Rate (Percent)
40-18-2998	Petroleum and coal products, not elsewhere classified	1.3
40-19-3050	Rubber products; plastics, footwear, hose and belting	1.6
40-19-3070	Miscellaneous plastics products	1.0
40-20-3140	Leather footwear	1.3
40-20-3146 40-20-3198	Leather and leather products not elsewhere classified	0.8
10-20-3136 10-21 - 3225	Glass products	1.8
10-21-3240	Cement, hydraulic	0.8
40-21-3240 40-21-3270	Concrete, gypsum and plaster products	1.4
	Other nonmetallic mineral products	2.3
10-21-3298	Ferrous metal industries; miscella neous primary metal product	1.2
10-22-3370	Nonferrous metal industries	1.1
10-22-3380	1 *********	1.9
10-23-3410	Metal cans and shipping containers	
10-23-3428	Cutlery, hand tools and hardware; screw machine products, bolts and similar products	2.2
40-23-3430	Plumbing and heating, except electric and warm air	2.0
40–23 –3440	Fabricated structural metal products	1.0
10-23-3460	Metal forgings and stamping	1.0
0-23-3470	Casting, engraving and allied services	1.0
40-23-3480	Ordnance and accessories, except vehicles and guided missiles	1.2
10-23-3490	Miscellaneous fabricated metal products	1.0
10-24-3520	Farm machinery	1.2
10-24-3530	Construction and related machinery	1.6
10-24-3540	Metal working machinery	1.1
10-24-3550	Special industry machinery	1.1
0-24-3570	Office and computing machines	5.2
40-24-3598	Other machinery, except electrical	1.7
10-25-3665	Radio, television, communication equipment	1.3
10-25-3670	Electronic components and accessories	2.4
10-25-3698	Other electrical equipment	2.2
40-26-3710	Motor vehicles and equipment	1.7
40-20-3710 40-27-3725	Aircraft, guided missiles and parts	3.0
10-27-3720	Ship and boat building and repairing	0.8
10-27-3798	Other transportation equipment, except motor vehicles	1.3
40-28-3815	Scientific instruments and measuring devices; watches and clocks	4.0
		2.9
10-28-3845	Optical, medical and ophthalmic goods	2.5
10-28-3860	Photographic equipment and supplies	l .
10-29-3998 	Miscellaneous manufacturing and manufacturing not allocable	1.4
	Transportation And Utilities	
50-30-4000	Railroad transportation	2.5
50-30-4100	Local and interurban passenger transit	0.4
50-30-4200	Trucking and warehousing	0.6
50-30-4400	Water transportation	1.2
50-30-4500	Transportation by air	2.0
60-30-4600	Pipe lines, except natural gas	10.5
60-30-4700	Transportation services not else where classified	0.4
0-31-4825	Telephone, telegraph and other communication services	3.1
50-31-4830	Radio and Television broadcasting	4.4
50-32-4910	Electric services	3.0
50-32-4920	Gas production and distribution	1.7
50-32-4930	Combination utility services	3.0
50-32-4990	Water supply and other sanitary services	2.7
	Wholesale Trade	
61-33-5004	Groceries and related products	0.3
51-34-5008	Machinery, equipment and supplies	0.6
31–35–5010	Motor vehicles and automotive equipment	0.6

Tax Rate Table *—Continued

Code No.	Industry	Tax Rate (Percent)
61-35-5030	Lumber and construction materials	0.3
61-35-5050	Metals and minerals, except petroleum and scrap	0.7
61-35-5060	Electrical goods	0.5
61-35-5070	Hardware, plumbing and heating	0.5
61-35-5098	Other durable goods	0.5
61-35-5110	Paper and paper products	0.4
61-35-5129	Drugs, chemicals and allied products	0.5
61-35-5130	Apparel, piece goods and notions	0.6
61-35-5150	Farm-product raw materials	0.3
61-35-5170	Petroleum and petroleum products	0.3
61-35-5180	Alcoholic beverages	0.3
61-35-5190	Miscellaneous nondurable goods; wholesale trade not allocable	0.5
***************************************	Retail Trade	
62-36-5220	Building materials dealers	0.4
62-36-5251	Hardware stores	0.4
62-36-5265	Garden supplies and mobile home dealers	0.4
62-37-5300	General merchandise stores	1.1
62-38-5400	Food stores	0.3
62-39-5541	Gasoline service stations	0.2
62-39-5598	Other automotive dealers	0.3
62-40-5600	Apparel and accessory stores	1.1
62-41-5700	Furniture and home furnishings stores	0.8
62-42-5800	Eating and drinking places	0.6
62-43-5912	Drug stores and proprietary stores	0.6
62-43-5921	Liquor stores	0.2
62-43-5995	Other retail stores	0.6
63-44-5997	Wholesale and retail trade not allocable	0.3
	Services	
80-52-7000	Hotels and other lodging places	1.0
80-53-7200	Personal services	0.8
80-54-7310	Advertising services	0.7
80-54-7389	Business services, except advertising	0.8
80-55-7500	Auto repair and services	0.7
80-55-7600	Miscellaneous repair services	0.4
	Services	
80-56-7812	Motion picture production, distribution and services	1.8
80-56-7830	Motion picture theaters	1.1
80-56-7900	Amusement and recreation services, except motion pictures	1.4
80-57-8015	Physicians' services	0.2
80-57-8021	Dentists' services	0.1
80-57-8050	Nursing and personal care facilities	0.2
80-57-8071	Medical Laboratories	1.2
80-57-8099	Other medical services	0.7
80-57-8111	Legal services	0.2
80-57-8200	Educational services	0.5
80-57-8980	Miscellaneous services, not elsewhere classified	0.5

^{*}Tax Rates are in relation to business receipts.
**Does not reflect revisions contained in the 1987 Standard Classification Manual.

APPENDIX 5

Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) Policy Letter 92-1, "Inherently Governmental Functions"

September 23, 1992

TO THE HEADS OF EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND ESTABLISHMENTS

SUBJECT: Inherently Governmental Functions.

- 1. *Purpose.* This policy letter establishes Executive Branch policy relating to service contracting and inherently governmental functions. Its purpose is to assist Executive Branch officers and employees in avoiding an unacceptable transfer of official responsibility to Government contractors.
- 2. Authority. This policy letter is issued pursuant to subsection 6(a) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) Act, as amended, codified at 41 U.S.C. § 405(a).
- 3. *Exclusions*. Services obtained by personnel appointments and advisory committees are not covered by this policy letter.
- 4. *Background*. Contractors, when properly used, provide a wide variety of useful services that play an important part in helping agencies to accomplish their missions. Agencies use service contracts to acquire special knowledge and skills not available in the Government, obtain cost effective services, or obtain temporary or intermittent services, among other reasons.

Not all functions may be performed by contractors, however. Just as it is clear that certain functions, such as the command of combat troops, may not be contracted, it is also clear that other functions. such as building maintenance and food services, may be contracted. The difficulty is in determining which of these services that fall between these extremes may be acquired by contract. Agencies have occasionally relied on contractors to perform certain functions in such a way as to raise questions about whether Government policy is being created by private persons. Also, from time to time questions have arisen regarding the extent to which de facto control over contract performance has been transferred to contractors. This policy letter provides an illustrative list of functions, that are, as a matter of policy, inherently governmental (see Appendix A), and articulates the practical and policy considerations that underlie such determinations (see para. 7).

As stated in paragraph 9, however, this policy letter does not purport to specify which functions are, as

- a legal matter, inherently governmental, or to define the factors used in making such legal determination. Thus, the fact that a function is listed in Appendix A, or a factor is set forth in paragraph 7(b), does not necessarily mean that the function is inherently governmental as a legal matter or that the factor would be relevant in making the legal determination.
- 5. **Definition.** As a matter of policy, an "inherently governmental function" is a function that is so intimately related to the public interest as to mandate performance by Government employees. These functions include those activities that require either the exercise of discretion in applying Government authority or the making of value judgements in making decisions for the Government. Governmental functions normally fall into two categories: (1) the act of governing, i.e., the discretionary exercise of Government authority, and (2) monetary transactions and entitlement.

An inherently governmental function involves, among other things, the interpretation and execution of the laws of the United States so as to:

- (a) bind the United States to take or not to take some action by contract, policy, regulation, authorization, order, or otherwise;
- (b) determine, protect, and advance its economic, political, territorial, property, or other interests by military or diplomatic action, civil or criminal judicial proceedings, contract management, or otherwise;
- (c) significantly affect the life, liberty, or property of private persons;
- (d) commission, appoint, direct, or control officers or employees of the United States; or
- (e) exert ultimate control over the acquisition, use, or disposition of the property, real or personal, tangible or intangible, of the United States, including the collection, control, or disbursement of appropriated and other Federal funds.

Inherently governmental functions do not normally include gathering information for or providing advice, opinions, recommendations, or ideas to Government officials. They also do not include functions

that are primarily ministerial and internal in nature, such as building security; mail operations; operation of cafeterias; housekeeping; facilities operations and maintenance, warehouse operations, motor vehicle fleet management and operations, or other routine electrical or mechanical services.

The detailed list of examples of commercial activities found as an attachment to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-76 is an authoritative, nonexclusive list of functions that are not inherently governmental functions. These functions therefore may be contracted.

6. Policy.

- (a) Accountability. It is the policy of the Executive Branch to ensure that Government action is taken as a result of informed, independent judgments made by Government officials who are ultimately accountable to the President. When the Government uses service contracts, such informed, independent judgment is ensured by:
- (1) prohibiting the use of service contracts for the performance of inherently governmental functions (See Appendix A);
- (2) providing greater scrutiny and an appropriate enhanced degree of management oversight (see subsection 7(f)) when contracting for functions that are not inherently governmental but closely support the performance of inherently governmental functions (see Appendix B);
- (3) ensuring, in using the products of those contracts, that any final agency action complies with the laws and policies of the United States and reflects the independent conclusions of agency officials and not those of contractors who may have interests that are not in concert with the public interest, and who may be beyond the reach of management controls otherwise applicable to public employees; and
- (4) ensuring that reasonable identification of contractors and contractor work products is made whenever there is a risk that the public, Congress, or other persons outside of the Government might confuse them with Government officials or with Government work products, respectively.
- (b) *OMB Circular No. A-76.* This policy letter does not purport to supersede or otherwise effect any change in OMB Circular No. A-76, Performance of Commercial Activities.
- (c) Drafting of congressional testimony, responses to congressional correspondence, and agency responses to audit reports from an Inspector General, the General Accounting Office, or other Federal audit entity. While the approval of a Government document is an inherently govern-

- mental function, its drafting is not necessarily such a function. Accordingly, in most situations the drafting of a document, or portions thereof, may be contracted, and the agency should review and revise the draft document, to the extent necessary, to ensure that the final document expresses the agency's views and advances the public interest. However, even though the drafting function is not necessarily an inherently governmental function, it may be inappropriate, for various reasons, for a private party to draft a document in particular circumstances. Because of the appearance of private influence with respect to documents that are prepared for Congress or for law enforcement or oversight agencies and that may be particularly sensitive, contractors are not to be used for the drafting of congressional testimony; responses to congressional correspondence; or agency responses to audit reports from an Inspector General, the General Accounting Office, or other Federal audit entity.
- 7. Guidelines. If a function proposed for contract performance is not found in Appendix A, the following guidelines will assist agencies in understanding the application of this policy letter, determining whether the function is, as a matter of policy, inherently governmental and forestalling potential problems.
- (a) The exercise of discretion. While inherently governmental functions necessarily involve the exercise of substantial discretion, not every exercise of discretion is evidence that such a function is involved. Rather, the use of discretion must have the effect of committing the Federal Government to a course of action when two or more alternative courses of action exist (e.g., purchasing a minicomputer rather than a mainframe computer, hiring a statistician rather than an economist, supporting proposed legislation rather than opposing it, devoting more resources to prosecuting one type of criminal case than another, awarding a contract to one firm rather than another, adopting one policy rather than another, and so forth).

A contract may thus properly be awarded where the contractor does not have the authority to decide on the course of action to be pursued but is rather tasked to develop options to inform an agency decision maker, or to develop or expand decisions already made by Federal officials. Moreover, the mere fact that decisions are made by the contractor in performing his or her duties (e.g., how to allocate the contractor's own or subcontract resources, what techniques and procedures to employ, whether and

that are primarily ministerial and internal in nature, such as building security; mail operations; operation of cafeterias; housekeeping; facilities operations and maintenance, warehouse operations, motor vehicle fleet management and operations, or other routine electrical or mechanical services.

The detailed list of examples of commercial activities found as an attachment to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A–76 is an authoritative, nonexclusive list of functions that are not inherently governmental functions. These functions therefore may be contracted.

6. Policy.

- (a) Accountability. It is the policy of the Executive Branch to ensure that Government action is taken as a result of informed, independent judgments made by Government officials who are ultimately accountable to the President. When the Government uses service contracts, such informed, independent judgment is ensured by:
- (1) prohibiting the use of service contracts for the performance of inherently governmental functions (See Appendix A);
- (2) providing greater scrutiny and an appropriate enhanced degree of management oversight (see subsection 7(f)) when contracting for functions that are not inherently governmental but closely support the performance of inherently governmental functions (see Appendix B);
- (3) ensuring, in using the products of those contracts, that any final agency action complies with the laws and policies of the United States and reflects the independent conclusions of agency officials and not those of contractors who may have interests that are not in concert with the public interest, and who may be beyond the reach of management controls otherwise applicable to public employees; and
- (4) ensuring that reasonable identification of contractors and contractor work products is made whenever there is a risk that the public, Congress, or other persons outside of the Government might confuse them with Government officials or with Government work products, respectively.
- (b) *OMB Circular No. A-76*. This policy letter does not purport to supersede or otherwise effect any change in OMB Circular No. A-76, Performance of Commercial Activities.
- (c) Drafting of congressional testimony, responses to congressional correspondence, and agency responses to audit reports from an Inspector General, the General Accounting Office, or other Federal audit entity. While the approval of a Government document is an inherently govern-

- mental function, its drafting is not necessarily such a function. Accordingly, in most situations the drafting of a document, or portions thereof, may be contracted, and the agency should review and revise the draft document, to the extent necessary, to ensure that the final document expresses the agency's views and advances the public interest. However, even though the drafting function is not necessarily an inherently governmental function, it may be inappropriate, for various reasons, for a private party to draft a document in particular circumstances. Because of the appearance of private influence with respect to documents that are prepared for Congress or for law enforcement or oversight agencies and that may be particularly sensitive, contractors are not to be used for the drafting of congressional testimony; responses to congressional correspondence; or agency responses to audit reports from an Inspector General, the General Accounting Office, or other Federal audit entity.
- 7. *Guidelines.* If a function proposed for contract performance is not found in Appendix A, the following guidelines will assist agencies in understanding the application of this policy letter, determining whether the function is, as a matter of policy, inherently governmental and forestalling potential problems.
- (a) The exercise of discretion. While inherently governmental functions necessarily involve the exercise of substantial discretion, not every exercise of discretion is evidence that such a function is involved. Rather, the use of discretion must have the effect of committing the Federal Government to a course of action when two or more alternative courses of action exist (e.g., purchasing a minicomputer rather than a mainframe computer, hiring a statistician rather than an economist, supporting proposed legislation rather than opposing it, devoting more resources to prosecuting one type of criminal case than another, awarding a contract to one firm rather than another, adopting one policy rather than another, and so forth).

A contract may thus properly be awarded where the contractor does not have the authority to decide on the course of action to be pursued but is rather tasked to develop options to inform an agency decision maker, or to develop or expand decisions already made by Federal officials. Moreover, the mere fact that decisions are made by the contractor in performing his or her duties (e.g., how to allocate the contractor's own or subcontract resources, what techniques and procedures to employ, whether and

whom to consult, what research alternatives to explore given the scope of the contract, what conclusions to emphasize, how frequently to test) is not determinative of whether he or she is performing an inherently governmental function.

(b) Totality of the circumstances. Determining whether a function is an inherently governmental function often is difficult and depends upon an analysis of the facts of the case. Such analysis involves consideration of a number of factors, and the presence or absence of any one is not in itself determinative of the issue. Nor will the same emphasis necessarily be placed on any one factor at different times, due to the changing nature of the Government's requirements.

The following factors should be considered when deciding whether award of a contract might effect, or the performance of a contract has effected, a transfer of official responsibility:

- (1) Congressional legislative restrictions or authorizations.
- (2) The degree to which official discretion is or would be limited, i.e., whether the contractor's involvement in agency functions is or would be so extensive or his or her work product is so far advanced toward completion that the agency's ability to develop and consider options other than those provided by the contractor is restricted.
- (3) In claims adjudication and related services, (i) the finality of any contractor's action affecting individual claimants or applicants, and whether or not review of the contractor's own is *de novo* (i.e., to be effected without the appellate body's being bound by prior legal rulings or factual determinations) on appeal of his or her decision to an agency official;
- (ii) the degree to which contractor activities may involve wide-ranging interpretations of complex, ambiguous case law and other legal authorities, as opposed to being circumscribed by detailed laws, regulations, and procedures;
- (iii) the degree to which matters for decision by the contractor involve recurring fact patterns or unique fact patterns; and
- (iv) The contractor's discretion to determine an appropriate award or penalty.
- (4) The contractor's ability to take action that will significantly and directly affect the life, liberty, or property of individual members of the public, including the likelihood of the contractor's need to resort to force in support of a police or judicial function; whether force, especially deadly force, is more likely to be initiated by the contractor or by some other

person; and the degree to which force may have to be exercised in public or relatively uncontrolled areas. (Note that contracting for guard, convoy security, and plant protection services, armed or unarmed, is not proscribed by these policies.)

- (5) The availability of special agency authorities and the appropriateness of their application to the situation at hand, such as the power to deputize private persons.
- (6) Whether the function in question is already being performed by private persons, and the circumstances under which it is being performed by them.
- (c) Finality of agency determinations. Whether or not a function is an inherently governmental function, for purposes of this policy letter, is a matter for agency determination. However, agency decisions that a function is or is not an inherently governmental function may be reviewed, and, if necessary, modified by appropriate OMB officials.
- (d) Preaward responsibilities. Whether a function being considered for performance by contract is an inherently governmental function is an issue to be addressed prior to issuance of the solicitation.
- (e) Post-award responsibilities. After award, even when a contract does not involve performance of an inherently governmental function, agencies must take steps to protect the public interest by playing an active, informed role in contract administration. This ensures that contractors comply with the terms of the contract and that Government policies, rather than private ones, are implemented. Such participation should be appropriate to the nature of the contract, and should leave no doubt that the contract is under the control of Government officials. This does not relieve contractors of their performance responsibilities under the contract. Nor does this responsibility to administer the contract require Government officials to exercise such control over contractor activities as to convert the contract, or portion thereof, to a personal service contract.

In deciding whether Government officials have lost or might lose control of the administration of a contract, the following are relevant considerations: the degree to which agencies have effective management procedures and policies that enable meaningful oversight of contractor performance, the resources available for such oversight, the actual practice of the agency regarding oversight, the duration of the contract, and the complexity of the tasks to be performed.

- (f) Management controls. When functions described in Appendix B are involved, additional management attention to the terms of the contract and the manner of performance is necessary. How close the scrutiny or how extensive or stringent the management controls need to be is for agencies to determine. Examples of additional control measures that might be employed are:
- (1) developing carefully crafted statements of work and quality assurance plans, as described in OFPP Policy Letter 91–2, Service Contracting, that focus on the issue of Government oversight and measurement of contractor performance;
- (2) establishing audit plans for periodic review of contracts by Government auditors;
- (3) conducting preaward conflict of interest reviews to ensure contract performance in accordance with objective standards and contract specifications;
- (4) physically separating contractor personnel from Government personnel at the worksite; and
- (5) requiring contractors to (a) submit reports that contain recommendations and that explain and rank policy or action alternatives, if any, (b) describe what procedures they used to arrive at their recommendations, summarize the substance of their deliberations, (d) report any dissenting views, (e) list sources relied upon, and/or (f) otherwise make clear the methods and considerations upon which their recommendations are based.
- (g) Identification of contractor personnel and acknowledgment of contractor participation. Contractor personnel attending meetings, answering Government telephones, and working in other situations where their contractor status is not obvious to third parties must be required to identify themselves as such to avoid creating an impression in the minds of members of the public or the Congress that they are Government officials, unless, in the judgment of the agency, no harm can come from failing to identify themselves. All documents or reports produced by contractors are to be suitably marked as contractor products.
- (h) Degree of reliance. The extent of reliance on service contractors is not by itself a cause for concern. Agencies must, however, have a sufficient number of trained and experienced staff to manage Government programs properly. The greater the degree of reliance on contractors the greater the need for oversight by agencies. What number of Government officials is needed to oversee a particular contract is a management decision to be made after analysis of a number of factors. These include, among others, the scope of the activity in question; the technical

complexity of the project or its components; the technical capability, numbers, and workloads of Federal oversight officials; the inspection techniques available; and the importance of the activity. Current contract administration resources shall not be determinative. The most efficient and cost effective approach shall be utilized.

(I) Exercise of approving or signature authority. Official responsibility to approve the work of contractors is a power reserved to Government officials. It should be exercised with a thorough knowledge and understanding of the contents of documents submitted by contractors and a recognition of the need to apply independent judgment in the use of these work products.

8. Responsibilities.

- (a) Heads of agencies. Heads of departments and agencies are responsible for implementing this policy letter. While these policies must be implemented in the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), it is expected that agencies will take all appropriate actions in the interim to develop implementation strategies and initiate staff training to ensure effective implementation of these policies.
- (b) Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council. Pursuant to subsections 6(a) and 25(f) of the OFPP Act, as amended, 41 U.S.C. 405(a) and 421(f), the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council shall ensure that the policies established herein are incorporated in the FAR within 210 days from the date this policy letter is published in the Federal Register. Issuance of final regulations within this 210-day period shall be considered issuance "in a timely manner" as prescribed in 41 U.S.C. § 405(b).
- (c) Contracting officers. When requirements are developed, when solicitations are drafted, and when contracts are being performed, contracting officers are to ensure:
- (1) that functions to be contracted are not among those listed in Appendix A of this letter and do not closely resemble any functions listed there;
- (2) that functions to be contracted that are not listed in Appendix A, and that do not closely resemble them, are not inherently governmental functions according to the totality of the circumstances test in subsection 7(b), above;
- (3) that the terms and the manner of performance of any contract involving functions listed in Appendix B of this letter are subject to adequate scrutiny and oversight in accordance with subsection 7(f), above; and

- (4) that all other contractible functions are properly managed in accordance with subsection 7(e), above.
- (d) All officials. When they are aware that contractor advice, opinions, recommendations, ideas, reports, analyses, and other work products are to be considered in the course of their official duties, all Federal Government officials are to ensure that, they exercise independent judgment and critically examine these products.
- 9. Judicial review. This policy letter is not intended to provide a constitutional or statutory interpretation of any kind and it is not intended, and should not be construed, to create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law by a party against the United States, its agencies, its officers, or any person. It is intended only to provide policy guidance to agencies in the exercise of their discretion concerning Federal contracting. Thus, this policy
- letter is not intended, and should not be construed, to create any substantive or procedural basis on which to challenge any agency action or inaction on the ground that such action or inaction was not in accordance with this policy letter.
- 10. Information contact. For information regarding this policy letter contact Richard A. Ong, Deputy Associate Administrator, the Office of Federal Procurement Policy, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20503. Telephone (202) 395–7209. (UPDATED 8/1995—contact the Budget Analysis and Systems Division, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20503. Telephone (202) 395–6104.)
- 11. Effective date. This policy letter is effective 30 days after the date of publication.

Signed by

ALLAN V. BURMAN Administrator

Appendix A to OFFP Policy Letter 92-1

The following is an illustrative list of functions considered to be inherently governmental functions: ¹

- 1. The direct conduct of criminal investigations.
- 2. The control of prosecutions and performance of adjudicatory functions (other than those relating to arbitration or other methods of alternative dispute resolution).
- 3. The command of military forces, especially the leadership of military personnel who are members of the combat, combat support or combat service support role.
- 4. The conduct of foreign relations and the determination of foreign policy.
- 5. The determination of agency policy, such as determining the content and application of regulations, among other things.
- 6. The determination of Federal program priorities or budget requests.
 - 7. The direction and control of Federal employees.
- 8. The direction and control of intelligence and counter-intelligence operations.
- 9. The selection or nonselection of individuals for Federal Government employment.
- 10. The approval of position descriptions and performance standards for Federal employees.
- 11. The determination of what Government property is to be disposed of and on what terms (although an agency may give contractors authority to dispose of property at prices within specified ranges and subject to other reasonable conditions deemed appropriate by the agency).12. In Federal procurement activities with respect to prime contracts,
- (a) determining what supplies or services are to be acquired by the Government (although an agency may give contractors authority to acquire supplies at prices within specified ranges and subject to other reasonable conditions deemed appropriate by the agency);
- (b) participating as a voting member on any source selection boards;
- ¹With respect to the actual drafting of congressional testimony, of responses to congressional correspondence, and of agency responses to audit reports from an Inspector General, the General Accounting Office, or other Federal audit entity, please see special provisions in subsection 6.c of the text of the policy letter, above.

- (c) approval of any contractual documents, to include documents defining requirements, incentive plans, and evaluation criteria;
 - (d) awarding contracts;
- (e) administering contracts (including ordering changes in contract performance or contract quantities, taking action based on evaluations of contractor performance, and accepting or rejecting contractor products or services);
- (f) terminating contracts; and (g) determining whether contract costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.
- 13. The approval of agency responses to Freedom of Information Act requests (other than routine responses that, because of statute, regulation, or agency policy, do not require the exercise of judgment in determining whether documents are to be released or withheld), and the approval of agency responses to the administrative appeals of denials of Freedom of Information Act requests.
- 14. The conduct of administrative hearings to determine the eligibility of any person for a security clearance, or involving actions that affect matters of personal reputation or eligibility to participate in Government programs.
- 15. The approval of Federal licensing actions and inspections.
- 16. The determination of budget policy, guidance, and strategy.
- 17. The collection, control, and disbursement of fees, royalties, duties, fines, taxes and other public funds, unless authorized by statute, such as title 31 U.S.C. § 952 (relating to private collection contractors) and title 31 U.S.C. § 3718 (relating to private attorney collection services), but not including:
- (a) collection of fees, fines, penalties, costs or other charges from visitors to or patrons of mess halls, post or base exchange concessions, national parks, and similar entities or activities, or from other persons, where the amount to be collected is easily calculated or predetermined and the funds collected can be easily controlled using standard cash management techniques, and
 - (b) routine voucher and invoice examination.
 - 18. The control of the treasury accounts.
 - 19. The administration of public trusts.

Appendix B to OFFP Policy Letter 92-1

The following list is of services and actions that are not considered to be inherently governmental functions. However, they may approach being in that category because of the way in which the contractor performs the contract or the manner in which the Government administers contractor performance. When contracting for such services and actions, agencies should be fully aware of the terms of the contract, contractor performance, and contract administration to ensure that appropriate agency control is preserved.

This is an illustrative listing, and is not intended to promote or discourage the use of the following types of contractor services:

- 1. Services that involve or relate to budget preparation, including workload modeling, fact finding, efficiency studies, and should-cost analyses, etc.
- 2. Services that involve or relate to reorganization and planning activities.
- 3. Services that involve or relate to analyses, feasibility studies, and strategy options to be used by agency personnel in developing policy.
- 4. Services that involve or relate to the development of regulations.
- 5. Services that involve or relate to the evaluation of another contractor's performance.
 - 6. Services in support of acquisition planning.
- 7. Contractors' providing assistance in contract management (such as where the contractor might influence official evaluations of other contractors).
- 8. Contractors' providing technical evaluation of contract proposals.
- 9. Contractors' providing assistance in the development of statements of work.

- 10. Contractors' providing support in preparing responses to Freedom of Information Act requests.
- 11. Contractors' working in any situation that permits or might permit them to gain access to confidential business information and/or any other sensitive information (other than situations covered by the Defense Industrial Security Program described in FAR 4.402(b)).
- 12. Contractors' providing information regarding agency policies or regulations, such as attending conferences on behalf of an agency, conducting community relations campaigns, or conducting agency training courses.
- 13. Contractors' participating in any situation where it might be assumed that they are agency employees or representatives.
- 14. Contractors' participating as technical advisors to a source selection board or participating as voting or nonvoting members of a source evaluation board.
- 15. Contractors' serving as arbitrators or providing alternative methods of dispute resolution.
- 16. Contractors' constructing buildings or structures intended to be secure from electronic eavesdropping or other penetration by foreign governments.
 - 17. Contractors' providing inspection services.
- 18. Contractors' providing legal advice and interpretations of regulations and statutes to Government officials.
- 19. Contractors' providing special non-law enforcement, security activities that do not directly involve criminal investigations, such as prisoner detention or transport and non-military national security details.

APPENDIX 6

Aviation Competitions

A. General

- 1. This Appendix provides guidance for use in cost comparisons involving the provision of aircraft or aviation management support services. It has been prepared to ease completion of cost comparisons conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-76 and OMB Circular A-126, "Improving the Management and Use of Government Aircraft," dated May 22, 1992.
- 2. In accordance with OMB Circular A-126, agencies should conduct approved cost comparisons before retaining, purchasing or otherwise providing Federal aircraft or aviation services not otherwise exempt from Circular A-76 (see Part I). In reviewing aviation programs, agencies should consider that although an activity or mission may be inherently governmental, the tools needed to perform the activity are not necessarily inherently governmental. Related aviation support services should be reviewed, in accordance with this Supplement, for possible conversion to or from in-house, contract or interservice support aggreement (ISSA) performance. Leases for aircraft of 90 days or more are subject to these principles and procedures.
- 3. Agencies may estimate lease, charter, or other contract aviation support costs through the General Services Administration's (GSA) Federal Aviation Management Information System (FAMIS) or other pre-approved data sources. This approach avoids the need for formal solicitations to acquire commercial bids for comparison with an in-house Government cost estimate. Other aspects of the process described in this Supplement are maintained.
- 4. When an aviation cost comparison is conducted, the agency will notify affected Federal employees and announce the tentative cost comparison decision in the *Commerce Business Daily*. The announcement will initiate the A-76 Administrative Appeal process. The Performance Requirements Summary, the Management Plan, including the calculation of commercial costs, and the aviation cost comparison form will be made available to the public upon request.
- 5. Appeals of tentative aviation cost comparison decisions will be directed to the agency's A-76 Administrative Appeal Authority, who will conduct the appeal as provided in Part I and Part II of this Supplement.

- 6. These instructions incorporate the cost element definitions used elsewhere in Parts I and II of this Supplement. In addition, there are several cost definitions that pertain to only aircraft and aviation services as provided by this Appendix.
- 7. Agencies will provide copies of each aircraft or aviation cost comparison to the GSA Office of Aircraft Management Division, when completed, and to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) upon request or as required by OMB Circular A-11 to justify aircraft purchases.

B. Policy

- 1. Agencies should rely on commercial airline or other aviation services to meet their aviation mission and transportation support needs.
- 2. In general, the operations of aircraft and aviation services are commercial in nature and are not inherently governmental. Certain Government officials or missions may require enhanced levels of security, both on the ground and in the air. In most cases, however, the aviation industry can accommodate the Government's need for services and for onboard security devices, special flight profiles, testing equipment, etc.
- 3. The number of aircraft owned or leased by an agency may not exceed the number necessary to carry out direct mission requirements and, then, only where commercial operations are not as cost effective or are not available, as demonstrated by the procedures of this Supplement.
- 4. The size and capacity of agency aircraft acquired or leased should not exceed that necessary to cost-effectively meet mission requirements, including the crew and equipment for the mission flight profiles.

C. The Aviation Management Plan

- 1. The Management Plan for aircraft or aviation support services should conform to the principles and procedures in Part I of this Supplement. The Management Plan is structured to identify the lowest overall cost to the taxpayer and to fully consider Government Owned Contractor Operated (GOCO) options.
- 2. A Performance Work Statement (PWS) or a Performance Requirements Summary (PRS) is a part of the Management Plan. It should define the scope of services, workload data and performance criteria needed to meet agency mission requirements. It may

not describe a specific kind or make or model of aircraft.

- 3. Agencies should determine if equipment and/or personnel can be fitted to a contract or charter/rental aircraft agreement that results in a lower total service cost to the Government. Equipment requirements include, but are not limited to, aircraft, unique navigation, secure communication, and flight test devices.
- 4. GSA FAMIS data assume that the contractor will provide all related equipment, including aircraft. Agencies that wish to use these aircraft cost comparison procedures, under a GOCO arrangement, may need to solicit adjusted rate schedules from a variety of sources. If acceptable information sources are not available, a formal competition with commercial and/or ISSA sources may be necessary.
- 5. If the scope of the competition includes non-aviation support (ground support activities), the cost of such in-house, contract or ISSA support is calculated as provided in Part II of this Supplement.

D. The cost of government performance

All labor, material and fuel costs are estimated and escalated as provided in Part II of this Supplement.

E. Standard aviation operation cost elements—variable

The variable costs of operating aircraft are those costs that vary depending on how much the aircraft are used. The specific variable cost elements include:

- 1. Fuel and other fluids. These are the costs of aviation gasoline, jet fuel, and other fluids, e.g., engine oil, hydraulic fluids, and water-methanol, consumed by aircraft. Fuel costs are the cost per gallon times gallons per hour. Engine oil and other lubricants can be estimated using manufacturers' estimates or on the basis of an historic percentage of engine fuel cost per hour.
- 2. *Crew*. The crew costs that vary according to aircraft usage consist of travel expenses, particularly reimbursement of subsistence, i.e., per diem and miscellaneous expenses, overtime charges, and wages plus benefits of crew members hired on an hourly or part-time basis.
- 3. Aircraft lease or rental. When aircraft are obtained under an open-ended arrangement, such as an on-call (hourly/availability rate) basis, the associated lease or rental costs are considered variable costs.
- 4. Landing and tie down fees (if applicable). Landing and tie down fees that are not common costs and are associated with aircraft usage are considered vari-

able costs. Tie down fees for storing an aircraft at its base of operations should be considered a fixed cost. Include the historic fees paid or assessed per landing, times landings, divided by projected flight hours.

5. Variable maintenance and spares.

All maintenance activities and parts costs based on aircraft use are variable costs. All non-scheduled maintenance and all non-scheduled maintenance inspections are also variable costs. Maintenance and inspection activities scheduled on a calendar interval basis will be considered fixed. In addition to the costs of normal maintenance activities, variable maintenance costs include aircraft refurbishment, such as painting and interior restoration, and costs of or allowances for performing overhauls and modifications required by service bulletins and airworthiness directives.

- a. Maintenance labor. All labor expended by mechanics, exclusive of the overhaul or major repair of components and engines.
- b. Maintenance parts. This includes materials and parts consumed in aircraft maintenance and inspections, exclusive of materials and parts for engine overhaul, aircraft refurbishment, and/or repair of major components. Typical items in this category are tires, instruments, avionics, generators, relays, pumps, brakes, filters, airframe hardware, windows, interiors, paint, shafting, and bearings not inside components covered under an overhaul.
- c. Maintenance contracts. This includes all contracted costs for unscheduled maintenance and for maintenance scheduled on a flying hour basis or based on the condition of the part or component.
- d. Engine overhaul, aircraft refurbishment, and major component repairs. These are the materials and labor costs of overhauling engines, refurbishing aircraft, and/or repairing major aircraft components.
- e. Reserves. This is for overhauling components of engines, and other major work including painting, refurbishment of the aircraft interior, and expenses not recognized in other maintenance accounts.
- f. Add lines 5a through 5e and enter on line 5f for the total cost of direct variable maintenance and spares.
- 6. Add lines 1 through 4 and 5f to find the total direct operations cost per flight hour.
- 7. Enter the annual number of flight hours from the PWS/PRS.
- 8. Multiply the total direct operating cost per flight hour (line 6) by the number of flight hours (line 7) to find the total direct operating cost.

F. Standard aviation operation cost elements—fixed

The fixed costs of operating aircraft are those that result from owning and supporting the aircraft and do not vary according to aircraft usage.

9. Crew. Federal pilots/crew are often paid whether or not the aircraft are flown. These fixed crew costs include the salaries, benefits, and training costs of crew members who perform minimal aircraft maintenance or other administrative tasks that could be impacted by a conversion to contract performance. Also included in fixed crew costs are the costs of their charts, personal protective equipment, uniforms, and other personal equipment when the agency is authorized to purchase such items. Non-aviation activities performed by pilots/crew that would continue even if operations were converted to contract should not be included.

10. Fixed maintenance.

Maintenance and inspection activities are scheduled on a calendar interval basis and take place regardless of whether or how much the aircraft are flown. These are fixed costs, including labor and material.

- a. Maintenance labor. This includes all projected labor expended by mechanics, technicians, and inspectors associated with maintenance scheduled on a calendar interval basis. This category also includes costs associated with non-allocated maintenance labor expenses; i.e., associated salaries, benefits, travel expenses, and training costs. These costs should be evenly allocated over the number of aircraft in the fleet.
- b. Maintenance parts. This includes all parts and consumables used for maintenance scheduled on a calendar interval basis.
- c. Maintenance contracts. This includes all contracted costs for maintenance or inspections scheduled on a calendar interval basis.
- 11. Aircraft lease. When aircraft are leased for 90 days or more, with a known fee, utilization rate or minimum reimbursement guarantee, the associated lease costs are considered fixed. Include the entire amount paid.

12. Depreciation.

As provided in Part II of this Supplement, aircraft and other major asset (hangar) depreciation costs are added to each option year. Aircraft have finite economic or useful service lives. Depreciation is the method used to spread the acquisition cost, less residual value, over an asset's useful life. Although these costs are not direct outlays as is the case with most other costs, it is important to recognize them for

analysis. Subtract the residual (not market) value from the total of the acquisition cost plus any capital improvements and, then, divide by the remaining estimated useful life of the asset—not less than the cost comparison period.

- a. The acquisition cost is the value initially recorded on agency property/accounting records at the time of acquisition. If the aircraft is acquired through an interagency transfer, the acquisition cost is the greater of the aircraft net book value plus the cost of returning the aircraft to an airworthy, mission ready condition or the commercial retail value of that aircraft in average condition, as established by the Aircraft Bluebook Price Digest or other industry standard. If it is a military aircraft without a direct commercial equivalent, the acquisition cost is equal to the most comparable commercial equivalent plus the cost of returning the aircraft to an airworthy, mission ready condition. The following explains the relevant terms:
- b. *Useful life*. Useful life is the estimated period during which the aircraft will be used. If a new aircraft has an airframe with a design life of 10,000 hours and the agency expects to fly the aircraft 500 hours per year, the useful life is twenty years.
- c. Residual value. Residual value reflects the historically expected condition of the asset at the end of its useful life. It is the dollar value below which the asset will not be depreciated. Residual value is established at the time of acquisition. Agencies will select the lessor of the following methods to calculate the residual value of aircraft:
- (1) Assume a 10 percent residual value for purposes of calculating the depreciable value of the aircraft and annual depreciation expenses.
- (2) Select the average of the historic resale value of similar aircraft by age and type, as provided by GSA.
- d. Reconstructions, conversions, refurbishment, and certification of ex-military aircraft. These maintenance efforts add value or prolong the life of aircraft. They are capital improvements that add to the Net Book Value of the asset (acquisition cost less accumulated depreciation). This revised total value should then be depreciated over the remaining or extended useful life of the asset.
- e. Fully depreciated assets. If an asset has been fully depreciated or has exceeded its expected useful life, recalculate the depreciation schedule through the end of the cost comparison period.

13. Self insurance costs.

Aviation activity involves risks, potential casualty losses and liability claims. These risks are covered in the commercial sector by purchasing insurance, the costs for which are captured within the GSA FAMIS system. Actual or historic agency costs are not comparable with the costs included in the commercial bid (FAMIS) or representative of the overall cost to the Government as a whole.

- a. Agencies should calculate annual in-house hull aircraft casualty insurance costs by multiplying the "Blue Book" or market value of the aircraft by the insurance factors provided annually by the General Services Administration's Aircraft Management Division. Enter these cost estimates on line 13a.
- b. Agencies should calculate annual Federal aircraft liability insurance costs on the basis of the number of aircraft seats the agency has or will install, including pilots, over the course of the cost comparison period. Enter the aircraft liability cost developed using data provided annually by the General Services Administration's Aircraft Management Division on line 13b.
- c. All other insurance costs incurred in the performance of the aviation service under study are calculated in accordance with Part II and entered on Line 13a or 13b, as appropriate.
- d. Enter the total for all insurance (sum of lines 13a through 13c) on Line 13d.
- 14. Overhead. This includes all costs associated with operational and administrative overhead. As described in Part II of this Supplement, aviation management overhead costs shall be calculated by applying the standard overhead cost factor of 12 percent to the total of lines 2, 5.a, 9 and 10.a of the Aircraft and Aviation Cost comparison Form. Enter the total of this calculation on Line 14.

15. Cost of capital or finance expense.

- a. The cost of capital is the annual cost to the Government of acquiring the funds necessary for capital investments. The cost of capital is applied to the outstanding balance of the aircraft purchase price for each year of the performance period.
- b. The annual cost of capital is included for any depreciable asset acquired less than two years prior to or after the cost comparison that will be used as a part of the MEO. The cost of capital is only applicable to assets required by the MEO that will not be provided (GOCO) to the commercial source.
- c. The cost of capital is calculated by applying OMB Circular A-94 "Discount Rates to be Used in Evaluating Deferred Costs and Benefits," plus any capital improvements.

- d. If the purchase price is unknown, as in the case of a forfeited asset or interagency transfer, the acquisition cost is the greater of the aircraft net book value plus the cost of returning the aircraft to an airworthy, mission ready condition or the commercial retail value of that aircraft in average condition, as established by the *Aircraft Bluebook Price Digest* or other industry standard. If it is a military aircraft without a direct commercial equivalent, the acquisition cost is equal to the most comparable commercial equivalent plus the cost of returning the aircraft to an airworthy, mission ready condition.
- e. Aircraft acquired through lease/purchase arrangements are not be burdened with the cost of capital. The cost of capital is assumed to exist in the lease/purchase agreement. At the transfer of title, depreciation expenses, calculated from the then existent market price of the aircraft, will be incurred.
- 16. *Total fixed operating costs.* Add lines 9 through 15 and enter on line 16.
- 17. *Total in-house MEO performance costs.* Add lines 8 and 16 and enter on line 17.
- G. Standard aviation operation cost elements—developing the cost of contract performance

18. Contract cost.

- a. The comparable cost of contract performance is to be calculated on the Aviation CCF.
- b. The most efficient commercial cost of meeting the service requirement is to be entered if a solicitation was issued requesting formal bids. If GSA/FAMIS data is being used to estimate contract costs, this figure is established by reviewing existing contracts and rental/charter flight rate information provided by FAMIS or from other GSA approved sources.
- c. Enter the estimated trip costs times the number of trips/missions or the hourly rate for that aircraft times the number of estimated flight hours from the PWS/PRS on line 19. If FAMIS does not reflect the aircraft services requirements, and reasonably accurate costs cannot be constructed by extrapolation from the FAMIS database, agencies may utilize other approved data sources.

19. Cost construction to meet PWS/PRS.

There may be other adjustments necessary to estimate the cost of contract performance using GSA/FAMIS data. The following are other costs that may be considered and entered—to the extent that they are not common costs or costs included in the published/developed rates. All such costs will be fully justified and made available for public review.

a. Daily Availability/Standby/Guarantee Hours.

- b. Additional Pilot and Crew Charges.
- c. Additional Maintenance Support.
- d. Airframe Alteration/Equipment Installation.
- e. Equipment Not Provided by the Government.
- f. Additional Ground Service Support.
- g. Travel and Per Diem.
- h. Service Equipment Mileage.
- i. Airport Fees.
- j. Other.
- 20. Contract administration. There will be costs that the agency incurs in administering the contract. These costs are relevant only if they differ between in-house and contract alternatives. Agencies should refer to Part II, Chapter 3, Table 3–1 for guidance.
- 21. *One-time conversion costs.* See Part II, Chapter 3 of this Supplement.
- 22. Gain from disposal/transfer of assets. See Part II Chapter 3 of this Supplement.
- 23. *Federal income tax.* Multiply line 19 as provided in Appendix 5 and enter as a savings/revenue to the Government caused by the conversion to contract performance.
- 24. Total estimated cost of contract performance. This element reflects the total of lines 18 through 24.
- H. Aviation cost comparison of in-house versus contractor or ISSA performance.
- 25. *In-house performance costs.* Data is taken from Line 17—for each year of performance as established in the PRS, but not less than three years.
- 26. *Contract or ISSA performance*. Data is taken from line 24—for each year of performance.
- 27. Conversion differential. As provided in Part II of this Supplement, a conversion differential equal to the lesser of; (1) 10 percent of the in-house personnel related costs (total of Lines 2, 5.a, 9 and 10.a.) or (2) \$10 million over the performance period, is added to the total cost of current method of performance. Enter the result of this calculation on Line 27.
- 28. Adjusted total cost of in-house performance. If the cost comparison is being conducted to determine if an aircraft or aviation service should be converted

- from contract or ISSA performance to in-house operation, the conversion differential as calculated above (Line 27) is added to the In-house performance cost estimate (Line 25, Total Column only) and the sum is entered under Adjusted Total Cost of In-House Performance (Line 28). The amount in the Total Column for Line 26 is replicated on Line 29.
- 29. Adjusted total cost of contract performance. If the cost comparison is being conducted to determine if an aircraft or aviation service should be converted from in-house operation to contract or ISSA performance, the conversion differential as calculated above (Line 27) is added to the Contract performance cost estimate (Line 26, Total Column only) and the sum is entered under Adjusted Total Cost of Contract Performance (Line 29). The amount in the Total Column for Line 25 is replicated on Line 28.
- 30. **Decision.** Subtract Line 28 from Line 29 and enter the result on Line 30. A positive amount on Line 30 supports a decision to perform the aircraft and aviation support activity with in-house resources. A negative amount on Line 30 supports a decision to accomplish the work with contract resources.
 - 31. Cost comparison decision.

Indicate in the appropriate block on line 31 the decision supported by line 30.

- a. If the result of the comparison is a decision to accomplish the work with contract resource and that decision is affirmed after adjustments by the public review, the agency will:
- (1) Expand the Performance Requirements Summary developed under the aviation methodology to meet the requirements of a Performance Work Statement
- (2) Issue a formal solicitation for bids from the commercial sector and convert to contract.
- b. If the decision of the aviation cost comparison is to accomplish the work with in-house resources, and that decision is affirmed after adjustments by the public review, the agency will announce the final decision in the *Commerce Business Daily*. The results will be recorded in the OMB Circular A–76 tracking system.

THE A-76 AIRCRAFT AND AVIATION COST COMPARISON FORM

DIRECT OPERATION COST PER FLIGHT HOUR (PFH)	
1. Fuel and and Other Fluids	\$
2. Crew (PFH)	
3. Aircraft Lease or Rental	
4. Landing and Tie-Down Fees (If applicable)	
5. Variable Maintenance and Spares	
a. Maintenance Labor @ \$ per hour multiplied by man-hours PFH	
b. Maintenance Parts	
c. Maintenance Contracts	
d. Engine over-haul, etc.	
e. Reserves	
f. Total variable maintenance cost	
6. Total Direct Operating Cost Per Flight Hour	
7. Flight Hours for PWS	
8. TOTAL DIRECT OPERATING COST (line 6 x line 7)	\$
FIXED OPERATING ANNUAL COST	
9. Crew	
10. Fixed Maintenance	
a. Maintenance Labor	
b. Maintenance Parts	
c. Maintenance Contracts	
11. Aircraft Lease	
12. Depreciation	
13. Self Insurance	
a. Hull	
b. Liability	
c. Other	
c1. Casualty	
c2. Personnel Liability	
d. Total Self-Insurance	
14. Overhead	
15. Cost of Capital or Finance expense	
16. TOTAL FIXED OPERATING ANNUAL COST (Lines 9 thru 15)	
17. TOTAL IN-HOUSE PERFORMANCE COST (Lines 8 + 16)	
CONTRACT AVIATION OPERATIONS COST WORKSHEET	
18. Contract (PFH times number of hours)	
19. Cost construction to meet PWS	
a. Daily availability/guarantee hours	
b. Additional pilot and crew charges	
c. Additional maintenance support	
d. Airframe alteration/equipment installation	-
e. Equipment not provided by Government	
f. Additional ground service support	
g. Travel and per diem	
h. Service equipment mileage	
i. Airport fees	
j. Other	
20. Contract Administration	
21. One-time Conversion	
22. Gain on Disposal/Transfer of Assets (deduct)	<u> </u>
23. Federal income tax (deduct)	()
24. TOTAL CONTRACT PERFORMANCE COST	

IN-HOUSE VERSUS CONTRACT PERFORMANCE

			Performance p	eriods	
	1st	2nd	3rd	Add'l	Total
25. In-house performance	\$	\$	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	. \$
26. Contract performance	\$	\$	\$	\$	<u> </u>
27. Conversion Differential					. \$
28. Adjusted Total Cost of In-House Performance					<u>\$</u>
29. Adjusted Total Cost of Contract Performance					<u>\$</u>
30. Decision—Line 29 minus Line 28:				•	<u>\$</u>
31. COST COMPARISON DECISION: Accomplish Work					
In-house (+)			•		. \$
Contract (-)			•		. <u>\$</u>
32. In-House MEO Certified By:	Date:				
Office and Title					
is the most efficient and cost effective organiz of the PWS/PRS. I further certify that I had organizational structure, as proposed, can are accordance with all applicable Federal regulate	we obtained f nd will be full	from the appr	opriate authority	concurrence th	at the
3. In-House Cost Estimate Prepared By:	_ Date:				
4. Independent Reviewer:	Date:				
Office and Title					
"I certify that I have reviewed the PWS/PR supporting documentation available prior to determined that: (1) the ability of the in-hot estimated costs included in this cost comparis contract performance costs are reasonable a prepared in accordance with the principles are	bid opening ouse MEO to son is reasona and, (3) that	and to the legar of the perform the velocities and the performance of	pest of my knowled work contained in (2) that the selected on the cost of	ledge and ability the PWS/PRS ection and inclus comparison have	have at the ion of
5. Cost Comparison Completed By:	_ Date:				
6. Contracting Officer:	Date:				
7. Tentative Cost Comparison		-			
Decision Announced By:	Date:				
8 Appeal Authority (if applicable):	Date:				

APPENDIX 7

Motor Vehicle Competitions

A. General

This Appendix provides joint guidance by OMB and The General Services Administration (GSA) for use in cost comparisons involving the provision of motor vehicle fleet management services. It apples to conversions to or from in-house, contract or interservice support agreement (ISSA). Agencies should consider the costs, benefits and feasibility of using the agency's fleet management system, the GSA Interagency Fleet Management System (IFMS), other ISSA providers and qualified commercial management providers.

B. Specific

- 1. Cost comparisons will comply with Part I and Part II of this Supplement, and as discussed in this Appendix.
- 2. Cost comparisons should distinguish between the benefits of centralized Government vehicle acquisition and the potential benefits of fleet acquisition, operation, maintenance, and disposal management support services. Solicitations should permit or may require offerors to compete vehicle asset costs separately from fleet management services.
- 3. In accordance with Part I, Chapter 2 of this Supplement, all Government offerors will certify that their performance cost estimates or reimbursable rates are calculated in accordance with this Supplement.
- 4. Agencies may include all of their fleet requirements, including those currently being met by the GSA/IFMS or the private sector. Vehicles currently provided by the GSA/IFMS may be included in the agency's in-house cost estimate as IFMS vehicles.

C. Developing the requesting agency's in-house motor vehicle fleet management costs

1. The requesting agency's in-house costs are calculated as provided in Parts I and II of this Supplement and entered on Lines 1 through 7 as appropriate. Care should be taken to separate vehicle asset costs (cost of vehicles) from vehicle acquisition and other administrative management support costs.

D. Developing comparable motor vehicle fleet costs

1. Competitions between a requesting agency, private sector offeror, the GSA/IFMS or another ISSA

offeror may require that the requesting agency make certain adjustments in scope and cost to ensure that the cost comparison is equitable. These scope and cost adjustments, as discussed below, include:

- -Contract Price
- —Contract Administration Costs
- —Additional Costs
- -One-time Conversion Costs
- -Gain/Loss on Disposal/Transfer of Assets
- -Federal Income Taxes
- —Other Adjustment Costs
- -Minimum Differential
- 2. Contract Price (Line 9 and Line 16).—The contract price is the price proposed by the lowest priced, fully qualified commercial offeror, IFMS or ISSA offeror. This will be obtained by issuing a solicitation requesting offers. The agency should be careful that the solicitation accurately describes its fleet management needs.
- 3. Contract administration costs (Line 10 and Line 17).

Include costs, as appropriate from Part II Table 3-1.

- 4. One-time conversion costs (Line 11 and Line 18).
- a. One-time conversion costs may result when a contractor, IFMS or ISSA offeror takes over the operation of the fleet. This can involve the costs of the transfer of Government-owned supplies or temporary labor costs incurred to facilitate the transition to a new fleet manager.
- b. When items of material become available for transfer to the contractor, IFMS or ISSA, material related conversion costs may result. If materials consumed as a part of the requesting agency's MEO are clearly identified in the PWS to be transferred to the contractor, IFMS or ISSA, the value of those materials and supplies are common costs and not considered a part of the comparison.
- c. If, however, those same materials are not to be provided to the contractor, IFMS or ISSA offeror, but are instead to be transferred to another agency location or excessed, the value of that material should be subtracted from the contract, IFMS or ISSA offers as a net savings to the Government resulting from the conversion.
 - 5. Gain on disposal of assets (Line 12 and Line 19).
- a. If an agency requires the contractor, IFMS or ISSA to replace existing Government (agency) owned

vehicles (assets) by a specific date, the projected fair market value of those existing assets, as established by generally available industry guides, are *subtracted* from the contractor's, IFMS or ISSA's cost estimates. These values represent a net "savings" caused by conversion.

- b. Agencies may provide that vehicle replacement by the contractor, IFMS or ISSA offeror will be in accordance with the Government's existing or MEO replacement schedule. In this case, all parties to the competition should assume replacement at the same rate. Values from existing fleet to the Government apply to all alternatives equally.
- c. Agencies may also continue to provide vehicles for contractor, IFMS or ISSA fleet management. No adjustments are necessary.
- d. Finally, agencies may require replacement by the contractor, IFMS or ISSA offeror and may allow the IFMS or ISSA offeror to simply assume ownership of the existing fleet as Federal agencies. In this case, the agency, IFMS or ISSA offeror receives a gain—and a considerable competitive advantage over the contract bid—estimated at the fair market value of the existing fleet. An amount equal to the fair market value of the existing fleet is added to the agency, IFMS or ISSA offeror bid at Line 19 for cost comparison purposes.
 - 6. Federal income tax (Line 13 and Line 20).
- a. Agencies should recognize the current contract support identified in Line 6, above. Calculate the total Federal Income Tax, based upon the contractor's offer (Line 9) and Appendix 5, Tax Rate Table. Subtract from the contractor's estimated tax liability the Federal taxes paid within the in-house cost estimate (estimated from the appropriate share of Line 6 and as described in the Management Plan) and enter the remainder.
- b. The same treatment may be afforded to the GSA/IFMS or ISSA offer, if the offeror certifies the value of its contract support contained within its overall cost estimate. This estimate must be available to the requesting agency's Independent Review Officer for review and concurrence.
- 7. Conversion differential (Line 7, Line 14 and Line 21).

The standard minimum differential, as provided in Part II of this Supplement, shall be applied to the contract, IFMS and ISSA offers. If the cost comparison is being conducted to determine if motor vehicle fleet management services should be converted from contract, IFMS or ISSA performance to in-house agency operation, the conversion differential

is added (on Line 7) to the in-house performance cost estimate. If the cost comparison is being conducted to determine if motor vehicle fleet management services should be converted from in-house operation to contract, IFMS or ISSA performance, the conversion differential is added (on Line 14 and Line 21) to the contract, IFMS or ISSA performance cost estimates.

- 8. Other IFMS/ISSA Scope Adjustments (Line 22).
- a. It is not the intent of this Supplement to require the IFMS or other potential ISSA offerors to alter their methods of operation to provide unique or site specific services. While such services may meet agency missions and may legitimately be included in the solicitation, additional adjustments to the IFMS/ISSA cost estimate may be necessary to reflect differences in the bids. Examples of such services include: dispatching, vehicle transition, maintenance work warranties, certain disposal services/costs, accessory installations and removals, tire replacements, etc.
- b. Agencies should identify the differences between the requirements of the solicitation (contractor bid) and the IFMS/ISSA cost estimate. The agency determines if any item or combination of items will impact the agency's ability to perform. If the agency's ability to perform would be adversely impacted, the IFMS/ISSA cost estimates may be rejected as non-responsive. If the differences will have minimal agency performance implications, and/or can continue to be performed by agency personnel, the IFMS/ISSA cost estimates will be adjusted for purposes of comparison with the contractor and MEO offers, based upon the comparable costs contained in the agency's MEO.
- c. A complete record of all adjustments to the contractor's, IFMS and ISSA's cost estimates should be maintained and made available to the public upon request.

E. Motor vehicle cost comparison

- 1. A Motor Vehicle Cost Comparison Form (MVCCF) has been developed. Use of this form will help agencies move through the cost comparison in a structured manner. The Form has been set up with five sections. Each section relates to a different set of costs or to the evaluation itself. Within each section, the appropriate cost elements have been shown.
- 2. Each cost listed is projected for all periods of the cost comparison. The first year will reflect current estimated costs. For each of the following years, the inflation factors provided by this Supplement shall be used for each element of cost that is affected

by inflation. A minimum of one year and three option years will be used for comparative purposes.

3. With the completion of the MVCCF, the agency may evaluate the alternatives. In order to do this, the total Lines (Lines 8, 15 and 23) should be en-

tered on Lines 24, 25 and 26, respectively. The decision is based upon the lowest overall cost to the Government over the minimum five-year cost comparison period. Enter the decision as appropriate.

THE A-76/MV COST COMPARISON FORM FOR MOTOR VEHICLE FLEETS

·	Performance Periods (Fiscal Years)											
	Base	Year	Option	Yr 1	Option	Yr 2	Option	Yr 3	Option	n Yr 4	То	tal
A. DEVELOPMENT OF IN-HOUSE COSTS												
1. Personnel												
2. Material												
3. Other Direct												
4. Cost of Capital												
5. Overhead												
6. Additional												
7. Conversion Differential												
8. Total In-house												
B. DEVELOPMENT OF CONTRACT COSTS												
9. Contract Price												
10. Contract Administration												
11. One-time Conversion												
12. Gain on Disposal												
13. Federal Income Taxes	()	()	()	()	()	()
14. Conversion Differential												
15. Total Adjusted Contract Price												
C. DEVELOPMENT OF IFMS OR ISSA COSTS												
16. IFMS/ISSA cost estimate												
17. Contract Administration												
18. One-time Conversion												
19. Gain on Disposal												
20. Federal Income Taxes	()	()	()	()	()	()
21. Conversion Differential												
22. Other Scope Adjustments												
23. Total Adjusted IFMS or ISSA Price												
D. COST COMPARISON												
24. In-House										-		
25. Contract										_		
26. IFMS and/or ISSA										-		
E. DECISION												
Retain In-House												
Contract												
Consolidate to GSA/IFMS or ISSA												
Convert from Contract to: In-house . IFMS or ISSA												

THE A-76/MV COST COMPARISON FORM FOR MOTOR VEHICLE FLEETS

27.	In-House MEO Certified By:		Date:							
	<u></u>	Office and Title								
	is the most efficient and required by the Performa concurrence that the org	cost effective organization ance Work Statement. I fur	that is fully capable or ther certify that I hav roposed, can and will	anization reflected in this cost comparison of performing the scope of work and tasks to obtained from the appropriate authority be fully implemented—subject to this cost						
28.	In-House Cost Estimate Prepared	Ву:	Date:	-						
29.	Independent Reviewer:		Date:	_						
	Office and Title									
	"I certify that I have reviewed the PWS, Management Plan, In-house, GSA/IFMS or ISSA cost estimates and supporting documentation available prior to bid opening and, to the best of my knowledge and ability, have determined that: (1) the ability of the in-house MEO to perform the work contained in the Performance Work Statement at the estimated costs included in this cost comparison is reasonably established and, (2) that all costs entered on the cost comparison have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Circular A-76 and its Supplement.									
30.	Cost Comparison Completed By:		Date:							
31.	Contracting Officer:		Date:							
32.	Tentative Cost Comparison Decision Announced By:		Date:							
33.	Appeal Authority (if applicable):		Date:							

REFERENCES, (continued)

- (d) Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), 1990
- (e) DoD Directive 4001.1, "Installation Management," September 4, 1986
- (f) DoD 4000.19-R, "Defense Regional Interservice Support (DRIS) Regulation "March 1984, authorized by DoD Directive 4000.19, October 14, 1980
- (g) Title 10, United States Code, Chapter 146, "Contracting for Performance of Civilian Commercial or Industrial-Type Functions"
- (h) Defense FAR Supplement (DFARS), December, 1991
- (I) Executive Order 12333, "United States Intelligence Activities," December 4, 1981
- (j) DoD 7200.10-M, "Department of Defense Accounting and Reporting of Government Property Lost, Damaged, or Destroyed," March, 1991, authorized by DoD Instruction 7200.10, May 16, 1977
- (k) Section 7111 of Title 5, United States Code, "Civil Service Reform Act of 1978"
- (1) DoD Directive 5220.22, "DoD Industrial Security Program," December 8, 1980
- (m) DoD 5220.22-R, "Industrial Security Regulation," December 1988 authorized by DoD Directive 5220.22, December 8, 1980
- (n) DoD 5220.2-R, "DoD Personnel Security Program Regulation," January 1980 authorized by DoD Directive 5200.2, December 20, 1979
- (o) "The Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act," as amended, June 25, 1938 (41 U.S.C. 46 through 48c)
- (p) Section 637 of Title 15, United States Code, "The Small Business Act amendment of 1961"
- (q) DoD Directive 1015.1, "Establishment, Management, and Control of Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality's," August 19, 1981
- (r) DoD Instruction 4151.15, "Depot Maintenance Support Programming Policies," November 22, 1976
- (s) Sections 541-544 of Title 40, United States Code, "Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949"
- (t) DoD 5000.12-M, "DoD Manual of Standard Data Elements," July 1989, authorized by DoD Directive 5000.12, April 1965
- (u) Office of Federal Procurement Policy Pamphlet No. 4, "A Guide for Writing and Administering Performance Statements of Work for Service Contracts," October 1980
- (v) Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-76, Transmittal Memorandum Nos. 1 through 14 "Performance of Commercial Activities," August 12, 1985; April 10, 1986; July 31, 1986; October 29, 1986; January 28, 1987; March 4, 1988; September 28, 1988; March 1, 1989; February 12, 1990; February 28, 1991; March 19, 1992; March 26, 1993; March 2, 1994; and March 29, 1995
- (w) Section 1501 of Title 29, United States Code, Chapter 19, "Job Training Partnership Act of 1994"
- (x) Title 5, United States Code, Chapter 41, "Federal Workforce Restructuring Act of 1994"
- (y) Section 8020 of Public Law 103-335, "The Defense Appropriations Act of 1995," September 30, 1994

DEFINITIONS

- 1. <u>Commercial Activity Review</u>. The process of evaluating CAs for the purpose of determining whether or not a cost comparison will be conducted.
- 2. <u>Commercial Source</u>. A business or other non-Federal activity located in the United States, its territories and possessions, the District of Columbia, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, that provides a commercial product or service.
- 3. <u>Conversion to Contract</u>. The changeover of a CA from performance of DoD personnel to performance under contract by a commercial source.
- 4. <u>Conversion to In-House</u>. The changeover of a CA from performance under contract by a commercial source to performance by DoD personnel.
- 5. <u>Cost Comparison</u>. The process of developing an estimate of the cost of performance of a CA by DoD employees and comparing it, in accordance with the requirements in this Instruction, to the cost to the Government for contract performance of the CA.
- 6. <u>Deployment</u>. The departure from base installation location to another location to perform the wartime skills and tasks in support of combat, combat support or combat service support.
- 7. <u>Directly Affected Parties</u>. DoD employees and their representative organizations and bidders or offerers on the solicitation.
- 8. <u>Displaced DoD Employee</u>. Any DoD employee affected by conversion to contract operation (including such actions as job elimination, grade reduction or reduction in rank). It includes both employees in the function converted to contract and to employees outside the function who are affected adversely by conversion-through reassignment or the exercise of bumping or retreat rights.
- 9. <u>DoD Commercial Activity (CA)</u>. An activity that provides a product or service obtainable (or obtained) from a commercial source. A DoD CA is not a Governmental function. A DoD CA may be an organization or part of another organization. It must be a type of work that is separable from other

functions or activities so that it is suitable for performance by contract. A representative list of the functions performed by such activities is provided in enclosure 3. A DoD CA falls into one of two categories:

- a. In-House CA. A DoD CA operated by a DoD Component with DoD personnel.
- b. <u>Contract CA</u>. A DoD CA managed by a DoD Component operated with contractor personnel.

- 10. <u>DoD Employee</u>. Refers to only civilian personnel of the Department of Defense.
- 11. <u>DoD Governmental Function</u>. A function that is related so intimately to the public interest as to mandate performance by DoD personnel. These functions require either the exercise of discretion in applying Government authority or the use of value judgment in making decisions for the Department of Defense. Services or products in support of Governmental functions, such as those listed in enclosure 3, are CAs and are normally subject to DoD Directive 4100.15 (reference (b)) and its implementing instructions. Governmental functions normally fall into two categories:
- a. The act of governing; that is, the discretionary exercise of Government authority. Examples include criminal investigations, prosecutions, and other judicial functions; management of Government programs requiring value judgments, as in direction of the national defense; management and direction of the Armed Services; activities performed exclusively by military personnel who are subject to deployment in a combat, combat support, or combat services support role; conduct of foreign relations; selection or program priorities; direction of Federal employees; regulation of the use of space, oceans, navigable rivers, and other natural resources; direction of intelligence and counter-intelligence operations; and regulation of industry and commerce, including food and drugs.
- b. Monetary transactions and entitlements, such as tax collection and revenue disbursements; control of the treasury accounts and money supply; and the administration of public trusts.
- 12. <u>DoD Personnel</u>. Refers to both military and civilian personnel of the Department of Defense.
- 13. Expansion. The modernization, replacement, upgrading, or enlargement of a DoD CA involving a cost increase exceeding either 30 percent of the total capital investment or 30 percent of the annual personnel and material costs. A consolidation of two or more CAs is not an expansion unless the proposed total capital investment or annual personnel and material costs of the consolidation exceeds the total of the individual CAs by 30 percent or more.
- 14. <u>New Requirement</u>. A newly established need for a commercial product or service. A new requirement does not include interim in-house operation of essential services pending reacquisition of the services prompted by such action as the termination of an existing contract operation.
- 15. <u>Preferential Procurement Program</u>. Preferential procurement programs are mandatory source programs such as Federal Prison Industries (FPI) and the workshops administered by the Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped under the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (reference (o)). Also included are small, minority and disadvantaged

DRAFT

4100.33 (Encl 3)

businesses, and labor surplus area set-asides and awards made under 15 U.S.C., Section 637 (reference (p)).

CODES AND DEFINITIONS OF FUNCTIONAL AREAS

This list of functional codes and their definitions does not restrict the applicability or scope of the CA Program within DoD. Section B. of DoD Directive 4100.15 (reference (b)) defines the applicability and scope of the program. The CA Program still applies to CAs not defined in this listing. These codes and definitions are a guide to assist reporting. As new functions are identified, codes will be added or existing definitions will be expanded.

SOCIAL SERVICES

G001 Care of Remains of Deceased Personnel and/or Funeral Services. Includes CAs that provide mortuary services, including transportation from aerial port of embarkation (APOE) to mortuary of human remains received from overseas mortuaries, inspection, restoration, provision of uniform and insignia, dressing, flag, placement in casket, and preparation for onward shipment.

<u>G008 Commissary Store Operation</u>. Includes CAs that; provide all ordering, receipt, storage stockage, and retailing for commissaries. Excludes procurement of goods for issue or resale.

G008A: Shelf Stocking.

G008B: Checkout.

G008C: Meat Processing. G008D: Produce Processing. G008E: Storage and Issue.

G008F: Other.

G008G: Troop Subsistence Issue Point

G009 Clothing Sales Stores Operation. Includes CAs that provide ordering, receipt, storage, stockage, and retailing of clothing. Stores operated by the Army and Air Force Exchange Services, Navy Exchange Services, and Marine Corps Exchange Services are excluded.

<u>G010 Recreational Library Services</u>. Includes operation of libraries maintained primarily for offduty use by military personnel and their dependents.

G011 Other Morale Welfare and Recreation Services. Operation of CAs maintained primarily for the off-duty use of military personnel and their dependents, including both appropriated and partially nonappropriated fund activities. The operation of clubs and performing messes, and morale support activities are included in code G011. Examples of activities G011 functions are arts and crafts, entertainment, sports and athletics, swimming, bowling, marina and boating, stables, youth activities, centers, and golf. DoD Directive 1015.1 (reference (u)) contains amplification of the categories reflected below. (NOTE: CA procedures are not mandatory for functions staffed solely by civilian personnel paid by nonappropriated funds.)

G011A: All Category II Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities (NAFIs), except Package Beverage Branch.

G011B: Package Beverage Branch.

G011C: All Category IIIa NAFIs.

G01ID: All Category IIIb1, except Libraries

G011E: Category IIIb2 Arts and Crafts

G011F: Category IIIb2 Music & Theater

G011G: Category IIIb2 Outdoor Recreation

G011H: Category IIIb2 Youth Activities

G011I: Category IIIb2 Child Development Service

G011J: Category llIb2 Sports - Competitive

G01IK: All Category 1111)3 except Armed Forces Recreation Center (AFRC) Golf, Bowling, and membership associations converted from Category VI

G011L: Category IIIb3 AFRC

G011M: Category IIIb3 Golf

G011N: Category IIIb3 Bowling

G0110: Category IIIb3 membership association converted from Category VI

G011P: Category III Information Tour and Travel, (ITT)

G011Q: All Category IV

G011R: All Category V

G011S: All Category VI, except those converted to Category IIIb3

G011T: All Category VII

G011U: All Category VIII, except billeting and hotels

G011V Category VIII Billeting

G011W: Category VIII Hotels

<u>G012 Community Services</u>. DoD Directive 1015.1 (reference (q)) contains further amplification of the categories.

G012A: Information and Referral

G012B: Relocation Assistance

G012C: Exceptional Family Member

G012D: Family Advocacy (Domestic Violence)

G012E: Foster Care

G012F: Family Member Employment

G012G: Installation Volunteer Coordination

G012H: Outreach

G0121: Volunteer Management

G012J: Office Management

G012K: Consumer Affairs/Financial Assistance

G012L: General and Emergency Family Assistance

G900 Chaplain Activities and Support Services. Includes CAs that provide non-military unique support services that supplement the command religious program such as non-pastoral counseling, organists, choir directors, and directors of religious education. The command religious program, which includes chaplains and enlisted support personnel, is a Governmental function and is excluded from this category.

<u>G901 Berthing BOO/BEQ</u>. Includes CAs that provide temporary or permanent accommodations for officer or enlisted personnel. Management of the facility, room service, and daily cleaning are included.

G904 <u>Family Services</u>. Includes CAs that perform various social services for families, such as family counseling, financial counseling and planning, the operation of an abuse center, child care center, or family aid center.

G999 Other Social Services. This code will only be used for unusual circumstances and will not be used to report organizations or work that can be accommodated under a specifically defined code.

HEALTH SERVICES

H101 <u>Hospital Care</u>. Includes CAs that provide outpatient and inpatient care and consultative evaluation in the medical specialties, including pediatrics and psychiatry; the coordination of health care delivery relative to the examination, diagnosis, treatment, and disposition of medical inpatients.

H102 <u>Surgical Care</u>. Includes CAs that provide outpatient and inpatient care and consultative evaluation in the surgical specialties, including obstetrics, gynecology, ophthalmology and otorhinolaryngology; the coordination of health care delivery relative to the examination, treatment, diagnosis, and disposition of surgical patients.

H105 <u>Nutritional Care</u>. Includes CAs that provide hospital food services for inpatients and outpatients, dietetic treatment, counseling of patients, and nutritional education.

H106 <u>Pathology Services</u>. Includes CAs involved in the operation of laboratories providing comprehensive clinical and anatomical pathology services; DoD military blood program and blood bank activities; and area reference laboratories.

H107 <u>Radiology Services</u>. Includes CAs that provide diagnostic and therapeutic radiological service to inpatients and outpatients, including the processing, examining, interpreting, and storage and retrieval of radiographs, fluorographs, and radiotherapy.

H108 <u>Pharmacy Services</u>. Includes CAs that produce, preserve, store, compound, manufacture, package, control, assay, dispense, and distribute medications (including intravenous solutions) for inpatients and outpatients.

H109 Physical Therapy. Includes CAs that provide care and treatment to patients whose ability to function is impaired or threatened by disease or injury; primarily serve patients whose actual impairment is related to neuro-musculoskeletal, pulmonary, and cardiovascular systems; evaluate the function and impairment of these systems, and select and apply therapeutic procedures to maintain, improve, or restore these functions.

- H110 <u>Materiel Services</u>. Includes CAs that provide or arrange for the supplies, equipment, and certain services necessary to support the mission of the medical facility; responsibilities include procurement, inventory control, receipt, storage, quality assurance, issue, turn-in, disposition, property accounting, and reporting actions for designated medical and nonmedical supplies and equipment.
- H111 Orthopedic Services. Includes CAs that construct orthopedic appliances such as braces, casts, splints, supports, and shoes from impressions, forms, molds, and other specifications.
- H112 <u>Ambulance Service</u>. Includes CAs that provide transportation for personnel who are injured, sick, or otherwise require medical treatment, including standby duty in support of military activities and ambulance bus services.
- H113 <u>Dental Care</u>. Includes CAs that provide oral examinations, patient education, diagnosis, treatment, and care including all phases of restorative dentistry, oral surgery, prosthodontics, oral pathology,

periodontics, orthodontics, endodontics, oral hygiene, preventive dentistry, and radiodontics.

- H114 <u>Dental Laboratories</u>. Includes CAs that operate dental prosthetic laboratories required to support the provision of comprehensive dental care; services may include preparing casts and models, repairing dentures, fabricating transitional, temporary, or orthodontic appliances, and finishing dentures.
- H115 <u>Clinics and Dispensaries</u>. Includes CAs that operate freestanding clinics and dispensaries that provide health care services. Operations are relatively independent of a medical treatment facility and are separable for in-house or contract performance. Health clinics, occupational health clinics, and occupational health nursing offices.
- H116 <u>Veterinary Services</u>. Includes CAs that provide a complete wholesomeness and quality assurance food inspection program, including sanitation, inspection of food received, surveillance inspections, and laboratory examination and analysis; a complete zoonosis control program; complete medical care for Government-owned animals; veterinary medical support for biomedical research and development; support to other Federal agencies when requested and authorized; assistance in a comprehensive preventive medicine program; and determination of fitness of all foods that may have been contaminated by chemical, bacteriological, or radioactive materials.
- H117 <u>Medical Records Transcription</u>. Includes CAs that transcribe, file, and maintain medical records.
- H118 <u>Nursing Services</u>. Includes CAs that provide care and treatment for inpatients and outpatients not required to be performed by a doctor.
- H119 <u>Preventive Medicine</u>. Includes CAs that operate wellness or holistic clinics (preventive medicine), information centers, and research laboratories.

- H120 Occupational Health. Includes CAs that develop, monitor, and inspect installation safety conditions.
- H121 <u>Drug Rehabilitation</u>. Includes CAs that operate alcohol treatment facilities, urine testing for drug content, and drug/alcohol counseling centers.
- H999 Other Health Services. This code will only be used for unusual circumstances and will not be used to report organizations or work that can be accommodated under a specifically defined code.

INTERMEDIATE, DIRECT, OR GENERAL REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE OF EQUIPMENT

<u>Definition</u>. Maintenance authorized and performed by designated maintenance CAs in support of using activities. Normally, it is limited to replacement and overhaul of unserviceable parts, subassemblies, or assemblies. It includes (1) intermediate/direct/general maintenance performed by fixed activities that are not designed for deployment to combat areas and that provide direct support of organizations performing or designed to perform combat missions from bases in the United States, and (2) any testing conducted to check the repair procedure. CAs engaged in intermediate/direct/general maintenance and/or repair of equipment are to be grouped according to the equipment predominantly handled, as follows:

- J501 <u>Aircraft</u>. Aircraft and associated equipment. Includes armament, electronic and communications equipment, engines, and any other equipment that is an integral part of an aircraft.
- J502 <u>Aircraft Engines</u>. Aircraft engines that are not repaired while an integral part of the aircraft.
- J503 <u>Missiles</u>. Missile systems and associated equipment. Includes mechanical, electronics, and communication equipment that is an integral part of missile systems.
- J504 <u>Vessels</u>. All vessels, including armament, electronics, communications and any other equipment that is an integral part of the vessel.
- J505 <u>Combat Vehicles</u>. Tanks, armored personnel carriers, self-propelled artillery, and other combat vehicles. Includes armament, fire control, electronic, and communications equipment that is an integral part of a combat vehicle.
- J506 Noncombat Vehicles. Automotive equipment, such as tactical, support, and administrative vehicles. Includes electronic and communications equipment that is an integral part of the noncombat vehicle.
- J507 <u>Electronic and Communications Equipment</u>. Stationary, mobile, portable, and other electronic and communications equipment. Excludes electronic and communications equipment

that is an integral part of another weapon/support system. Maintenance of Automatic Data Processing Equipment (ADPE) not an integral part of a communications system shall be reported under functional code W825; maintenance of tactical ADPE shall be reported under function code J999.

- J510 <u>Railway Equipment</u>. Locomotives of any type or gauge, including steam, compressed air, straight electric, storage battery, diesel electric, gasoline, electric, diesel mechanical locomotives, railway cars, and cabooses. Includes electrical equipment for locomotives and cars, motors, generators, wiring supplies for railway tracks for both propulsion and signal circuits, and onboard communications and control equipment.
- J511 <u>Special Equipment</u>. Construction equipment, weight lifting, power, and materiel handling equipment (MHE).
- J512 <u>Armament</u>. Small arms, artillery and guns, nuclear munitions, chemical, biological, and radiological (CBR) items, conventional ammunition, and all other ordnance items. Excludes armament that is an integral part of another weapon or support system.
- J513 Dining Facility Equipment. Dining facility kitchen appliances and equipment.
- J514 Medical and Dental Equipment. Medical and dental equipment.
- J515 <u>Containers, Textiles, Tents, and Tarpaulins</u>. Containers, tents, tarpaulins, other textiles, and organizational clothing.
- J516 <u>Metal Containers</u>. Container Express (CONEX) containers, gasoline, containers, and other metal containers.
- J517 <u>Training Devices and Audiovisual Equipment</u>. Training devices and audiovisual equipment. Excludes maintenance of locally fabricated devices and functions reported under codes T807 and T900.
- J519 <u>Industrial Plant Equipment</u>. That part of plant equipment with an acquisition cost of \$3,000 or more, used to cut, abrade, grind, shape, form, join, test, measure, heat, or otherwise alter the physical, electrical, or chemical properties of materials, components, or end items entailed in manufacturing, maintenance, supply processing, assembly, or research and development operations.
- J520 <u>Test, Measurement, and Diagnostic Equipment</u>. Test, measurement, and diagnostic equipment (TMDE) that has resident in it a programmable computer. Included is equipment referred to as automated test equipment (ATE).
- J521 Other Test, Measurement, and Diagnostic-Equipment. Test, measurement, and diagnostic equipment not classified as ATE or that does not contain a resident programmable computer. Includes such items as electronic meters, armament circuit testers, and other specialized testers. J522 Aeronautical Support Equipment. Aeronautical support equipment excluding TMDE (and

ATE). Includes such items as ground electrical power carts, aircraft tow tractors, ground air conditioners, engine stands, and trailers. Excludes aeronautical equipment reported under J501.

J999 Other Intermediate, Direct, or General Repair and Maintenance of Equipment. This code will only be used for unusual circumstances and will not be used to report organizations or work that can be accommodated under a specifically defined code.

DEPOT REPAIR, MAINTENANCE, MODIFICATION, CONVERSION, OR OVERHAUL OF EQUIPMENT

<u>Definition</u>. The maintenance performed on materiel that requires major overhaul or a complete rebuild of parts, assemblies, subassemblies, and end items, including the manufacture of parts, modifications, testing, and reclamation, as required. Depot maintenance serves to support lower categories of maintenance. Depot maintenance provides stocks of serviceable equipment by using more extensive facilities for repair than are available in lower level maintenance activities. (See DoD Instruction 4151. 15 (reference (r)) for further amplification of the category definitions reflected below.) Depot or indirect maintenance functions are identified by the type of equipment maintained or repaired.

K531 <u>Aircraft</u>. Aircraft and associated equipment. Includes armament, electronics and communications equipment, engines, and any other equipment that is an integral part of an aircraft. Aeronautical support equipment not reported separately under code K548.

K532 <u>Aircraft Engines</u>. Aircraft engines that are not repaired while an integral part of the aircraft.

K533 <u>Missiles</u>. Missile systems and associated equipment. Includes mechanical, electronic, and communications equipment that is an integral part of missile systems.

K534 <u>Vessels</u>. All vessels, including armament, electronics, and communications equipment, and any other equipment that is an integral part of a vessel.

K535 <u>Combat Vehicles</u>. Tanks, armored personnel carriers, self-propelled artillery, and other combat vehicles. Includes armament, fire control, electronics, and communications equipment that is an integral part of a combat vehicle.

K536 Noncombat Vehicles. Automotive equipment, such as tactical support and administrative vehicles. Includes electronic and communications equipment that are an integral part of the vehicle.

K537 Electronic and Communications Equipment. Stationary, mobile, portable, and other electronics and communications equipment. Excludes electronic and communications equipment that is an integral part of another weapon/support system. Maintenance of ADPE, not an integral part of a communications system, reported under functional code W825.

K538 <u>Railway Equipment</u>. Locomotives of any type or gauge, including steam, compressed air, straight electric, storage battery, diesel electric, gasoline, electric, diesel mechanical locomotives, railway cars, and cabooses. Includes electrical equipment for locomotives and cars, motors, generators, wiring supplies for railway tracks for both propulsion and signal circuits, and onboard communication and control equipment.

K539 <u>Special Equipment</u>. Construction equipment, weight lifting, power, and materiel-handling equipment.

K540 <u>Armament</u>. Small arms; artillery and guns; nuclear munitions, CBR items; conventional ammunition; and all other ordnance items. Excludes armament that is an integral part of another weapon or support system.

K541 <u>Industrial Plant Equipment</u>. That part of plant equipment with an acquisition cost of \$3,000 or more, used to cut, abrade, grind, shape, form, join, test, measure, heat, or otherwise alter the physical, electrical, or chemical properties of materials, components, or end items entailed in manufacturing, maintenance, supply, processing, assembly, or research and development operations.

K542 <u>Dining Facility Equipment</u>. Dining facility kitchen appliances and equipment This includes field feeding equipment.

K543 Medical and Dental Equipment. Medical and dental equipment.

K544 Containers, Textiles, Tents and Tarpaulins. Containers, tents, tarpaulins, and other textiles.

K545 Metal Containers. CONEX containers, gasoline containers, and other metal containers.

K546 <u>Test Measurement and Diagnostic Equipment</u>. Test measurement and diagnostic equipment (TMDE) that has resident in it a programmable computer. Included is equipment referred to as automated test equipment (ATE).

K547 Other Test Measurement and Diagnostic Equipment. Test measurement and diagnostic equipment not classified as ATE or that does not contain a resident programmable computer. Includes such items as electronic meters, armament circuit testers, and other specialized testers.

K548 <u>Aeronautical Support Equipment</u>. Aeronautical support equipment excluding TMDE and (ATE). Includes such items as ground electrical power carts, aircraft tow tractors, ground air conditioners, engine stands, and trailers. Excludes aeronautical support equipment reported under code K531. K999 Other Depot Repair Maintenance Modification, Conversion, or Overhaul of Equipment This code will only be used for unusual circumstances and will not be used to report organizations or work that can be accommodated under a specifically defined code.

BASE MAINTENANCE/MULTI/FUNCTION CONTRACTS

P100 <u>Base Maintenance/multi/function Contracts</u>. Includes all umbrella-type contracts where the contractor performs more than one function at one or more installations. (Identify specific functions as nonadd entries.)

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (RDT&E) SUPPORT

R660 <u>RDT&E Support</u>. Includes all effort not reported elsewhere directed toward support of installation or operations required for research, development, test, and evaluation use. Included are maintenance support of laboratories, operation and maintenance of test ranges, and maintenance of test aircraft and ship.

INSTALLATION SERVICES

S700 Natural Resource Services. Includes those CAs that provide products or services that implement natural resource management plans in the areas of fish, game, wildlife, forestry, watershed areas or ground water table, erosion control, and mineral deposit management. Natural resources planning and management is a governmental function and will not be reported.

S701 <u>Advertising and Public Relations Services</u>. Includes CAs responsible for advertising and public relations in support of public affairs offices, installation newspapers and publications, and information offices.

S702 <u>Financial and Payroll Services</u>. Includes CAs that prepare payroll, print checks, escrow, or change payroll accounts for personnel. Includes other services normally associated with banking operations.

S703 <u>Debt Collection</u>. Includes CAs that monitor, record, and collect debts incurred by overdrafts, bad checks, or delinquent accounts.

S706 <u>Installation Bus Services</u>. Includes CAs that operate local, intrapost, and interpost scheduled bus services. Includes scheduled movement of personnel over regular routes by administrative motor vehicles to include taxi and dependent school bus services.

S706A Scheduled Bus Services.

S706B Unscheduled Bus Services.

S706C Dependent School Bus Services.

S706D Other Bus Services.

S708 <u>Laundry and Dry Cleaning Services</u>. Includes CAs that operate and maintain laundry and dry cleaning facilities.

S709 <u>Custodial Services</u>. Includes CAs that provide janitorial and housekeeping services to maintain safe and sanitary conditions and preserve property.

DRAFT

S710 Pest Management. Includes CAs that provide control measures directed against fungi, insects, rodents, and other pests.

S712 <u>Refuse Collection and Disposal Services</u>. Includes CAs that operate incinerators, sanitary fills, and regulated dumps, and perform all other approved refuse collection and disposal services.

S713 <u>Food Services</u>. Includes CAs engaged in the operation and administration of food preparation and serving facilities. Excludes operation of central bakeries, pastry kitchens, and central meat processing facilities that produce a product and are reported under functional area X934. Excludes hospital food service operations (under code H105).

S713A: Food Preparation and Administration.

S713B: Mess Attendants and Housekeeping Services.

S714 Furniture. Includes CAs that repair and refurbish furniture.

S715 Office Equipment. Includes CAs that maintain and repair typewriters, calculators, and adding machines.

S716 <u>Motor Vehicle Operation</u>. Includes CAs that operate local administrative motor transportation services. Excludes installation bus services reported in functional area 5706.

S716A: Taxi Service.

S716B: Bus Service (unless in 5706).

S716C: Motor Pool Operation.

S716D Crane Operation (includes rigging, excludes those listed in T800G).

S716E: Heavy Truck Operation.

S716F: Construction Equipment Operation.

S7161: Driver/Operator Licensing & Test.

716J: Other Vehicle Operations (Light Truck/Auto).

S716K: Fuel Truck Operations.

S716M: Tow Truck Operations.

S717 <u>Motor Vehicle Maintenance</u>. Includes CAs that-perform maintenance on automotive equipment, such as support and administrative vehicles. Includes electronic and communications equipment that are an integral part of the vehicle.

S717A: Upholstery Maintenance and Repair.

S717B: Glass Replacement and Window Repair.

S717C: Body Repair and Painting.

S717D: Accessory Overhaul.

S717E: General Repairs/Minor Maintenance.

S717F: Battery Maintenance and Repair.

S717G: Tire Maintenance and Repair.

S717H: Major Component Overhaul.

S7171: Material Handling Equipment Maintenance.

S717J: Crane Maintenance.

S717K: Construction Equipment Maintenance.

S717L: Frame and Wheel Alignment.

S717M: Other Motor Vehicle Maintenance.

S718 <u>Fire Prevention and Protection</u>. Includes CAs that operate and maintain fire protection and preventive services. Includes routine maintenance and repair of fire equipment and the installation of fire prevention equipment.

S718A: Fire Protection Engineering.

S718B: Fire Station Administration.

S718C: Fire Prevention.

S718D: Fire Station Operations.

S718E: Crash and Rescue.

S718F: Structural Fire Suppression.

S718G: Fire & Crash/Rescue Equipment Major Maintenance.

S718H: Other Fire Prevention and Protection.

3-10

S719 <u>Military Clothing</u>. Includes CAs that order, receive, store, issue, and alter military clothing and repair military shoes. Excludes repair of organizational clothing reported under code J515.

S724 <u>Guard Service</u>. Includes CAs engaged in physical security operations that provide for installation security and intransit protection of military property from loss or damage.

S724A: <u>Ingress and egress control</u>. Regulation of person, material, and vehicles entering or exiting a designated area to provide protection of the installation and Government property.

S724B: <u>Physical security patrols and posts</u>. Mobile and static physical security guard activities that provide protection of installation or Government property.

S724C: <u>Conventional arms, ammunition, and explosives (CAAE) security</u>. Dedicated security guards for CAAE.

S724D: <u>Animal control</u>. Patrolling for, capture of, and response to complaints about uncontrolled, dangerous, and disabled animals on military installations.

S724E: <u>Visitor information services</u>. Providing information to installation resident and visitors about street, agency, unit, and activity locations.

S724F: <u>Vehicle impoundment</u>. Removal, accountability, security, and processing of vehicles impounded on military installations.

DRAFT

S724G: <u>Registration functions</u>. Administration, filing, processing, and retrieval information about privately owned items that must be registered on military installations.

S724S: Other guard service.

S725 <u>Electrical Plants and Systems</u>. Includes CAs that operate, maintain, and repair Government-owned electrical plants and systems.

S726 <u>Heating Plants and Systems</u>. Includes CAs that operate, maintain, and repair Government-owned heating plants and systems over 750,000 British Thermal Unit (BTU) capacity. Codes Z991 or Z992 will be used for systems under 750,000 BTU capacity, as applicable.

S727 <u>Water Plants and Systems</u>. Includes CAs that operate, maintain, and repair Government-owned water plants and systems.

S728 <u>Sewage and Waste Plants and Systems</u>. Includes CAs that operate, maintain, and repair Government-owned sewage and waste plants and systems.

S729 <u>Air Conditioning and Refrigeration Plants</u>. Includes CAs that operate, maintain, and repair Government-owned air conditioning and refrigeration plants over 5-ton capacity. Codes Z991 or Z992 shall be used for plants under 5-ton capacity as applicable.

S730 Other Services or Utilities. Includes CAs that operate, maintain, and repair other Government-owned services or utilities.

S731 <u>Base Supply Operations</u>. Includes CAs that operate centralized installation supply functions providing supplies and equipment to all assigned or attached units. Performs all basic supply functions to determine requirements for all requisition, receipt, storage, issuance, and accountability for materiel.

S732 Warehousing and Distribution of Publications. Includes CAs that receive, store, and distribute publications and blank forms.

S740 Installation Transportation Office. Includes technical, clerical, and administrative CAs that support traffic management services related to the procurement of freight and passenger service from commercial "for hire" transportation companies. Excludes restricted functions that must be performed by Government employees such as the review, approval, and signing of documents related to the obligation of funds; selection of mode or carrier; evaluation of carrier performance; and carrier suspension. Excludes installation transportation functions described under codes S706, S716, S717, T810, T811, T812, and T814.

S740A: Installation Transportation Management and Administration.

S740B: Materiel Movements. S740C: Personnel Movements.

S740D: Personal Property Activities.

S740E: Quality Control and Inspection.

S740F: Unit Movements.

S750 Museum Operations.

S760 <u>Contractor-Operated Parts Stores and Contractor-Operated Civil Engineering Supply Stores.</u>

S999 Other Installation Services. This code will only be used for unusual circumstances and will not be used to report organizations or work that can be accommodated under a specifically defined code.

OTHER NONMANUFACTURING OPERATIONS

T800 Ocean Terminal Operations. Includes CAs that operate terminals transferring cargo between overland and sealift transportation. Includes handling of Government cargo through commercial water terminals.

T800A: Pier Operations. Includes CAs that provide stevedore and shipwright carpentry operations supporting the loading, stowage, and discharge of cargo and containers on and off ships, and supervision of operations at commercial piers and military ocean terminals.

T800B: Cargo Handling Equipment. Includes CAs that operate and maintain barge derricks, gantries, cranes, forklifts, and other materiel handling equipment used to handle cargo within the terminal area.

T800C: Port Cargo Operations: Includes CAs that load and unload railcars and trucks, pack, repack, crate, warehouse, and store cargo moving through the terminal, and stuff and unstuff containers.

T800D: Vehicle Preparation. Includes CAs that prepare Government and privately owned vehicles (POVs) for ocean shipment, inspection, stowage in containers, transportation to pier, processing, and issue of import vehicles to owners.

T800E: Lumber Operations. Includes CAs that segregate reclaimable lumber from dunnage removed from ships, railcars, and trucks; remove nails; even lengths; inspect; and return the lumber to inventory for reuse. Includes receipt, storage, and issue of new lumber.

T800F: Materiel Handling Equipment (MHE) Operations. Includes CAs that deliver MHE to user agencies, perform onsite fueling, and operate special purpose and heavy capacity equipment.

T800G: Crane Operations. Includes CAs that operate and perform first-echelon maintenance of barge derricks, gantries, and truck-mounted cranes in support of vessels and terminal cargo activities.

T800H: Breakbulk Cargo Operations. Includes CAs that provide stevedoring, shipwright carpentry, stevedore transportation, and the loading and unloading of noncontainerized cargo.

T800I: Other Ocean Terminal Operations.

T801 Storage and Warehousing. Includes CAs that receive materiel into depots and other storage and warehousing facilities, provide care for supplies, and issue and ship materiel. Excludes installation supply in support of unit and tenet activities described in S731.

T801A: Receipt. Includes CAs that receive supplies and related documents and information. This includes material handling and related actions, such as materials segregation and checking, and tallying incident to receipt.

T801B: Packing and Crating of Household Goods. Includes CAs performing packing and crating operations described in T801H, incident to the movement or storage of household goods.

T801C: Shipping. Includes CAs that deliver stocks withdrawn from storage to shipping. Includes onloading and offloading of stocks from transportation carriers, blocking, bracing, dunnage, checking, tallying, and materiel handling in central shipping area and related documentation and information operations.

T801D: Care, Rewarehousing, and Support of Materiel. Includes CAs that provide for actions that must be taken to protect stocks in storage, including physical handling, temperature control, assembly placement and preventive maintenance of storage aids, and realigning stock configuration; provide for movement of stocks from one storage location to another and related checking, tallying, and handling; and provide for any work being performed within general storage support that cannot be identified clearly as one of the subfunctions described above.

T801E: Preservation and Packaging. Includes CAs that preserve, represerve, and pack materiel to be placed ill storage or to be shipped. Excludes application of final (exterior) shipping containers.

T801F: Unit and Set Assembly and Disassembly. Includes CAs that gather or bring together items of various nomenclature (parts, components, and basic issue items) and group, assemble, or restore them to or with an item of another nomenclature (such as parent end item or assemblage) to permit shipment under a single document. This also includes blocking, bracing, and packing preparations within the inner shipping container; physical handling and loading; and reverse operation of assembling such units.

T801G: Special Processing of Non Stock Fund-Owned Materiel. Includes CAs performing special processing actions described below that must be performed on Inventory Control Point (ICP) -controlled, nonstock fund-owned materiel by technically qualified depot maintenance personnel, using regular or special maintenance tools or equipment. Includes disassembly or reassembly or reserviceable ICP-controlled materiel being readied for movement, in-house storage, or out-of-house location such as a port to a commercial or DoD-

operated maintenance or storage facility, property disposal or demilitarization activity, including blocking, bracing, cushioning, and packing.

T801H: Packing and Crating. Includes CAs that place supplies in theirs final, exterior containers ready for shipment. Includes the nailing, strapping, sealing, stapling, masking, marking, and weighing of the exterior container. Also, includes all physical handling, unloading, and loading of materiel within the packing and shipping area; checking and tallying material in and out; all operations incident to packing, repacking, or recrating for shipment, including on-line fabrication of tailored boxes, crates, bit inserts, blocking, bracing and cushioning shrouding, overpacking, containerization, and the packing of materiel in transportation containers. Excludes packing of household goods and personnel effects reported under code T801B.

T801I: Other Storage and Warehousing.

T802 <u>Cataloging</u>. Includes CA that prepare supply catalogs and furnish cataloging data on all items of supply for distribution to all echelons worldwide. Include catalog files, preparation, and revision of all item identifications for all logistics functions; compilation of Federal catalog sections and allied publication; development of Federal item identification guides, and procurement identification descriptions. Includes printing and publication of Federal supply catalogs and related allied publications.

T803 Acceptance Testing. Includes CAs that inspect and test supplies and materiel to ensure that products meet minimum requirements of applicable specifications, standards, and similar technical criteria; laboratories and other facilities with inspection and test capabilities; and activities engaged in production acceptance testing of ammunition, aircraft armament, mobility material, and other military equipment.

T803A: Inspection and Testing of Oil and Fuel.

T803B: Other Acceptance Testing.

T804 <u>Architect-Engineering Services</u>. Includes CAs that provide Architect/Engineer (A/E) services. Excludes Engineering Technical Services (ETS) reported in functional area T813, and those required under Title 40 U.S.C. (reference (s)).

T805 Operation of Bulk Liquid Storage. Includes CAs that operate bulk petroleum storage facilities. Includes operation of off-vessel discharging and loading facilities, fixed and portable bulk storage facilities, pipelines, pumps, and other related equipment within or between storage facilities or extended to using agencies (excludes aircraft fueling services); handling of drums within bulk fuel activities. Excludes aircraft fueling services reported under code T814.

T806 <u>Printing and Reproduction</u>. Includes CAs that print, duplicate, and copy. Excludes user-operated office copying equipment.

T807 <u>Audiovisual and Visual Information Services</u>. Includes CAs that provide base audiovisual (AV) and visual information (VI) support, production, depositories, technical documentation, and broadcasting.

T807A: Base VI Support Includes CAs that provide production activities that provide general support to all installation, base, facility or site, organizations or activities. Typically, they supply motion picture still photography, television and audio recording for nonproduction documentary purposes, their laboratory support, graphic arts, VI libraries, and presentation services.

T807B: AV Production. Includes CAs that provide a self-contained, complete presentation, developed according to a plan or script, combining sound with motion media (film, tape or disc) for the purpose of conveying information to, or communicating with, an audience. (An AV production is distinguished from a VI production by the absence of combined sound and motion media in the latter.)

T807C: VI Depositories. Includes CAs that are especially designed and constructed for the low-cost and efficient storage and furnishing of reference service on semicurrent records pending their ultimate disposition. Includes records centers.

T807D: VI Technical Documentation. Includes CAs that provide a technical documentation (TECDOC) which is a continuous visual recording (with or without sound as an integral documentation component) of an actual event made for purposes of evaluation. Typically, TECDOC contributes to the study of human or mechanical factors, procedures and processes in the context of medicine, science logistics, research, development, test and evaluation, intelligence, investigations and armament delivery.

T807E: Electronic Media Transmission. Includes CAs that transmit and receive audio and video signals for closed circuit local and long distance multi-station networking and broadcast operations.

T807F: VI Documentation. Includes CAs that provide motion media (film or tape) still photography and audio recording of technical and nontechnical events, as they occur, usually not controlled by the recording crew. VI documentation (VIDOC) encompasses Operational Documentation (OPDOC) and TECDOC. OPDOC is VI (photographic or electronic) recording of activities, or multiple perspectives of the same activity, to convey information about people, places and things.

T807G: AV Central Library (Inventory Control Point). Includes CAs that receive, store, issue, and maintain AV products at the central library level. May or may not include records center operations for AV products.

T807K: AV or VI Design Service. Includes CAs that provide professional consultation services involving the selection, design, and development of AV or VI equipment or facilities.

T808 <u>Mapping and Charting</u>. Includes CAs that design, compile, print, and disseminate cartographic and geodetic products.

T809 <u>Administrative Telephone Service</u>. Includes CAs that operate and maintain the commonuser, administrative telephone systems at DoD installations and activities. Includes telephone operator services; range communication; emergency action consoles, and the cable distribution portion of a fire alarm, intrusion detection, emergency monitoring and control data, and similar systems that require use of a telephone system.

T810 <u>Air Transportation Services</u>. Includes CAs that operate and maintain nontactical aircraft that are assigned to commands and installations and used for administrative movement of personnel and supplies.

T811 <u>Water Transportation Services</u>. Includes CAs that operate and maintain nontactical watercraft that are assigned to commands and installations and are used for administrative movement of personnel and supplies.

T811A: Water Transportation Services (except tug operations).

T811B: Tug Operations.

T812 <u>Rail Transportation Services</u>. Includes CAs that operate and maintain nontactical rail equipment assigned to commands and installation and used for administrative movement of personnel and supplies.

T813 <u>Engineering and Technical Services</u>. Includes CAs that advise, instruct, and train DoD personnel in the installation, operation, and maintenance of DoD weapons, equipment, and systems.

These services include transmitting the technical skill capability to DoD personnel in order for them to install, maintain, and operate such equipment and keep it in a high state of military readiness.

T813A: Contractor Plant Services. Includes commercial manufacturers of military equipment contracted to provide technical and engineering services to DoD personnel. Qualified employees of the manufacturer furnish these services in the manufacturer plants and facilities. Through this program, the special skills, knowledge, experience, and technical data of the manufacturer are provided for use in training, training aid programs, and other essential services directly related to the development of the technical capability required to install, operate, maintain, supply, and store such equipment.

T813B: Contract Field Services (CFS). Includes CAs that provide services of qualified contractor personnel who provide onsite technical and engineering services to DoD personnel.

DRAFT

T813C: In-house Engineering and Technical Services. Includes CAs that provide technical and engineering services described in codes T813A and T813B above that are provided by Government employees.

T813D: Other Engineering and Technical Services.

T814 Fueling Service (Aircraft). Includes CAs that distribute aviation petroleum/oil/lubricant products. Includes operation of trucks and hydrants.

T815 Scrap Metal Operation. Includes CAs that bale or shear metal scrap and melt or sweat aluminum scrap.

T816 Telecommunication Centers. Includes CAs that operate and maintain telecommunication centers, nontactical radios, automatic message distribution systems, technical control facilities, and other systems integral to the communication center. Includes operations and maintenance of air traffic control equipment and facilities.

T817 Other Communications and Electronics Systems. Includes CAs that operate and maintain communications and electronics systems not included in T809 and T816.

T818 Systems Engineering and Installation of Communications Systems. Includes CAs that provide engineering and installation services, including design and drafting services associated with functions specified in T809, T816, and T817.

T819 Preparation and Disposal of Excess and Surplus Property. Includes CAs that accept, classify, and dispose of surplus Government property, including scrap metal.

T820 Administrative Support Services. Includes CAs that provide centralized administrative support services not included specifically in another functional category. These activities render services to multiple activities throughout an organization or to multiple organizations; such as, a steno or typing pool rather than a secretary assigned to an individual. Typical activities included are word processing centers, reference and technical libraries, microfilming, messenger service, translation services, publication distribution centers, etc.

T820A: Word Processing Centers.

T820B: Reference and Technical Libraries.

T820C: Microfilming.

T820D: Internal Mail and Messenger Services.

T820E: Translation Services.

T820F: Publication Distribution Centers.

T820G: Field Printing and Publication. Includes those activities that print or reproduce official publications, regulations, and orders. Includes management and operation of the printing facility.

T820H: Compliance Auditing.

T820I: Court Reporting.

T821 <u>Special Studies and Analyses</u>. Includes CAs that perform research, collect data, conduct time-motion studies, or pursue some other planned methodology in order to analyze a specific issue, system, device, boat, plane, or vehicle for management. Such activities may be temporary or permanent in nature.

T821A: Cost Benefit Analyses.

T821B: Statistical Analyses.

T821C: Scientific Data Studies.

T821D: Regulatory Studies.

T821E: Defense, Education, Energy Studies.

T821F: Legal/Litigation Studies.

T821G: Management Studies.

T900 <u>Training Devices and Simulators</u>. Includes CAs that provide training aids, devices, simulator design, fabrication, issue, operation, maintenance, support, and services.

T900A: Training Aids, Devices, and Simulator Support. Includes CAs that design, fabricate, stock, store, issue, receive, and account for and maintain training aids, devices, and simulators (does not include audiovisual production and associated services or audiovisual support).

T900B: Training Device and Simulator Operation. Includes CAs that operate and maintain training device and simulator systems.

T999 Other Nonmanufacturing Operations.

EDUCATION AND TRAINING

<u>Definition</u>. Includes CAs that conduct courses of instruction attended by civilian or military personnel of the Department of Defense. Terminology of categories and subcategories primarily for military personnel (marked by an asterisk) follows the definitions of the statutory Military Manpower Training Report submitted annually to the Congress. This series includes only the conduct of courses of instruction; it does not include education and training support functions (that is, Base Operations Functions in the S series and Nonmanufacturing Operations in the T series). A course is any separately identified instructional entity or unit appearing in a formal school or course catalog.

U100 Recruit Training. The instruction of recruits.

U200 Officer Acquisition Training.* Programs concerned with officer acquisition training.

U300 <u>Specialized Skill Training</u>.* Includes Army One-Station Unit Training, Naval Apprenticeship Training, and health care training.

U400 Flight Training.* Includes flight familiarization training.

DRAFT

U500 Professional Development Education.*

U510 <u>Professional Military Education</u>.* Generally, the conduct of instruction at basic, intermediate, and senior Military Service schools and colleges and enlisted leadership training does not satisfy the requirements of the definition of a DoD CA and is excluded from the provision of this Instruction.

U520 Graduate Education, Fully Funded, Full-Time.*

U530 Other Full-Time Education Programs.*

U540 Off-Duty (Voluntary) and On-Duty Education Programs.* Includes the conduct of Basic Skills Education Program (BSEP), English as a Second Language (ESL), skill development courses, graduate, undergraduate, vocational/technical, and high school completion programs for personnel without a diploma.

U600 <u>Civilian Education and Training</u>. Includes the conduct of courses intended primarily for civilian personnel.

U700 <u>Dependent Education</u>. Includes the conduct of elementary and secondary school courses of instruction for the dependents of DoD overseas personnel.

U800 Training Development and Support. (not reported elsewhere)

U999 Other Training. This code will only be used for unusual circumstances and will not be used to report organizations or work that can be accommodated under a specifically defined code.

AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING

W824 <u>Data Processing Services</u>. Includes CAs that provide ADP processing services by using Government-owned or -leased ADP equipment; or participating in Government-wide ADP sharing program; or procuring of time-sharing processing services (machine time) from commercial sources.

Includes all types of data processing services performed by general purpose ADP and peripheral equipment.

W824A: Operation of ADP Equipment.

W824B: Production Control and Customer Service.

W824C: ADP Magnetic Media Library.

W824D: Data Transcription/Data Entry Services.

W824E: Transmission and Teleprocessing Equipment Services.

W824F: Acceptance Testing and Recovery Systems.

W824G: Punch Card Processing Services.

W824H: Other ADP Operations and Support.

W825 <u>Maintenance of ADP Equipment</u>. Includes CAs that maintain and repair all Government-owned ADP equipment and peripheral equipment.

W826 <u>Systems Design</u>, <u>Development</u>, <u>and Programming Services</u>. Includes CAs that provide software services associated with nontactical ADP operation.

W826A: Development and Maintenance of Applications Software.

W826B: Development and Maintenance of Systems Software.

W827 <u>Software Services for Tactical Computers and Automated Test Equipment</u>. Includes CAs that provide software services associated with tactical computers and TMDE and ATE hardware.

W999 Other Automatic Data Processing. This code will only be used for unusual circumstances and will not be used to report organizations or work that can be accommodated under a specifically defined code.

PRODUCTS MANUFACTURED AND FABRICATED IN-HOUSE

Commercial activities that manufacture and/or fabricate products in-house are grouped according to the products predominantly handled as follows:

X931 Ordnance Equipment. Ammunition and related products.

X932 <u>Products Made from Fabric or Similar Materials</u>. Including the assembly and manufacture of clothing, accessories, and canvas products.

X933 <u>Container Products and Related Items</u>. Including the design, engineering, and manufacture of wooden boxes, crates, and other containers; includes the fabrication of fiberboard boxes, and assembly of paperboard boxes with metal straps. Excludes on-line fabrication of boxes and crates reported in functional area T801.

X934 <u>Food and Bakery Products</u>. Including the operation of central meat processing plants, pastry kitchens, and bakery facilities. Excludes food services reported in functional areas S713 and H105.

X935 <u>Liquid, Gaseous, and Chemical Products</u>. Including the providing of liquid oxygen and liquid nitrogen.

X936 Rope, Cordage, and Twine Products; Chains and Metal Cable Products.

X937 Logging and Lumber Products. Logging and sawmill operations.

X938 Communications and Electronic Products.

X939 <u>Construction Products</u>. The operation of quarries and pits, including crushing, mixing, and concrete and asphalt batching plants.

X940 Rubber and Plastic Products.

X941 Optical and Related Products.

X942 Sheet Metal Products.

X943 Foundry Products.

X944 Machined Parts.

X999 Other Products Manufactured and Fabricated In-House. This code will only be used for unusual circumstances and will not be used to report organizations or work that can be accommodated under a specifically defined code.

MAINTENANCE, REPAIR, ALTERATION, AND MINOR CONSTRUCTION OF REAL PROPERTY.

Z991 <u>Buildings and Structures--Family Housing</u>. Includes CAs that are engaged in exterior and interior painting and glazing; roofing, interior plumbing; interior electric; interior heating equipment, including heat sources under 750,000 BTU capacity; installed food service and related equipment; air conditioning and refrigeration under a 5-ton capacity; elevators; and other equipment affixed as part of the building and not included in other activities. Includes fencing, flagpoles, and other miscellaneous structures associated with family housing.

Z991A: Rehabilitation--Tenant Change.

Z991B: Roofing.

Z991C: Glazing.

Z991D: Tiling.

Z991E: Exterior Painting.

Z991F: Interior Painting.

Z991G: Flooring.

Z991H: Screens, Blinds, etc.

Z991I: Appliance Repair.

Z991J: Electrical Repair. Includes elevators, escalators, and moving walks.

Z991K: Plumbing.

Z991L: Heating Maintenance.

Z991M: Air Conditioning Maintenance.

Z991N: Emergency/Service Work.

Z991T: Other Work.

Z992 <u>Buildings and Structures (Other Than Family Housing</u>). Includes CAs that are engaged in exterior and interior painting and glazing; roofing, interior plumbing; interior electric; interior heating equipment, including heat sources under 750,000 BTU capacity; installed food service and related equipment; air conditioning and refrigeration under a 5-ton capacity; elevators; and

other equipment affixed as part of the building and not reported under other functional codes. Includes fencing, flagpoles, guard

and watchtowers, grease racks, unattached loading ramps, training facilities other than buildings, monuments, grandstands and bleachers, elevated garbage racks, and other miscellaneous structures.

Z992A: Rehabilitation--Tenant Change.

Z992B: Roofing. Z992C: Glazing. Z992D: Tiling.

Z992E: Exterior Painting. Z992F: Interior Painting.

Z992G: Flooring.

Z992H: Screens, Blinds, etc. Z992I: Appliance Repair.

Z992J: Electrical Repair. Includes elevators, escalators, and moving walkways.

Z992K: Plumbing.

Z992L: Heating Maintenance.

Z992M: Air Conditioning Maintenance.

Z992N: Emergency/Service Work.

Z992T: Other Work.

Z993 <u>Grounds and Surfaced Areas</u>. Commercial activities that maintain, repair, and alter grounds and surfaced areas defined in codes Z993A, B, and C, below.

Z993A: Grounds (Improved). Includes improved grounds, including lawns, drill fields, parade grounds, athletic and recreational facilities cemeteries, other ground areas, landscape and windbreak plants, and accessory drainage systems.

Z993B: Grounds (Other than Improved). Small arms ranges, antenna fields, drop zones, and firebreaks. Also grounds such as wildlife conservation areas, maneuver areas, artillery ranges, safety and security zones, desert, swamps, and similar areas.

Z993C: Surfaced Areas. Includes airfield pavement, roads, walks, parking and open storage areas, traffic signs and markings, storm sewers, culverts, ditches, and bridges. Includes sweeping and snow removal from streets and airfields.

Z997 <u>Railroad Facilities</u>. Includes CAs that maintain, repair, and alter narrow and standard gauge two-rail tracks, including spurs, sidings, yard, turnouts, frogs, switches, ties, ballast, and roadbeds, with accessories and appurtenances, drainage facilities, and trestles.

Z998 <u>Waterways and Waterfront Facilities</u>. Includes CAs that maintain, repair, and alter approaches, turning basin, berth areas and maintenance dredging, wharves, piers, docks, ferry racks, transfer bridges, quays, bulkheads, marine railway dolphins, mooring, buoys, seawalls, breakwaters, causeways, jetties, revetments, etc. Excludes waterways maintained by the Army

Corps of Engineers (COE) rivers and harbors programs. Also excludes buildings, grounds, railroads, and surfaced areas located on waterfront facilities.

Z999 Other Maintenance, Repair, Alteration, and Minor Construction of Real Property. This code will only be used for unusual circumstances and will not be used to report organizations or work that can be accommodated under a specifically defined code.

COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES INVENTORY REPORT AND REVIEW SCHEDULE

A. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. Forward your inventory reports before 1 January to the Director, Installations Management, 400 Army Navy Drive, Room 206, Arlington, VA 22202-2884. Use Report Control Symbol "DD-A&T(A)1540" as your authority to collect this data.
- 2. Transmit by use of floppy diskette. Data files must be in American Standard Code Information Interchange text file format on a MicroSoft-Disk Operating System formatted 3.5 inch floppy diskette. Provide submissions in the Defense Utility Energy Reporting System format as specified below.

3. Data Format: IN-HOUSE DoD COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES

1/ **TYPE DATA ELEMENT DISK POSITIONS FIELD** DATA Designator 1 Α Α Installation A1 - State, Territory, or Possession 2-3 Ala N - Place 4-9 A₁b A/N +Function 10-14 A2 A/N In-House Civilian Workload 15-20 A3 N Military Workload 21-26 A4 N +Reason for In-House Operation 49 A8 Α +Most Recent Year In-House Operation Approved 50-51 A9 N +Year DoD CA Scheduled for Next Review 52-53 N A10

76-132

A11

Α

B. ENTRY INSTRUCTIONS

Installation Name

^{1/} A = Alpha; N = Numeric. A and A/N data shall be left justified, space filled, N data right justified and zero filled.

⁺Items marked with an cross (+) have been registered in the DoD Data Element Dictionary.

^{4.} When definite coding instructions are not provided, reference must be made to DoD 5000.12-M (reference (t)). Failure to follow the coding instructions contained in this document, or those published in reference (t) makes the DoD Component responsible for noncompliance of required concessions in data base communication.

FIELD

INSTRUCTION

- A Enter an A to designate that the data to follow on this record pertains to a particular DoD CA.
- A1a Enter the two-position numeric code for State (Data element reference ST-GA) or U.S. territory or possession, as shown in attachment 5-1-1.
- A1b Enter the unique alpha-numeric code established by the DoD Component for military installation, named populated place, or related entity where the CA workload was performed during the fiscal year covered by this submission. A separate look-up listing or file should be provided showing each unique place code and its corresponding place name.
- A2 Enter the function code from enclosure 3 that best describes the type of CA workload principally performed by the CA covered by this submission. Left justify.
- A3 Enter total (full- and part-time) in-house civilian workyear equivalents applied to the performance of the function during the fiscal year. Round off to the nearest whole workyear equivalent. (If amount is equal to or greater than 5, round up. If amount is less than 5, round down. Amounts between zero and 0.9 should be entered as one). Right justify. Zero fill.
- A4 Enter total military workyear equivalents applied to the performance of the function during the fiscal year. Round off to the nearest whole workyear equivalent. (Amounts between zero and 0.9 should be entered as one). Right justify. Zero fill.
- A8 Enter the reason for in-house operation of the CA, as shown in exhibit 5-2.
- A9 Enter the last two digits of the most recent fiscal year corresponding to the reason for in-house operation of the CA, as stated in Field A8.
- A10 Enter the last two digits of the fiscal year the function is scheduled for study or next review. (Data element reference YE-NA.)
- A11 Enter the named populated place, or related entity, where the CA workload as performed.

Exhibit 5-1 CODES FOR DENOTING STATES, TERRITORIES, AND POSSESSIONS OF THE UNITED STATES

a. NUMERIC STATE CODES (Data element reference ST-GA)

CODE

01	Alabama	30	Montana
02	Alaska	31	Nebraska
04	Arizona	32	Nevada
05	Arkansas	33	New Hampshire
06	California		New Jersey
80	Colorado	35	New Mexico
09	Connecticut	36	New York
10	Delaware	37	North Carolina
11	District of Columbia	38	North Dakota
12	Florida	39	Ohio
13	Georgia	40	Oklahoma
15	Hawaii	41	Oregon
16	Idaho	42	Pennsylvania
17	llinois	44	Rhode Island
18	Indiana	45	South Carolina
19	Iowa	46	South Dakota
20	Kansas	47	Tennessee
21	Kentucky	48	Texas
22	Louisiana	49	Utah
23	Maine	50	Vermont
24	Maryland	51	Virginia
25	Massachusetts		53 Washington
26	Michigan	54	West Virginia
27	Minnesota	55	Wisconsin
28	Mississippi	56	Wyoming
29	Missouri		

b. NUMERIC CODES FOR TERRITORIES AND POSSESSIONS (FIPS 55-2)

60	American Samoa	75	Trust Terr

66 Guam

69 Northern Marianna Islands

71 Midway Islands

72 Puerto Rico

75 Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands

76 Navassa Island

78 Virgin Islands

79 Wake Island

81 Baker Island

86 Jarvis Island

89 Kingman Reef

95 Palmyra Atoll

EXHIBIT 5-2 CODES FOR DENOTING COMPELLING REASONS FOR IN-HOUSE OPERATIONS OF PLANNED CHANGES IN METHOD OR PERFORMANCE

1. PERFORMANCE (for entry in field A8)

CODE	<u>EXPLANATION</u>
A	Indicates that the DoD CA has been retained in-house for national defense reasons in accordance with subparagraph E.2.a.(1) of this Instruction, other than CAs reported under code "C" below.
С	Indicates that the DoD CA is retained in-house because the CA is essential for training or experience in required military skills, or the CA is needed to provide appropriate work assignments for a rotation base for overseas or sea-to-shore assignments, or the CA is necessary to provide; career progression to a needed military skill level in accordance with subparagraph E.2.a(1)(a) of this Instruction.
D	Indicates procurement of a product or service from a commercial source would cause an unacceptable delay or disruption of an essential DoD program.
Е	Indicates that there is no satisfactory commercial source capable of providing the product or service needed.
F	Indicates that a cost comparison has been conducted and that the Government is providing the product or service at a lower total cost as a result of a cost comparison.
G	Indicates that the CA is being performed by DoD personnel now, but decision to continue in-house or convert to contract is pending results of a scheduled cost comparison.
Н	Indicates that the CA is being performed by DoD employees now, but will be converted to contract because of cost comparison results.
1	Indicates that the CA is being performed by DoD hospital and, in the best interest of direct patient care, is being retained in-house.
K	Indicates that the CA is being performed by DoD employees now, but a decision has been made to convert to contract for reasons other than cost.
N	Indicates that the CA is performed by DoD employees now, but a review is in progress pending a decision. (i.e., base closure, realignment, or consolidation).
X	Reserved for later use

CODE	<u>EXPLANATION</u>
Y	Indicates that the CA is retained in-house because the cost study exceeded the time limit prescribed by law.
Z	Indicates the CA is retained in-house for reasons not included above. (i.e., a law, Executive order, treaty, or international agreement).
2.	USE OF OTHER CODES. Other codes may be assigned as designated by the ODUSD(IA&I).

COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (CAMIS)

Each DoD Component shall create and manage their CAMIS data base. The CAMIS data base shall have a comprehensive edit check on all input data in the computerized system. All data errors in the CAMIS data base shall be corrected as they are found by the established edit check program. The data elements described in Enclosure 6 of this Instruction represents the DoD minimum requirements.

PART I - COST COMPARISON

The record for each full cost comparison is divided into six sections. Each of those sections contains information provided by the DoD Components. The first five sections are arranged in a sequence of milestone events occurring during a cost comparison. Each section is completed immediately following the completion of the milestone event. These are as follows:

- 1. Cost comparison is approved by DoD Component.
- 2. Solicitation is issued.
- 3. In-house and contractor costs are compared.
- 4. Contract is awarded or solicitation is canceled.
- 5. Contract starts.

The events in section I 1. through I 5., above, are used as milestones because upon their completion some elements of significant information on the cost comparison become known.

A sixth section is utilized for tracking historical data after the cost comparison is completed. This section contains data elements concerning contracts and cost information during the second and third performance period.

The data elements that comprise the six sections in Part I, above, are defined in the CAMIS Entry and Update Instructions, Part I - Cost Comparison, below.

PART II - DIRECT CONVERSION AND SIMPLIFIED COST COMPARISONS

The record for each direct conversion and simplified cost comparison is divided into six sections. Each of the first five sections is completed immediately following the completion of the following events:

- 1. DoD Component approve CA action.
- 2. The solicitation is issued.
- 3. In-house and contractor costs are compared.

DRAFT

- 4. Contract is awarded or solicitation is canceled.
- 5. Contract starts.

A sixth section is utilized for tracking historical data after the direct conversion or simplified cost comparison is completed. This section contains data elements on contracts and cost information during the second and third performance period.

The data elements that comprise the six sections in Part II, above, are defined in the CAMIS Entry and Update Instruction, Part II - Direct Conversions and Simplified Cost Comparisons, below.

CAMIS ENTRY AND UPDATE INSTRUCTIONS

PART I - COST COMPARISONS

The bracketed number preceding each definition in Section One through Six, below, is the DoD data element number. All data fields should be in the format YYMMDD (Data element reference DA-FA).

SECTION ONE

EVENT: DoD COMPONENT APPROVES CONDUCTING A COST COMPARISON

All entries in this section of the CCR shall be submitted by DoD Components on the first quarter update after approving the start of a cost comparison. These entries shall be used to establish the CCR and to identify the geographical, organizational, political, and functional attributes of the activity (or activities) undergoing cost comparison as well as to provide an initial estimate of the manpower associated with the activity (or activities). The initial estimate of the manpower in this section of the CCR will be, in all cases, those manpower figures identified in the correspondence approving the start of the cost comparison.

DoD Components shall enter the following data elements to establish a CCR:

- [1] COST COMPARISON NUMBER. The number assigned by the DoD Component to uniquely identify a specific cost comparison. The first character of the cost comparison number must be a letter designating the DoD Component as noted in the element [3], below. The cost comparison number may vary in length from 5 to 10 characters, of which the second and subsequent may be alpha or numeric and assigned under any system desired by the DoD Component.
- [2] ANNOUNCEMENT AND/OR APPROVAL DATE. Date Congress is notified when required by Section 2461, reference (g), of this Instruction or date DoD Component notifies the

employees' or their representatives for studies being performed by 45 or fewer DoD civilian employees.

- [3] DoD COMPONENT CODE. Use the following codes to identify the Military Service or Defense Agency/Field Activity conducting the cost comparison:
 - A Department of the Army
 - B Defense Mapping Agency (DMA)
 - D Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS)

[3D1]

- D Washington Headquarters Service (WHS) [3D2]
- F Department of the Air Force
- G National Security Agency/Central Security Service (NSA/CSS)
- H Defense Special Weapons Agency (DSWA)
- J Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS) (including the Joint Staff, Unified and Specified Commands, and Joint Service Schools)
- K- Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA)
- L Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA)
- M United States Marine Corps (USMC)
- N United States Navy (USN)
- R Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA)
- S Defense Logistics Agency (DLA)
- T Defense Security Assistance Agency (DSAA)
- V Defense Investigative Service (DIS)
- W Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS)
- Y On-Site Inspection Agency (OSIA)
- 2 Defense Finance & Accounting Service (DFAS)

DRAFT

- 3 Defense Commissary Agency (DeCA)
- 4 Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC)
- 5 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Civil Works
- [4] COMMAND CODE. The code established by the DoD Component headquarters to identify the command responsible for operating the CA undergoing cost comparison.
- [5] INSTALLATION CODE. The code established by the DoD Component headquarters to identify the installation where the CA(s) under cost comparison is and/or are located physically. Two or more codes (for cost comparison packages encompassing more than one installation) should be separated by commas.
 - [5A] INSTALLATION NAME. The installation name(s) for data element [5] above.
- [6] STATE CODE. A two-position numeric code for the State (Data element reference ST-GA.) or U.S. Territory (FIPS 55-2), as shown in enclosure 5 exhibit 5-1, of this Instruction, where element [5] is located. Two or more codes shall be separated by commas.
- [7] CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT (CD). Number of the CDs where [5], above, is located. If representatives are elected "at large," enter "01" in this data element; for a delegate or resident commissioner (i.e., District of Columbia or Puerto Rico) enter "98." If the installation is located in two or more CDs, all CDs should be entered and separated by commas.
 - [8] (Leave blank)
- [9] TITLE OF COST COMPARISON. The title that describes the CA(s) under cost comparison (for instance, "Facilities Engineering Package, "Installation Bus Service," or "Motor Pool"). Use a clear title, not acronyms or function codes in this data element.
- [10] DoD FUNCTIONAL AREA CODE(S). The four- or five- alpha and/or numeric character designators listed in enclosure 4 of this Instruction that describe the type of CA undergoing cost comparison. There would be one code for a single CA or possibly several codes for a large cost comparison package. A series of codes shall be separated by commas.
- [11] PRIOR OPERATION CODE. A single alpha character that identifies the mode of operation for the activity at the time the cost comparison is started. Despite the outcome of the cost comparison, this code does not change. The coding is as follows:
 - C Contract-
 - E Expansion

DRAFT

- I In-house
- N New requirement
- [12] COST COMPARISON STATUS CODE. A single alpha character that identifies the current status of the cost comparison. Enter one of the following codes:
- B Broken out. The cost comparison package has been broken into two or more separate cost comparisons. The previous CCR shall be excluded from future updates. (See data element [15], below.)
 - C Complete
 - P In progress
 - X Canceled. The CCR shall be excluded from future updates.
- Z Consolidated The cost comparison has been consolidated with one or more other cost comparisons into a single cost comparison package. The CCR for the cost comparison that has been consolidated shall be excluded from future updates. (See data element [15], below.)
- [13] ANNOUNCEMENT-PERSONNEL ESTIMATE CIVILIAN, AND [14] ANNOUNCEMENT-PERSONNEL ESTIMATE MILITARY. The number of civilian and military personnel allocated to the CAs undergoing cost comparison when the cost comparison is announced by the DoD Component to Congress or employees' representatives. This number in all cases shall be those personnel figures identified in the correspondence announcing the start of a cost comparison and will include authorized positions, temporaries, and borrowed labor. The number is used to give a preliminary estimate of the size of the activity.
- [15] REVISED AND/OR ORIGINAL COST COMPARISON NUMBER. When a consolidation occurs, create a new CCR containing the attributes of the consolidated cost comparison. In the CCR of each cost comparison being consolidated, enter the cost comparison number of the new CCR in this data element and code "Z" in data element [12], above. In the new CCR, this data element should be blank and data element [12], above, should denote the current status of the cost comparison. Once the consolidation has occurred, only the new CCR requires future updates.

When a single cost comparison is being broken into multiple cost comparisons, create a new CCR for each cost comparison broken out from the original cost comparison. Each new CCR shall contain its own unique set of attributes; in data element [15], above, enter the cost comparison number of the original cost comparison from which each was derived, and in data element [12], above, enter the current status of each cost comparison. For the original cost

comparison, data element [15], above, should be blank and data element [12], above, should have a code "B" entry. Only the derivative record entries require future updates.

When a consolidation or a breakout occurs, an explanatory remark shall be entered in data element [57], below (such as, "part of SW region cost comparison," or, "separated into three cost comparisons").

[16] (Leave blank)

SECTION TWO

EVENT: THE SOLICITATION IS ISSUED

The entries in this section of the CCR provide information on the personnel authorized to perform the workload in the PWS, the number of workyears used to accomplish the workload in the PWS, and the type and kind of solicitation.

The DoD Component shall enter the following data elements at the first quarterly update subsequent to the issuance of the solicitation:

[17]) (Leave blank)

[18] SOLICITATION-TYPE CODE. A one-character alpha designator that identifies the type of solicitation used to obtain contract bids or offers. Use either the CBD as the source document or information received from the contracting officer for this entry. Solicitations under Section 8(a) of "The Small Business Act," reference ?, are negotiated.

Enter one of the following codes:

- N Negotiated
- S Sealed Bid
- [19] SOLICITATION-KIND CODE. A one-character (or two-character, if "W" suffix is used) alpha designator indicating whether the competition for the contract has been limited to a specific class of bidders or offerors. Use either the CBD as the source document or information received from the contracting officer to enter one of the following codes:
 - A Restrict to small business
 - B Small Business Administration 8(a) Set Aside
 - C "Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act" (JWOD)

DRAFT

- D Other mandatory sources
- U Unrestricted
- W (Optional suffix) Unrestricted after initial restriction
- [20] CURRENT AUTHORIZED CIVILIANS, AND [21] CURRENT AUTHORIZED MILITARY. The number of civilian and military authorizations allocated on the DoD Component's manpower documents to perform the work described in the PWS. This number refines the initial authorization estimate (Section One, data elements [13] and [14], above).
- [22] BASELINE ANNUAL WORKYEARS CIVILIAN, AND [23] BASELINE ANNUAL WORKYEARS MILITARY. The number of annual workyears it has taken to perform the work described by the PWS before the DoD Component conducts the MEO study of the in-house organization. Do not include contract monitor requirements. Military workyears include assigned, borrowed, diverted, and detailed personnel. Less than one-half a year of effort should be rounded down, and one-half a year or more should be rounded up. These workyear figures shall be the baseline for determining the personnel savings identified by the management study.

SECTION THREE

EVENT: THE IN-HOUSE AND THE CONTRACTOR COSTS OF OPERA-ON ARE COMPARED

The entries in this section provide information on the date of the cost comparison (initial decision), the preliminary results, the number of bids or offers received, and the costing method used in the cost comparison.

The DoD Component shall enter the following data elements in the first quarterly update subsequent to the date of the comparison of in-house and contractor costs (date of initial decision):

- [24] SCHEDULED INITIAL DECISION DATE. Date the initial decision is scheduled at the start of a cost comparison.
- [24]) ACTUAL INITIAL DECISION DATE. Date the initial decision is announced. The initial decision is based on the apparent low bid or offer and is subject to preaward surveys and resolution of all appeals and protests. In a sealed bid procurement, the initial decision is announced at bid opening. In a negotiated procurement, the initial decision is announced when the cost comparison is made between the in-house estimate and the proposal of the selected offeror.
 - [25] COST COMPARISON PRELIMINARY RESULTS CODE. A one-character alpha

designator indicating the results of the cost comparison as announced by the contracting officer at the time the bids or offers are compared. The entries are limited to two possibilities:

C - Contract

I - In-house

[26] (Leave blank)

[27] (Leave blank)

SECTION FOUR

EVENT: THE CONTRACTING OFFICER EITHER AWARDS THE CONTRACT OR CANCELS THE SOLICITATION

The entries in this section identify the final result, information on the contract, the in-house bid, and costing information from the cost comparison record. The DoD Component shall enter the following data elements in the first quarterly update subsequent to the date the contracting officer either awards a contract or cancels the solicitation:

[28] CONTRACT AWARD OR SOLICITATION CANCELLATION DATE. For conversions to contract, this is the date a contract was awarded in a sealed bid solicitation or the date the contractor was authorized to proceed on a conditional award contract in a negotiated solicitation. For retention's in-house, this is the date the solicitation was canceled (when the contracting officer publishes an amendment to cancel the solicitation).

[29] COST COMPARISON FINAL RESULT CODE. A one-character alpha designator identifying the final result of the comparison between in-house and contractor costs; the contracting officer either awards the contract or cancels the solicitation. Enter one of the following codes:

B - Best Value

C - Contract

I - In-house

[30] DECISION RATIONALE CODE. A one-character alpha designator that identifies the rationale for awarding a contract or canceling the solicitation. The work shall be performed in-house or by contractor based on cost, for other than cost, or the work shall be performed in-house because no satisfactory commercial source was available (no bids or offers were received or the pre-award survey resulted in the determination that no commercial sources were responsive or responsible). Enter one of the following codes:

- C Cost
- N No satisfactory commercial source
- O Other
- (31) (Leave blank)
- [31]) PRIME CONTRACTOR SIZE. Enter one of the following;
 - L Large business
 - S Small or small and/or disadvantaged business
- [32] MEO WORKYEARS. The number of annual workyears it takes to perform the work described in the PWS after the MEO study has been conducted. This entry will be equal to the number of annual workyears in the in-house bid.

For data elements [33] through [36], below, enter all data after all adjustments required by appeal board decisions. Do not include the minimum cost differential (line 14 in the CCF or line 16 in the ENRC CCF) in the computation of any of these data elements. If a valid cost comparison was not conducted (i.e., all bidders or offerors disqualified, no bids or offers received, etc.) do not complete data elements [33], [34] and [36], below. Explain lack of valid cost data in data element [57], "DoD Component Comments," below.

- [33] FIRST PERFORMANCE PERIOD. Expressed in months, the length of time covered by the contract. Do not include any option periods.
- [34] COST COMPARISON PERIOD. Expressed in months, the total period of operation covered by the cost comparison; this is the period used as the basis for data elements [35] and [36], below.
- [35] TOTAL IN-HOUSE COST (\$000). Enter the total cost of in-house performance in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand. This is the total of line 6 of the new CCF or line 5 of the Simplified CCF. An entry is required although the activity remains in-house due to absence of a satisfactory commercial source.
- [36] TOTAL CONTRACT COST (\$000). Enter the total cost of contract performance in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand. This is the total of line 13 of the CCF or line 9 of the Simplified CCF.
- [37] SCHEDULES CONTRACT OR MEO START DATE. Date the contract and/or MEO was scheduled to start at the beginning of a cost comparison.

SECTION FIVE

EVENT: THE CONTRACT/MEO STARTS

The entries in this section identify the contract or MEO start date and the personnel actions taken as a result of the cost comparison.

The DoD Component shall enter the following data elements in the first quarterly update subsequent to the start of the contract:

- [38] CONTRACT/MEO START DATE. The actual date the contractor began operation of the contract or the Government implements the MEO.
- [39] PERMANENT EMPLOYEES REASSIGNED TO EQUIVALENT POSITIONS. The number of permanent employees who were reassigned to positions of equivalent grade as of the contract start date.
- [40] PERMANENT EMPLOYEES CHANGED TO LOWER POSITIONS. The number of permanent employees who were reassigned to lower grade positions as of the contract start date.
- [41] EMPLOYEES TAKING EARLY RETIREMENT. The number of employees who took early retirement as of the contract start date.
- [42] EMPLOYEES TAKING NORMAL RETIREMENT. The number of employees who took normal retirement as of the contract start date.
- [43] PERMANENT EMPLOYEES SEPARATED. The number of permanent employees who were separated from Federal employment as of the contract start date.
- [44] TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES SEPARATED. The number of temporary employees who were separated from Federal employment as of the contract start date.
- [45] EMPLOYEES ENTITLED TO SEVERANCE PAY. The estimated number of employees entitled to severance pay on their separation from Federal employment as of the contract start date.
- [46] TOTAL AMOUNT OF SEVERANCE ENTITLEMENTS (\$000). The total estimated amount of severance to be paid to all employees, in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand, as of the contract start date.
- [47] NUMBER OF-EMPLOYEES HIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR. The number of estimated DoD civilian employees (full-time or otherwise) that will be hired by the contractors, or their subcontractors, at the contract start date.

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL

[48] FILED. Were administrative appeals filed?

N-No

Y-Yes

[49] SOURCE. Who filed the appeal?

B - Both

C - Contractor

I - In-house

[50] RESULT. Were the appeals finally upheld? (if both appealed, explain result in data element [57], below).

N - No

P - Still in progress

Y - Yes

GAO PROTEST

[51] FILED. Was a protest filed with GAO?

N-No

Y-Yes

[52] SOURCE. Who filed the protest?

B - Both

C - Contractor

I - In-house

[53] RESULT. Was the protest finally upheld? (explain result in data element [57], below).

DRAFT

N - No

P - Still in progress

Y - Yes

ARBITRATION

[54] REQUESTED. Was there a request for arbitration?

N - No

Y - Yes

[55] RESULT. Was the case found arbitrable? (explain result in data element [57], below).

N - No

P - Still in progress

Y - Yes

GENERAL INFORMATION

- +[56] TOTAL STAFF-HOURS EXPENDED. Enter the estimated number of staff-hours expended by the installation for the cost comparison. Include direct and indirect hours expended from the time of PWS until a final decision is made.
- +[56A] ESTIMATED COST OF CONDUCTING THE COST COMPARISON. Enter the estimated cost of the total staff-hours identified in data element [56] above and non-labor (travel, reproduction costs, etc.) associated with the cost comparison.
- +Data elements [56] and [56A] will only be completed by DoD Components that are participating in the pilot test of these data elements.
- [57] DoD COMPONENT COMMENTS. Enter comments, as required, to explain situations that affect the conduct of the cost comparison. Where appropriate, precede each comment with the CAMIS data element being referenced.
- [58] EFFECTIVE DATE. "As of" date of the most current update for the cost comparison. This data element will be completed by the DMDC.

DRAFT

[59] (Leave blank, for DoD computer program use).

SECTION SIX

EVENT: QUARTER FOLLOWING CONTRACT AND/OR OPTION RENEWAL

The entries in this section identify original costs, savings, information on subsequent performance periods and miscellaneous contract data. The DoD Component shall enter the following data elements in the first quarterly update annually.

- [60] ORIGINAL COST OF FUNCTION(S) (\$000). The estimated total cost of functions before to development of an MEO in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand for the base year and option years (Begin entry when study began for data element (2) after 1 October 1989).
- [60A] ESTIMATED DOLLAR SAVINGS (\$000). The DoD Component's estimated savings from the cost comparison for the base year plus option years, in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand, for either in-house or contract performance. Documentation will be available at the DoD Component level (Begin entry after 1 October 1989).
- [61] CONTRACT OR IN-HOUSE BID FIRST PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). For studies resulting in continued in-house performance, enter the total in-house cost (Line 6 from the CCF) for the first performance period. For studies resulting in conversion to contract performance, enter the contract price (Line 7 from the CCF) for the first performance period. Figures shall be shown in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand.
- [61A] ACTUAL CONTRACT OR IN-HOUSE COSTS FIRST PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). Enter the actual first performance period contract cost including all change orders (Plus changes in the scope of work) or actual in-house performance cost including changes in the scope of work, in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand. No entry is required for actual in-house performance during the second and third performance periods.
- [61B] ADJUSTED CONTRACT COSTS FIRST PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). Enter an adjusted first performance period contract cost that includes actual DoL wage increases and costs for omissions and/or errors in the original PWS, but exclude new requirement costs and their associated wage increases, in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand (Begin entry after 1 October 1989).
- [61C] ADJUSTED IN-HOUSE COSTS FIRST PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). Enter the total first performance period in-house cost of the MEO, including civil service pay increases, but excluding increases associated with new mission requirements not included in the original scope of work of the function. Show costs in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand. Entry is required even if the function went to contract. (Begin entry after 1 October 1989).

- [62] CONTRACT OR IN-HOUSE BID SECOND PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). For studies resulting in continued in-house performance, enter the total in-house cost (Line 6 from the CCF) for the second performance period. For studies resulting in conversion to contract performance, enter the contract price (Line 13 from the CCF) for the second performance period. Figures shall be shown in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand.
- [62A] ACTUAL CONTRACT COSTS SECOND PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). Enter the actual second performance period contract cost including all change orders (Plus changes in the scope of work), in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand. No entry is required when the function remained in-house.
- [62B] ADJUSTED CONTRACT COSTS SECOND PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). Enter an adjusted second performance period contract cost that includes actual DoL wage increases and costs for omissions and/or errors in the (original PWS, but exclude new requirement costs and their associated wage increases, in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand (Begin entry after 1 October 1989).
- [62C] ADJUSTED IN-HOUSE COSTS SECOND PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). Enter the total second performance period in-house cost of the MEO, including civil service pay increases, but excluding increases associated with new mission requirements not included in the original scope of work of the function. Show costs in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand. Entry is required even if the function went to contract (Begin entry after 1 October 1989).
- [63] CONTRACT OR IN-HOUSE BID THIRD PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). For studies resulting in continued in-house performance, enter the total in-house cost (Line 6 from the CCF) for the third performance period. For studies resulting in conversion to contract performance, enter the contract price (Line 13 from the CCF) for the third performance period. Figures shall be shown in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand.
- [63A] ACTUAL CONTRACT COSTS THIRD PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). Enter the actual third performance period contract cost including all change orders (Plus changes in the scope of work), in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand. No entry is required when the function remained in-house.
- [63B] ADJUSTED CONTRACT COSTS THIRD PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). Enter an adjusted third performance period contract cost that includes actual DoL wage increases and costs for omissions and/or errors in the original PWS, but exclude new requirement costs and their associated wage increases, in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand (Begin entry after 1 October 1989).
- [63C] ADJUSTED-IN-HOUSE COSTS THIRD PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). Enter the total third performance period in-house cost of the MEO, including civil service pay increases, but excluding increases associated with new mission requirements not included in the

original scope of work of the function. Show costs in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand. Entry is required even if the function went to contract (Begin entry after 1 October 1989).

- [64] CONTRACTOR CHANGE. Enter one of the following alpha designators to indicate whether the contract for the second or third performance period has changed from the original contractor.
 - N No, the contractor has not changed
 - Y Yes, the contractor has changed

Data elements [65] through [66], below, are not required if the answer to [64], above, is no (N).

- [65] NEW CONTRACTOR SIZE (If data element [66], below, contains the alpha designator "I" or "R," no entry is required).
 - L New contractor is large business
 - S New contractor is small and/or small disadvantaged business.
- [66] REASON FOR CHANGE. DoD Components shall enter one of the following designators listed below, followed by the last two digits of the fiscal year which the change occurred.
 - C Contract workload consolidated with other existing contract workload.
 - D New contractor takes over because original contractor defaults.
 - I Returned in-house because original contractor defaults within 12 months of start date and in-house bid is the next lowest.
 - N New contractor replaced original contractor because Government opted not to renew contract in option years.
 - R Returned in-house temporarily pending resolicitation due to contract default, etc.
 - U Contract workload consolidated into a larger (umbrella) cost comparison.
- X Other-function either returned in-house or eliminated because of base closure, realignment, budget reduction or other change in requirements.

[67] CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION STAFFING. The actual number of contract administration personnel hired to administer the contract.

CAMIS ENTRY AND UPDATE INSTRUCTION

PART II - DIRECT CONVERSIONS AND SIMPLIFIED COST COMPARISONS

The bracketed number preceding each definition in Sections One through Six, below, is the DoD data element number. All date fields should be in the format YYMMDD (Data element reference DA-FA).

SECTION ONE

EVENT: DoD COMPONENT APPROVES THE CA ACTION

All entries in this section of the DCSCC record shall by submitted by DoD Components on the first quarter update after approving the start of a cost comparison. These entries shall be used to establish the DCSCCR and to identify the geographical, organizational, political, and functional attributes of the activity (or activities) undergoing conversion and/or comparison as well as to provide an initial estimate of the manpower associated with the activity (or activities). The initial estimate of the personnel in this section of the DCSCCR will be, in all cases, those personnel figures identified in the correspondence approving the start of the conversion and/or comparison. DoD Components shall enter the following data elements to establish a DCSCCR:

- [1] DIRECT CONVERSION/SIMPLIFIED COST COMPARISON NUMBER. The number assigned by the DoD Component to uniquely identify a specific conversion and/or comparison. The first character of the conversion and/or comparison number must be a letter designating the DoD Component as noted in data element [3], below. The conversion and/or comparison number may vary in length from five to ten characters, of which the second and subsequent may be alpha or numeric and assigned under any system desired by the DoD Component
- [2] APPROVAL DATE. The date the simplified cost comparison or direct conversion was announced.
- [3] DoD COMPONENT CODE. Use the following codes to identify the Military Service or Defense Agency and/or Field Activity conducting the cost comparison:
 - A Department of the Army
 - B Defense Mapping Agency (DMA)
- D Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS) [3D1]

DRAFT

- D Washington Headquarters Service (WHS) [3D2]
- F Department of the Air Force
- G National Security Agency/Central Security Service (NSA/CSS)
- H Defense Special Weapons Agency (DSWA)
- J Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS) (including the Joint Staff, Unified and Specified Commands, and Joint Service Schools)
- K Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA)
- L Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA)
- M United States Marine Corps (USMC)
- N United States Navy (USN)
- R Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA)
- S Defense Logistics Agency (DLA)
- T Defense Security Assistance Agency (DSAA)
- V Defense Investigative Service (DIS)
- W Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS)
- Y On-Site Inspection Agency (OSIA)
- 2 Defense Finance & Accounting Service (DFAS)
- 3 Defense Commissary Agency (DeCA)
- 4 Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC)
- 5 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Civil Works
- [4] COMMAND CODE. The code established by the DoD Component headquarters to identify the command responsible for operating the CA undergoing conversion and/or comparison.

- [5] INSTALLATION CODE. The code established by the DoD Component headquarters to identify the installation where the CA(s) under cost comparison is and/or are located physically. Two or more codes (for cost comparison packages encompassing more than one installation) should be separated by commas.
 - [5A] INSTALLATION NAME. The installation name(s) for data element [5] above.
- [6] STATE CODE. A two-position numeric code for the State (Data element reference ST-GA.) or U.S. Territory (FIPS 55-2), as shown in enclosure 4, attachment 4-1-1, of this Instruction, where element [5] is located. Two or more codes shall be separated by commas.
- [7] CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT (CD). Number of the CDs where [5], above, is located. If representatives are elected "at large," enter "01" in this data element; for a delegate or resident commissioner (i.e., District of Columbia or Puerto Rico) enter "98." If the installation is located in two or more CDs, all CDs should be entered and separated by commas.
 - [8] (leave blank)
- [9] TITLE OF CONVERSION AND/OR COMPARISON. The title that describes the CA(s) under conversion/comparison (for instance, "Facilities Engineering Package," "Installation Bus Service," or "Motor Pool"). Use a clear title, not acronyms or function codes in this data element.
- [10] DoD FUNCTIONAL AREA CODE(S). The four- or five- alpha and/or numeric character designators listed in enclosure 3, of this Instruction that describes the type of CA undergoing conversion and/or comparison. This would be one code for a single CA or possibly several codes for a large cost comparison package. A series of codes shall be separated by commas.
- [11] PRIOR OPERATION CODE. A single alpha character that identifies the mode of operation for the activity at the time the conversion and/or comparison is started. Despite the outcome of the conversion and/or comparison, this code does not change. The coding is as follows:
 - C Contract
 - E Expansion
 - I In-house
 - N New requirement

- [12] CONVERSION AND/OR COMPARISON STATUS CODE. A single alpha character that identifies the current status of the conversion and/or comparison. Enter one of the following codes:
- B Broken out. The cost comparison package has been broken into two or more separate cost comparisons. The previous DCSCCR shall be excluded from future updates. (See data element [15], below.)
 - C Complete
 - P In progress
 - X Canceled. The DCSCCR shall be excluded from future updates.
 - Z Consolidated. The cost comparison has been consolidated with one or more other cost comparisons into a single cost comparison package. The DCSCCR for the cost comparison that has been consolidated shall be excluded from future updates. (See data element [15], below.)
- [13] ANNOUNCEMENT-PERSONNEL ESTIMATE CIVILIAN, AND [14] ANNOUNCEMENT PERSONNEL ESTIMATE MILITARY. The number of civilian and military personnel allocated to the CAs undergoing conversion and/or comparison at the time the start of the conversion and/or comparison is approved. This number in all cases shall be those personnel figures identified when the conversion and/or comparison was approved and will include authorized positions, temporaries, and borrowed labor. The number is used to give a preliminary estimate of the size of the activity.
- [15] REVISED AND/OR ORIGINAL COST COMPARISON NUMBER. When a consolidation occurs, create a new DCSCCR containing the attributes of the consolidated conversion and/or comparison. In the DCSCCR of each conversion and/or comparison being consolidated, enter the conversion conversion and/or comparison being consolidated, enter the conversion and/or comparison number of the new DCSCCR in this data element and code "Z" in data element [12], above. In the new DCSCCR, this data element should be blank and data element [12], above, should denote the current status of the conversion and/or comparison. Once the consolidation has occurred, only the new DCSCCR requires future updates.

When a single conversion and/or comparison is being broken into multiple conversion and/or comparisons, create a new DCSCCR for each conversion and/or comparison broken out from the original conversion and/or comparison. Each new DCSCCR shall contain its own unique set of attributes; in data element [15], above, enter the conversion and/or comparison number of the original conversion and/or comparison from which each was derived, and in data element [12], above, enter the current status of each conversion and/or comparison. For the original conversion and/or comparison, data element [15], above, should be blank and data element [12], above, should have a code "B" entry. Only the derivative record entries require future updates.

DRAFT

When a consolidation or a breakout occurs, an explanatory remark shall be entered in data element [56], below (such as, "part of SW region cost comparison;" or, "separated into three cost comparisons").

[16] (Leave blank)

SECTION TWO

EVENT: THE SOLICITATION IS ISSUED

The entries in this section of the DCSCCR provide information on the personnel authorized to perform the workload in the PWS, the number of workyears used to accomplish the workload in the PWS, and the type and kind of solicitation.

The DoD Component shall enter the following data elements at the first quarterly `update subsequent to the issuance of the solicitation:

[17] (Leave blank)

- [18] SOLICITATION-TYPE CODE. A one-character alpha designator that identifies the type of solicitation used to obtain contract bids or offers. Use either the CBD as the source document or information received from the contracting officer for this entry. Solicitations under Section 8(a) of "The Small Business Act" (reference (s)) are negotiated. Enter one of the following codes:
 - N Negotiated
 - S Sealed Bid
- [19] SOLICITATION-KIND CODE. A one-character (or two- character, if "W11 suffix is used) alpha designator indicating whether the competition for the contract has been limited to a specific class of bidders or offers. Use either the CBD as the source document or information received from the contracting officer to enter one of the following codes:
 - A Restrict to small business
 - B Small Business Administration 8(a) Set Aside
 - C "Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act" (JWOD)
 - D Other mandatory sources
 - U Unrestricted

DRAFT

W - (Optional suffix) Unrestricted after initial restriction

[20] CURRENT AUTHORIZED CIVILIANS, AND (21) CURRENT AUTHORIZED MILITARY. The number of civilian and military authorizations allocated on the DoD Component's manpower documents to perform the work described in the PWS. This number refines the initial authorization estimate (Section One, data elements [13] and [14], above).

[22] BASELINE ANNUAL WORKYEARS CIVILIAN, AND [23] BASELINE ANNUAL WORKYEARS MILITARY. The number of annual workyears it has taken to perform the work described by the PWS before the DoD Component conducts the MEO analysis the in-house organization. Do not include contract monitor requirements. Military workyears include assigned, borrowed, diverted, and detailed personnel. Less than one-half a year of effort should be rounded down, and one-half a year or more should be rounded up. These workyear figures shall be the baseline for determining the personnel savings identified by the most efficient organization analysis.

SECTION THREE

EVENT: THE IN-HOUSE AND THE CONTRACTOR COSTS OF OPERA-ON ARE COMPARED

The entries in this section provide information on the date of the conversion and/or comparison (initial decision), the preliminary results, the number of bids or offers received, and the costing method used in the conversion and/or comparison.

The DoD Component shall enter the following data elements in the update first quarterly update subsequent to the date of the comparison of in-house and contractor costs (date of initial decision):

[24] SCHEDULED INITIAL DECISION DATE. Date the initial decision is scheduled at the start of a conversion and/or comparison.

[24A] ACTUAL INITIAL DECISION DATE. Date the initial decision is announced. initial decision is based on the apparent low bid or offer and is subject to preaward surveys and resolution of all appeals and protests. In a sealed bid procurement, the initial decision is announced at bid opening. In a negotiated procurement, the initial decision is announced when the cost comparison is made between the in-house estimate and the proposal of the selected offeror. In a conversion, the initial decision is announced when the in-house cost estimate is evaluated against proposed contractor proposals.

[25] COST COMPARISON PRELIMINARY RESULTS CODE. A one-character alpha designator indicating the résults of the cost comparison as announced by the contracting officer at the time of the comparison (No entry required for a direct conversion). The entries are limited to two possibilities:

C - Contract

I - In-house

[26] (Leave blank)

[27] (leave blank)

SECTION FOUR

EVENT: THE CONTRACTING OFFICER EITHER AWARDS THE CONTRACT OR CANCELS THE SOLICITATION

The entries in this section identify the final result, information on the contract, the in-house bid, and costing information from the direct conversion and/or simplified cost comparison fact sheet.

The DoD Component shall enter the following data elements in the first quarterly update subsequent to the date the contracting officer either awards a contract or cancels the solicitation:

- [28] CONTRACT AWARD OR SOLICITATION CANCELLATION DATE. For conversions to contract, this is the date a contract was awarded in a sealed bid solicitation or the date the contractor was authorized to proceed on a conditional award contract in a negotiated solicitation. For retention's in-house, this is the date the solicitation was canceled (when the contracting officer publishes an amendment to cancel the solicitation).
- [29] COST COMPARISON FINAL RESULT CODE. A one-character alpha designator identifying the final result of the comparison between in-house and contractor costs; the contracting officer either awards the contract or cancels the solicitation. Enter one of the following codes:
 - C Contract
 - I In-house
- [30] DECISION RATIONALE CODE. A one-character alpha designator that identifies the rationale for awarding a contract or canceling the solicitation. The work shall be performed in-house or by contractor based on cost, for other than cost, or the work shall be performed in-house because no satisfactory commercial source was available (no bids or offers were received or the pre-award survey resulted in the determination that no commercial sources were responsive or responsible):-Enter one of the following codes:

C- Cost

DRAFT

- N No satisfactory commercial source
- O Other
- [31] (Leave blank)
- [31A] PRIME CONTRACTOR SIZE. Enter one of the following;
 - L Large business
 - S Small or small and/or disadvantaged business
- [32] MEO WORKYEARS. The number of annual workyears it takes to perform the work described in the PWS after the MEO analysis has been conducted. This entry will be equal to the number of annual workyears in the in-house bid (No entry required for a direct conversion).

For data elements [33] through [36], below, enter all data after all adjustments required by appeal board decisions. Do not include minimum cost differential in the computation of any of these data elements. If a valid conversion and/or comparison was not conducted (i.e., all bidders or offers disqualified, no bids or offers received, etc.) do not complete data elements [33], [34] and [36], below. Explain lack of valid cost data in data element [56], "DoD Component Comments," below.

- [33] FIRST PERFORMANCE PERIOD. Expressed in months, the length of time covered by the contract. Do not include any option periods.
- [34] CONVERSION AND/OR COMPARISON PERIOD. Expressed in months, the total period of operation covered by the conversion or cost comparison; this is the period used as the basis for data elements [35] and [36] below.
- [35] TOTAL IN-HOUSE COST (\$000). Enter the total estimated cost of in-house performance for the base year plus option years, in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand. An entry is required although the activity remains in-house due to absence of a satisfactory commercial source (No entry required for a direct conversion).
- [36] TOTAL CONTRACT COST (\$000). Enter the total estimated cost of contract performance for the base year plus option years, in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand.
- [37] SCHEDULED CONTRACT OR MEO START date. Date the contract and/or MEO was scheduled to start at the beginning of a conversion and/or comparison.

SECTION FIVE

DRAFT

EVENT: THE CONTRACT MEO STARTS

The entries in this section identify the contract or MEO start date and the personnel actions taken as a result of the conversion and/or comparison.

The DoD Component shall enter the following data elements in the first quarterly update subsequent to the start of the contract:

- [38] CONTRACT AND/OR MEO START DATE. The actual date the contractor began operation of the contract or the Government implements the MEO.
- [39] PERMANENT EMPLOYEES REASSIGNED TO EQUIVALENT POSITIONS. The number of permanent employees who were reassigned to positions of equivalent grade as of the contract start date.
- [40] PERMANENT EMPLOYEES CHANGED TO LOWER POSITIONS. The number of permanent employees who were reassigned to lower grade positions as of the contract start date.
- [41] EMPLOYEES TAKING EARLY RETIREMENT. The number of employees who took early retirement as of the contract start date.
- [42] EMPLOYEES TAKING NORMAL RETIREMENT. The number of employees who took normal retirement as of the contract start date.
- [43] PERMANENT EMPLOYEES SEPARATED. The number of permanent employees who were separated from Federal employment as of the contract start date.
- [44] TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES SEPARATED. The number of temporary employees who were separated from Federal employment as of the contract start date.
- [45] EMPLOYEES ENTITLED TO SEVERANCE PAY. The estimated number of employees entitled to severance pay on their separation from Federal employment as of the contract start date.
- [46] TOTAL AMOUNT OF SEVERANCE ENTITLEMENTS (\$000). The total estimated amount of severance to be paid to all employees, in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand, as of the contract start date.
- [47] NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES HIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR. The number of estimated DoD civilian employees (full-time or otherwise) that will be hired by the contractors, or their subcontractors, at the contract start date.

ADMINISTRATIVE(E APPEAL

DRAFT

[48] FILED. Were administrative appeals filed?
N - No
Y - Yes
[49] SOURCE. Who filed the appeal?
B - Both
C - Contractor
I - In-House
[50] RESULT. Were the appeals finally upheld? (if both appealed, explain result in data element [56], below).
N - No
P - Still in Progress
Y - Yes
GAO PROTEST
[51] FILED. Was a protest filed with GAO?
N - No
Y - Yes
[52] SOURCE. Who filed the protest?
B - Both
C - Contractor
I - In-house
[53] RESULT. Was the protest finally upheld? (explain result in data element [56], below).

DRAFT

N - No

P - Still in progress

Y - Yes

ARBITRATION

[54] REQUESTED. Was there a request for arbitration?

N - No

Y - Yes

[55] RESULT. Was the case found arbitrable? (explain result in data element [56], below).

N - No

P- Still in progress

Y - Yes

GENERAL INFORMATION

- [56] DoD COMPONENT COMMENTS. Enter comments, as required, to explain situations that affect the conduct of the conversion and/or comparison. Where appropriate, precede each comment with the CAMIS data element being referenced.
- [57] EFFECTIVE DATE. "As of" date of the most current update for the conversion and/or comparison. This data element will be completed by the DMDC.
 - [58] (Leave blank, for DoD computer program use).

SECTION SIX

EVENT: QUARTER FOLLOWING CONTRACT AND/OR OPTION RENEWAL

The entries in this section identify information on subsequent performance periods and miscellaneous contract data. The DoD Component shall enter the following data elements in the first quarterly update annually:

[59] ACTUAL CONTRACT COST FIRST PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). Enter the actual contractor cost for the first performance period, in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand.

- [60] ACTUAL CONTRACT COST SECOND PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). Enter the actual contractor cost for the second performance period, in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand.
- [61] ACTUAL CONTRACT COST THIRD PERFORMANCE PERIOD (\$000). Enter the actual contractor cost for the third performance period, in thousands of dollars, rounded to the nearest thousand.
- [62] CONTRACTOR CHANGE. Enter one of the following alpha designators to indicate whether the contractor for the second or third performance period has changed from the original contractor.
 - N No, the contractor has not changed
 - Y Yes, the contractor has changed

Data elements [63] through [64], below, are not required if the answer to [62], above, is no (N).

- [63] NEW CONTRACTOR SIZE. (If data element [64], below, contains the alpha designator "I" or "R," no entry is required)
 - L New contractor is large business
 - S New contractor is small and/or small disadvantaged business.
- [64] REASON FOR CHANGE. DoD Components shall enter one of the following designators listed below, followed by the last two digits of the FY in which the change occurred.
 - C Contract workload consolidated with other existing contract workload.
- D New contractor takes over because original contractor defaults.
 - I Returned in-house because original contractor defaults within 12 months of start date and in-house bid is the next lowest.
 - N New contractor replaced original contractor because Government opted not to renew contract in option years.
 - R Returned in-house temporarily pending resolicitation due to contract default, etc.
 - U Contract workload consolidated into a larger (umbrella) cost comparison.

X - Other-function either returned in-house or eliminated because of base closure, realignment, budget reduction or other change in requirements.

[65] CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION STAFFING. The actual number of contract administration personnel hired to administer the contract.